

## ANNEX A

### Case Study Overview

Case study	Developed or developing country?	Issue	Conservation context	Key issues	Location in book (section or box)
Lucas vs. South Carolina Coastal Council	Developed (USA)	Conflict (court case)	Coastal beach/dune system	Importance of institutional safety valves for distributive issues	1.1.1
Natura 2000	Developed (Germany, Finland)	Conflict	Various protected areas	Delay and failure of conservation programmes due to inefficient communication	1.1.2, 5.3.5 and 6.4.2
Extractive reserves	Developing (Brazil)	Instrument choice	Forests	No income gain for locals	1.1.3
Privatisation of mangroves (conversion to agriculture and aquaculture)	Developing (Viet Nam)	Measurement of distributive impacts/Gini coefficient	Forests	Use of Gini coefficient to measure the effect of privatisation and land conversion on inequality	2.3.1
Different scenarios for the Ream National Park	Developing (Cambodia)	Comparison of different conservation scenarios/Extended cost-benefit analysis (CBA)	National park	Distributional impact on different stakeholders	2.3.2
Alternative forest management in the Upper Great Lake Regions	Developed (USA)	Measurement of distributional impacts/social accounting matrix (SAM)	Forests	Regressive policy	2.3.3
Farming and ranching in Yucatán	Developing (Mexico)	Employment based analysis and CBA	Farming	EBA shows impact of different land use scenarios on local employment. The results are different from those of CBA	2.4.1
Farming or fishing in Bangladesh	Developing (Bangladesh)	Analysis of different land-use schemes.	Floodplain	Spillover impacts of agriculture management to biodiversity and livelihood of poor	2.5.1

Case study	Developed or developing country?	Issue	Conservation context	Key issues	Location in book (section or box)
Different scenarios for forest policy in New South Wales	Developed (Australia)	Participatory multidimensional measures/multi-criteria analysis (MCA)	Forests	MCA reveals trade-offs between social, economic and biodiversity criteria in different scenarios	2.5.2
Development scenarios for the Bucco-Reef Marine Park	Developing (Tobago)	Participatory multidimensional measures/MCA with stakeholder involvement	Marine park	MCA helps compare different development scenarios using economic, social and biodiversity criteria. Stakeholders weight the criteria	2.5.2
Development scenarios in the nature reserve Šúr wetland area	Developed (Slovakia)	Participatory multidimensional measures/MCA	Wetland, nature reserve	MCA helps compare different development scenarios ranging from strict nature protection to unlimited economic development	2.5.2
Stakeholder analysis in the Royal Bardia National Park, Nepal	Developing (Nepal)	Social impact assessment with stakeholder analysis	National park	Stakeholder analysis reveals the interests, the powers, the scale of influence and the means of different groups related to the management of the park	2.5.3
Conservation easements	Developed (USA)	Instruments		Regressive	3.2.2
ÖPUL	Developed (Austria)	Instruments	Farming	Regressive	Box 3.2
Contracted conservation	Developed (Germany/EU)	Instruments	Farming		Box 5.2
Ely's citizens' jury	Developed (UK)	Participatory measures/citizens' jury	Wetland	Analysing options for wetland management with public participation using citizens' jury	6.3.2
National park management in New South Wales	Developed (Australia)	Participatory measures/citizens' jury	National park	Citizens' jury reveals citizens' opinions about the management options for a national park	6.3.2
River dialogue	Developed (Sweden, Netherlands, Estonia)	Participatory measures/focus groups	Water	EU research programme revealing opinions of stakeholders on the Water Framework Directive in three countries	6.3.2
Kalloni Bay	Developed (Greece)	Participatory measures/focus groups	Wetland	Focus group interviews show the opinions of different stakeholders on the current status of a wetland area and its further development	6.3.2
Boreal Forest Program	Developed (Canada)	Participatory measures/scenario workshop, roundtable	Forests	National roundtable with the involvement of stakeholders examines how to balance conservation and economic activity of boreal forests	6.3.2

Case study	Developed or developing country?	Issue	Conservation context	Key issues	Location in book (section or box)
National workshop project related to the designation of critical habitats for species	Developed (USA)	Participatory measures/scenario workshops	Wildlife	Stakeholder workshops to reveal opinions on the designation of critical habitats	6.3.2
Ria Lagartos Biosphere Reserve – conflicts around restrictions	Developing (Mexico)	Conflict resolution, participatory measures	Forests	User conflict because zoning and restrictions are introduced Learning process of community involvement	6.4.2
Rights of Saami people (indigenous group)	Developed (Sweden)	Conflict, community based management/lack of participatory measures, in conflict resolution	Wildlife	Conflict around the hunting and fishing rights of indigenous people, court case	6.4.2 and 8.3.1
Wood Buffalo National Park: conflict over diseased bison	Developed (Canada)	Conflict, participatory measures/joint management	Wildlife	Conflict between park managers and native groups on managing a bison disease Successful consultation with the native group	6.4.2
Mexican Wolf Blue Range Reintroduction Project	Developed (United States)	Conflict, participatory measures/joint management	Wildlife	Negative impacts of the reintroduction of wolf on cattle ranchers and native tribes Conflicts resolved through consultation, court cases and administrative decisions of the stakeholder management committee	6.4.2
Compensation on Natura 2000	Developed EU countries)	Instruments/compensation scheme	Natura 2000 sites	Mitigation of the distributive effects imposed by the EU regulation on Natura 2000 site through compensation	7.2.1
Neusiedler See-Seewinkel National Park	Developed (Austria)	Instruments/compensation scheme	Park	Prevention of negative distributive impacts of the designation of protected area through a compensation measure	7.2.1
Safe Harbor Programme	Developed (USA)	Instruments/voluntary schemes	Wildlife	Voluntary programme that encourages private land owners to restore and maintain habitats for endangered species, thereby avoiding further regulation	7.2.2
Habitat Stewardship Programme	Developed (Canada)	Instruments/voluntary schemes	Wildlife	Voluntary conservation on private land with financial support	7.2.2

Case study	Developed or developing country?	Issue	Conservation context	Key issues	Location in book (section or box)
Greencover program	Developed (Canada)	Instruments/voluntary schemes	Farming	Agri-environmental measure of Canada with biodiversity component Provision of technical and financial support	7.2.2
Agri-environmental measures in the EU	Developed (EU countries)	Instruments/voluntary schemes	Farming	Voluntary agri-environmental programme of EU with financial assistance	7.2.2
Natural Forest Reserve Programme	Developed (Austria)	Instruments/voluntary schemes	Forests	Voluntary programme for private forest owners on biodiversity friendly management, including a yearly payment	7.2.2
Entry Level Stewardship Scheme	Developed (UK)	Instruments/voluntary schemes	Farming	Change in the agri-environmental scheme to attract more farmers in conservation of biodiversity, landscape, access and historic environment Financial support is provided	7.2.2
Forest Biodiversity Programme	Developed (Finland)	Instruments/voluntary schemes	Forests	Voluntary conservation programmes for private forest owners with financial incentives	7.2.2
BushTender Program	Developed (Australia)	Instruments/auctions		Competitive tendering process among private land owners for biodiversity management	7.2.2
Conservation Banking	Developed (USA)	Instruments/market based mechanism	Conservation	Voluntary conservation programme for mitigating loss of protected habitats elsewhere	7.2.2
Ecological Gifts Programme	Developed (Canada)	Instruments/donations	Conservation	Voluntary conservation activity is motivated through a tax reduction programme	7.2.2
Danau Sentarim Wildlife Reserve	Developing (Indonesia)	Participatory measures/community based management	Wildlife	Traditional restrictions on fishing are used in the community based management	8.3.1
CAMPFIRE	Developing (Zimbabwe)	Participatory measures/community based management	Wildlife	Giving user rights back to local communities and fostering biodiversity friendly management with financial incentives (e.g. benefit sharing)	8.3.1
Kakadu National Park	Developed (Australia)	Participatory measures/joint management of community and authority	Wildlife	Contract between Aboriginal people and park administration on the park management: sharing tasks and benefits	8.3.2

Case study	Developed or developing country?	Issue	Conservation context	Key issues	Location in book (section or box)
Canada's Model Forest Program	Developed (Canada)	Participatory measures/ stakeholder management	Forests	Experimental programme for sustainable forestry through the partnership of different stakeholders	8.3.3
Waswanipi Cree Model Forest	Developed (Canada)	Participatory measures/ stakeholder management	Forests	Stakeholder management for sustainable forestry on the land of a native tribe	8.3.3
National Community Forest Partnership	Developed (UK)	Participatory measures/ stakeholder management	Forests	Voluntary forest conservation programme for local forests around towns, operated in a partnership with various stakeholders.	8.3.3
Watershed Management	Developed (USA)	Participatory measures/ stakeholder management	Watersheds	Voluntary programme for the conservation for watersheds through the partnership of local stakeholders	8.3.3
Management of the Djoudj National Park	Developing (Senegal)	Participatory measures/ stakeholder management	Park	Introduction of a new participatory management of a national park with more involvement of locals in different stakeholder committees	8.3.3
Daepho River improvement	Developed (Korea)	River water quality	Marine	Local residents collaborating to restore water quality and avoid more general restrictions related to water use	8.3.3
Channeling park fees to local communities	Developing (Uganda)	Instruments/ channeling of entrance fees to local communities	National park	Benefit sharing programme: Part of the revenues from the park entrance fees are given to local communities adjacent to the park	8.3.4
Sharing buffer zone fees in Langtang National Park	Developing (Nepal)	Instruments/ channeling of entrance fees to local communities	National park	Benefit sharing programme: channelling part of the revenues from buffer zone fees to local	8.3.4

## References

- Adger, W.N. and C. Luttrell (2000), "Property Rights and the Utilisation of Wetlands", *Ecological Economics*, 35 (2000) 75-89.
- Adger, W.N. et al. (1997), "Property Rights and the Social Incidence of Mangrove Conversion in Vietnam", *CSERGE Working Paper GEC 97-21*.
- Adhikari, B. (2002), "Household Characteristics and Common Property Forest Use: Complementarities and Contradictions", *Journal of Forestry and Livelihoods*, 2: 3-14.
- Adhikari, B. (2005), "Poverty, Property Rights and Collective Action: Understanding the Distributive Aspects of Common Property Resource Management", *Environment and Development Economics* 10: 7-31.
- Adhikari, B., S. di Falco and J.C. Lovett (2004), "Household Characteristics and Forest Dependency: Evidence from Common Property Forest Management in Nepal", *Ecological Economics*, 48:245-257.
- Aggarwal, R.M. and T.A. Narayan (2004), "Does Inequality Lead to Greater Efficiency in the Use of Local Commons? The Role of Strategic Investments in Capacity", *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 47, 163-182.
- Alavalapati, J.R.R., W.L. Adamowicz and W.A. White (1999), "Distributive Impacts of Forest Resource Policies in Alberta", *Forest Science* 45(3), 342-348.
- Albers H.J. and E. Grinspoon (1997), "A Comparison of the Enforcement of Access Restrictions Between Xishuangbanna Nature Reserve (China) and Khao Yai National Park (Thailand)", *Environ. Conserv.* 24:351-62.
- Aldred, J. and M. Jacobs (2000), "Citizens and Wetlands: Evaluating the Ely Citizens' Jury", *Ecological Economics*, 34:217-232.
- Alexander, J. and J.-A. McGregor (2000), "Wildlife and Politics: CAMPFIRE in Zimbabwe", *Development and Change* 31(3), 605-627.
- Alix-Garcia, J., A. de Janvry and E. Sadoulet (2004), "A Tale of Two Communities: Explaining Deforestation in Mexico", *World Development* 33(2), 219-235.
- Allali-Puz H., E. Béchaux and C. Jenkins (2003), "Governance et démocratie locale dans les Parcs Naturels Régionaux de France", *Policy Matters* 12:225-237.
- Allegretti, M. (1990), "Extractive Reserves: An Alternative for Reconciling Development and Environmental Conservation in Amazonia", in Anderson, A. (ed.) (1990) *Alternatives to Deforestation: Steps Toward Sustainable Use of the Amazon Rain Forest*, Columbia University Press, New York.
- Allegretti, M. (2002), *A construção social de políticas ambientais: Chico Mendes e o Movimento dos Seringueiro*, Centro de Desenvolvimento Sustentável, Universidade de Brasília PhD Thesis, Brasília, Brazil.
- Allgood, S. and A. Snow (1998), "The Marginal Cost of Raising Tax Revenue and Redistributing Income", *Journal of Political Economy* 106(6), 1246-1273.

- Alston, L. et al. (1999), "A model of rural conflict: violence and land reform policy in Brazil", *Environment and Development Economics* 4, 135-160.
- Amend, S. and T. Amend (1995), *National Parks Without People? The South American Experience*, IUCN, Gland, Switzerland.
- Amiel, Y., J. Creedy and S. Hurn (1999), "Measuring Inequality Aversion", *Scandinavian Journal of Economics* 101 (1), 83-96.
- Andersen, I.-E. and B. Jaeger (1999), "Danish Participatory Models: Scenario Workshops and Consensus Conferences: Towards More Democratic Decision-making", *Science and Public Policy*, 5: 331-340.
- Angelsen, A., and S. Wunder (2003), *Exploring the Forest-Poverty Link: Key Concepts, Issues and Research Implications*, Center for International Forestry Research, Bogor, Indonesia.
- Arnot, C., P. Boxall and S.B. Cash (2006), "Do Ethical Consumers Care About Price? A Revealed Preference Analysis of Fair Trade Coffee Purchases", *Canadian Journal of Agricultural Economics/Revue canadienne d'agroéconomie* 54 (4), 555-565.
- Arrow, K.J. (1950), "A Difficulty in the Concept of Social Welfare", *Journal of Political Economy* 58(4) (August, 1950), 328-346.
- Asheim, G.B., W. Buchholz and B. Tungodden (2001), "Justifying Sustainability", *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 41(3), 252-268.
- Atkinson, A. and F. Bourguignon (1982), "The Comparison of Multi-Dimensioned Distributions of Economic Status", *Review of Economic Studies* 49 (1982), 183-201.
- Atkinson, A.B. (1970), "On the Measurement of Inequality", *Journal of Economic Theory* 2, 244-263.
- Baland, J.-M. and J.-P. Platteau (1997), "Wealth Inequality and Efficiency in the Commons Part I: The Unregulated Case", *Oxford Economic Papers* 49, 451-482.
- Baland, J.-M. and J.-P. Platteau (1998), "Wealth Inequality and Efficiency in the Commons Part II: The Regulated Case", *Oxford Economic Papers* 50, 1-22.
- Balmford, A. et al. (2000), "Integrating Conservation Costs into International Priority Setting", *Conservation Biology* 11, 597-605.
- Balmford, A. et al. (2001), "Conservation Conflicts Across Africa", *Science* 291 (30 March), 2616-2619.
- Balmford, A., et al. (2003), "Global Variation in Terrestrial Conservation Costs, Conservation Benefits, and Unmet Conservation Needs", *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 100, 1046-1050.
- Balmford, A. and T. Whitten (2003), "Who Should Pay for Tropical Conservation, and How Could the Costs be Met?" *Oryx* 37, 238-250.
- Bannon, I. and P. Collier (2003), "Natural Resources and Conflict: What We Can Do", in *Natural Resources and Violent Conflict: Options and Actions*, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Barbier, E.B. and M. Cox (2004), "An Economic Analysis of Shrimp Farm Expansion and Mangrove Conservation in Thailand", *Land Economics* 80(3), 389-407.
- Barbier, E.B., and M. Rauscher (1995), "Policies to Control Tropical Deforestation: Trade Intervention versus Transfers", in C. Perring et al. (ed.), *Biodiversity Loss: Economic and Ecological Issues*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

- Bardhan. P. (1996), "Efficiency, Equity and Poverty Alleviation: Policy Issues in Less Developed Countries", *Economic Journal* 106, 1344-1356.
- Barrett, C.B., D.R. Lee and J.G. McPeak, (2005), "Institutional Arrangements for Rural Poverty Reduction and Resource Conservation", *World Development*, Vol. 33(2), 193-197.
- Baumol, W.J. and W.E. Oates (1988), *The Theory of Environmental Policy*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Bedunah D.J. and S.M. Schmidt (2004), "Pastoralism and Protected Area Management in Mongolia's Gobi Gurvansaikhan National Park", *Dev. Change* 35(1): 167-91.
- Bellon, M.R. and J.E. Taylor (1993), "Folk Soil Taxonomy and the Partial Adoption of New Seed Varieties", *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, 41(4), 763-786.
- Bergstrom, T.C. and R.P. Goodman (1973), "Private Demands for Public Goods", *American Economic Review*, 63(3), 280-296.
- Bergstrom, T., L. Blume and H. Varian (1986), "On the Private Provision of Public Goods", *Journal of Public Economics* 29, 25-49.
- Berkes, F. (1999), *Sacred Ecology: Traditional Ecological Knowledge and Resource Management*, Taylor and Francis, Philadelphia, USA.
- Beukering, P.H. van, H. Cesara and M.A. Janssen (2003), "Economic Valuation of the Leuser National Park on Sumatra, Indonesia", *Ecological Economics* 44(1), February 2003, 43-62.
- Bingham, G. (1986), *Resolving Environmental Disputes, A Decade of Experience*, The Conservation Foundation, Washington DC.
- Bojo, J. and R.C. Reddy (2002), *Poverty Reduction Strategies and Environment: A Review of 40 Interim and Full Poverty Reduction Strategy Papers*, World Bank, Washington D.C.
- Borcherding, T.E. and R.T. Deacon (1972), "Demand for Services of Non-Federal Governments", *American Economic Review*, 62(5), 891-901.
- Borrini-Feyerabend, G. et al. (2004), *Sharing Power: Learning by Doing in Co-management of Natural Resources Throughout the World*, IIED and IUCN/CEESP/CMWG, Genesta, Tehran.
- Bovenberg, A.L. and B.J. Heijdra (1998), "Environmental Tax Policy and Intergenerational Distribution", *Journal of Public Economics* 67, 1-24.
- Boyce, J.K. (2002), *The Political Economy of the Environment*, Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, UK
- Brainard, J.S. et al. (2006), "Exposure to Environmental Urban Noise Pollution in Birmingham, UK", in: Serret and Johnstone (eds.), *The Distributional Effects of Environmental Policy*, Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, UK.
- Brett, C. and M. Keen (2000), "Political Uncertainty and the Earmarking of Environmental Taxes", *Journal of Public Economics* 75, 315-340.
- Brooks, N and R. Sethi (1997), "The Distribution of Pollution: Community Characteristics and Exposure to Air Toxics", *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 32, 233-250.
- Broome, J. (1992), *Counting the Cost of Global Warming*, White Horse Press, Cambridge.



- Brown, K. (1998), "The Political Ecology of Biodiversity, Conservation and Development in Nepal's Terai: Confused Meanings, Means and Ends", *Ecological Economics* 24(1), 73-87.
- Brown, K. and S. Rosendo (2000), "Environmentalists, Rubber Tappers and Empowerment: The Politics and Economics of Extractive Reserves", *Development and Change*, 31: 201-227.
- Brown, K. et al. (2001), "Trade-off Analysis for Marine Protected Area Management", *Ecological Economics*, 37: 417-434.
- Bruner A. et al. (2001), "Effectiveness of Parks in Protecting Tropical Biodiversity", *Science* 291(5501): 125-28.
- Buchanan, J.M. (1963), "The Economics of Earmarked Taxes", *Journal of Political Economy* 71(5), 457-469.
- Buchy, M., H. Ross and W. Proctor (2000), *Enhancing the Information Base on Participatory Approaches in Australian Natural Resources Management*, Commissioned Report to the Land and Water Resources Research and Development Corporation, Canberra.
- Bueno de Mesquita, B. et al. (2003), *The Logic of Political Survival*, MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass.
- Bulte, E. and C. Withagen (2006), *Distributive Issues in a Dynamic Context: an Issues Paper*, OECD, Paris.
- Bulte, E.H., R. Damania and R.T. Deacon (2005), "Resource Intensity, Institutions, and Development", *WorldDevelopment* 33(7), 1029-1044.
- Burnham, P. (2000), *Indian Country God's Country: Native Americans and National Parks*, Island Press, Washington, DC.
- Burton, P.S. (2004), "Hugging Trees: Claiming *de facto* Property Rights by Blockading Resource Use", *Environmental and Resource Economics* 27, 135-163.
- Campbell, B. et al. (2001), "Challenges to Proponents of Common Property Resource Systems: Despairing Voices from the Social Forests of Zimbabwe", *World Development* 29: 589-600.
- Canadian Model Forest Network (2006), *Canadian Model Forest Network: Achievements*, Natural Resources Canada, Ottawa.
- Carruthers J. (1995), *The Kruger National Park: A Social and Political History*, Univ. Natal Press, Pietermaritzburg, South Africa.
- Carson, L. and K. Gelber (2001), *Ideas for Community Consultation: A Discussion on Principles and Procedures for Making Consultation Work*, NSW Department of Urban Affairs and Planning, Sydney, Australia.
- Catton T. (1997), *Inhabited Wilderness: Indians, Eskimos, and National Parks in Alaska*, Univ. N. Mex. Press, Albuquerque.
- Cavendish, W. (2000), "Empirical Regularities in the Poverty-Environment Relationship of Rural Households: Evidence from Zimbabwe", *World Development*, 28, (11), 1979-2003.
- CBD (Convention on Biological Diversity) (1992), *Convention on Biological Diversity*, <http://sedac.ciesin.org/entri/texts/biodiversity.1992.html>.
- CBD (2005), *Thematic Report on Mountain Ecosystems, Nepal*, [www.biodiv.org/doc/world/np/np-nr-me-en.doc](http://www.biodiv.org/doc/world/np/np-nr-me-en.doc).

- Cernea, M.M. and K. Schmidt-Soltau (2006), "Poverty Risks and National Parks: Policy Issues in Conservation and Resettlement", *World Development* 34(10), 1808-1830.
- Chakraborty, R.N. (2001), "Stability and Outcomes of Common Property Institutions in Forestry: Evidence from the Terai Region of Nepal", *Ecological Economics* 36, 341-353.
- Chapin, M. (2004), "A Challenge to Conservationists", *World Watch Magazine*, November/December 2004, 17-31.
- Chatty, D. and M. Colchester (eds.) (2002), *Conservation and Mobile Indigenous Peoples: Displacement, Forced Settlement and Sustainable Development*, Berghahn Books, New York.
- Chichilnisky, G. (1996), "An Axiomatic Approach to Sustainable Development", *Social Choice and Welfare* 13, 231-257.
- Chichilnisky, G. and G. Heal (1994), "Who Should Abate Carbon Emissions? An International Viewpoint", *Economics Letters* 44, 443-449.
- Chobotova, V. and T. Kluvankova-Oravska (2006), *Community-based Management of Biodiversity Conservation in a Transition Economy. Application of Multi-Criteria Decision Aid to the Nature Reserve Šúr*, case study prepared for OECD, OECD, Paris.
- Clark, C.W. (1973), "Profit Maximization and the Extinction of Animal Species", *Journal of Political Economy* 81(4), 950-961.
- Clean Water Action Plan (2000), *Watershed Success Stories: Applying the Principles and Spirit of the Clean Water Action Plan*, USA
- Cleary, D. (2006), "The Questionable Effectiveness of Science Spending by International Conservation Organizations in the Tropics", *Conservation Biology* 20(3), 733-738.
- Clippel, G. de (2005), *Equity, Envy, and Efficiency under Asymmetric Information*, Working Paper, Rice University, Houston.
- Cobham, A. (2007), *Tax Evasion, Tax Avoidance and Development Finance*, University of Oxford, Department of International Development, Oxford.
- Coomes, O., B. Barham, and Y. Takasaki (2004), "Targeting Conservation-Development Initiatives in Tropical Forests: Insights from Analysis of RainForest Use and Economic Reliance among Amazonian peasants", *World Development* 55, 47-64.
- Cooperative Conservation America (2005), *Faces and Places of Cooperative Conservation*, report of White House Conference on Cooperative Conservation, St. Louis, Missouri, August 29-31, 2005, US Department of the Interior, Washington DC.
- Cork, S. (2002), "What are Ecosystem Services?", *RIPRAP (River and Riparian Lands Management Newsletter)*, Land and Water Australia, Canberra, 21, pp.1-9.
- Costanza, R. et al. (1997), "The Value of the World's Ecosystem Services and Natural Capital", *Nature* 387, 253-261.
- Cowell, F.A. and K. Gardiner (1999), "Welfare Weights", *STICERD, London School of Economics, Economics Research Paper* 20, Aug 1999, LSE, London.
- Crosby, N. (1996), *Creating an Authentic Voice of the People: Deliberation on Democratic Theory and Practice*. Midwest Political Science Association, Chicago, USA.
- CSIRO (Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation) (2003), *Natural Values: Exploring Options for Enhancing Ecosystem Services in the Goulburn Broken Catchment*, Ecosystem Services Project, CSIRO, Canberra, Australia.

- Dasgupta, P. (2000), "Valuing Biodiversity", in Levin, S. (ed.) *Encyclopedia of Biodiversity*, Academic Press, New York.
- Datta, S.K. and S. Kapoor (1996), *Collective Action, Leadership and Success in Agricultural Cooperatives – a Study of Gujarat and West Bengal*, Oxford and IBH Publishing, Oxford and New Delhi.
- Day-Rubinstein, K. and G.B. Frisvold (2001), "Genetic Prospecting and Biodiversity Development Agreements", *Land Use Policy* 18(3), 205-219.
- Deacon, R.T. (2006), "Distributive Issues Related to Biodiversity: The Role of Institutions", presentation prepared for the *OECD Workshop on Distributive Issues Related to Biodiversity*, Oaxaca, Mexico, April 26-27, 2006.
- Declerck, S. (2003), "Restoration of Lake Kraenepoel in Belgium, a Case Study Prepared for the BIOFORUM Project", in: Young, J. et al. (eds.), *Conflicts Between Human Activities and the Conservation of Biodiversity in Agricultural Landscapes, Grasslands, Forests, Wetlands and Uplands in Europe*, Report of the BIOFORUM projects, August, 2003, 116-119, BIOFORUM, Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Edinburgh.
- Demsetz, H. (1967), "Toward a Theory of Property Rights", *American Economic Review* 57(2), Papers and Proceedings, 347-359.
- Department of Sustainability and Environment (DSE) (2005a), *Southern Victoria BushTender: Information Sheet No. 5*, Victorian Government Department of Sustainability and Environment, Melbourne.
- DSE (2005b), *Southern Victoria BushTender: Information Sheet No. 6*, Victorian Government Department of Sustainability and Environment, Melbourne.
- DSE (2005c), *Southern Victoria BushTender: Information Sheet No. 7*, Victorian Government Department of Sustainability and Environment, Melbourne.
- Diamond, J. (2005), *Collapse: How Societies Choose to Fail or Succeed*, Viking, New York.
- Dietz, T., E. Ostrom and P.C. Stern (2003), "The Struggle to Govern the Commons", *Science* 302, 1907-1912.
- Dixit, A.K. and J.E. Stiglitz (1977), "Monopolistic Competition and Optimum Product Diversity", *American Economic Review*, 67(3), 297-308.
- Dixon, J.A. and P.B. Sherman (1990), *Economics of Protected Areas: A New Look at Benefits and Costs*, East-West-Center Center, Island Press, Washington DC.
- Dixon, J.A. and P.B. Sherman (1991), "Economics of Protected Areas", *Ambio*, 20(2), 68-74.
- Drazen, A. (2001), *Political Economy in Macroeconomics*, Princeton University Press, Princeton.
- Drechsler, M. et al. (2007), "An Agglomeration Payment for Cost-Effective Biodiversity Conservation in Spatially Structured Landscapes", *UFZ Discussion Papers* 4/2007, March 2007, UFZ Centre for Environmental Research Leipzig, Germany.
- Dressler, W.H. (2006), "Co-opting Conservation: Migrant Resource Control and Access to National Park Management in the Philippine Uplands", *Development and Chance* 37(2), 401-426.
- Drèze, J.P. (1998), "Distribution Matters in Cost-Benefit Analysis: Comment on K-A. Brekke", *Journal of Public Economics* 70 (3): 485-88.
- Drèze, J.P. and N. Stern (1987), "The Theory of Cost-Benefit Analysis", in A.J. Auerbach and M. Feldstein (eds.) *Handbook of Public Economics* 2, North-Holland, Amsterdam.

- Droege, S. and B. Soete (2001), "Trade-Related Intellectual Property Rights, North-South Trade and Biological Diversity", *Environmental and Resource Economics* 19, 149-163.
- Dublin, H., C. Volonte and J. Brann (2004), *GEF Biodiversity Program Study*, Washington, D.C.: Monitoring and Evaluation Unit, Global Environment Facility Secretariat.
- Easterbrook, G. (2003), *The Progress Paradox*, Random House, New York.
- Emerton, L., J. Bishop and L. Thomas (2005), *Sustainable Financing of Protected Areas: A Global Review of Challenges and Options*, IUCN, Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK.
- Engel, S., R. Lopez and C. Palmer (2006), "Community-Industry Contracting over Natural Resource use in a Context of Weak Property Rights: The Case of Indonesia", *Environmental and Resource Economics* 33(1), 73-93.
- Environment Canada (2005), *The Canadian Ecological Gifts Program Handbook 2005: A Legacy for Tomorrow, a Tax Break Today*, available at: [www.cws-scf.ec.gc.ca/ecogifts/hb\\_toc\\_e.cfm](http://www.cws-scf.ec.gc.ca/ecogifts/hb_toc_e.cfm).
- Environmental Defense (2000), *Progress on the Back Forty: An Analysis of the Three Incentive Based Approaches to Endangered Species Conservation on Private Lands*, Environmental Defense, New York.
- EPA (US Environmental Protection Agency) (2001), *Protecting and Restoring America's Watersheds: Status, Trends, and Initiatives in Watershed Management*, EPA-840-R-00-001, US EPA, Washington DC.
- Eskeland, G. and C. Kong (1998), "Protecting the Environment and the Poor: A Public Goods Framework Applied to Indonesia", *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper* No. 1961, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- European Commission (2005), *Agri-environment Measures: Overview on General Principles, Types of Measures, and Application*, study of the European Commission Directorate General for Agriculture and Rural Development, Unit G-4, Evaluation of Measures applied to Agriculture, available at: [http://ec.europa.eu/agriculture/publi/reports/agrienv/rep\\_en.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/agriculture/publi/reports/agrienv/rep_en.pdf).
- Fearnside, P.M. (2003), "Conservation Policy in Brazilian Amazonia: Understanding the Dilemmas", *World Development* 31(5): 757-779.
- Feinerman, E., A. Fleischer and A. Simhon (2004), "Distributional Welfare Impacts of Public Spending: The Case of Urban versus National Parks", *Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics* 29(2): 370-386.
- Ferraro, P.J. (2002), "The Local Costs of Establishing Protected Areas in Low-Income Nations: Ranomafana National Park, Madagascar", *Ecological Economics*, 43: 261-275.
- Ferraro, P.J. and D. Simpson (2002), "The Cost-Effectiveness of Conservation Payments", *Land Economics* 78(3), 339-353.
- Fisher, M. (2004), "Household Welfare and Forest Dependence in Southern Malawi", *Environment and Development Economics* 9: 135-154.
- Fisher, M., G.E. Shively and S. Buccola (2005), "Activity Choice, Labor Allocation, and Forest Use in Malawi", *Land Economics* 81 (4), 503-517.
- Fisher, R., W. Ury and B. Patton (1991), *Getting to Yes: Negotiating Agreement Without Giving In*, Penguin Books, New York.

- Fishkin, J. and R.C. Luskin (2004), "Experimenting with a Democratic Ideal: Deliberative Polling and Public Opinions", paper prepared for presentation at the *Swiss Chair's Conference on Deliberation*, The European University Institute, Florence, Italy, May 21-22, 2004.
- Flores, N. and R. Carson (1997), "The Relationship Between the Income Elasticities of Demand and Willingness to Pay", *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 33, 287-295.
- Fraga, J. (2006), "Local Perspectives In Conservation Politics: The Case of the Ria Lagartos Biosphere Reserve, Yucatan, Mexico", *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 74(3-4), 285-295
- Frank, G. and F. Müller (2003), "Voluntary Approaches in Protection of Forests in Austria", *Environmental Science and Policy*, 6: 261-269.
- Frederick, S., G. Loewenstein and T. O'Donoghue (2002), "Time Discounting and Time Preferences: A Critical Review", *Journal of Economic Literature* 40, 351-401.
- Freudenburg, W., L. Wilson and D. O'Leary (1998), "Forty Years of Spotted Owls? A Longitudinal Analysis of Logging Industry Job Losses", *Sociological Perspectives* 41(1), 1-26.
- Gale, D. (1973), "Pure Exchange Equilibrium In Dynamic Economic Models", *Journal of Economic Theory* 6, 12-36.
- Gaston, K. (2005), "Biodiversity and Extinction: Species and People", *Progress in Physical Geography* 29(2), 239-247.
- Gatti, R. et al. (2004), "The Biodiversity Bargaining Problem", *Cambridge Working Papers in Economics*, No. 0447, Faculty of Economics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK.
- Gawler, M. (ed.) (2002), "Strategies for Wise Use of Wetlands: Best Practices in Participatory Management", in proceedings of a workshop held at the *2nd International Conference on Wetlands and Developments* (November 1998, Dakar, Senegal), Wetlands International, IUCN, WWF publication No. 56, Wageningen, Netherlands.
- GEF (Global Environment Facility), 2006, "The Role of Local Benefits in Global Environmental Programs", *Evaluation Report No. 30*, Global Environment Facility Evaluation Office, Washington DC.
- Geisler, C. and de Sousa, R. (2001), "From Refuge to Refugee: The African Case", *Public Adm. Dev.* 21: 159-70.
- Gerlagh, R. and M.A. Keyzer (2001), "Sustainability and the Intergenerational Distribution of Natural Resource Entitlements", *J. Public Econom.* 79 (2001) 315-341.
- Gibson, C.C., J.T. Williams and E. Ostrom (2005), "Local Enforcement and Better Forests", *World Development* 33(2), 273-284.
- Gjertsen, H. (2005), "Can Habitat Protection Lead to Improvements in Human Well-Being? Evidence from Marine Protected Areas in the Philippines", *World Development* 33(2), 199-217.
- Gjertsen, H. and C.B. Barrett (2004), "Context-Dependent Biodiversity Conservation Management Regimes: Theory and Simulation", *Land Economics* 80(3): 321-339.
- Goeschl, T. and D. Iglori (2004), "Reconciling Conservation and Development: A Dynamic Hotelling Model of Extractive Reserves", *Land Economics* 80(3), 340-354.

- Goeschl, T. and D. Iglori (2006), "Property Rights for Biodiversity Conservation and Development: Extractive Reserves in the Brazilian Amazon", *Development and Change* 37(2), 427-51.
- Gollier, C. (2002a), "Time Horizon and the Discount Rate", *Journal of Economic Theory* 107(2), 463-473.
- Gollier, C. (2002b), "Discounting an Uncertain Future", *Journal of Public Economics* 85, 149-166.
- Googch, G.D., G. Jansson and R. Mikaelsson (2003), *Results of Focus Groups Conducted in the River Basin Area of Motala Ström, Sweden*, River Dialogue Project, Department of Management and Economics, Political Science, Linköping University.
- Grady, S. (2000), "Kakadu National Park, Australia, Case study 11", in Beltran, J. (ed.), *Indigenous and Traditional Peoples and Protected Areas: Principles, Guidelines and Case Studies*, IUCN, Gland, Switzerland.
- Grimble, R. et al. (1995), "Trees and Trade-Offs: A Stakeholder Approach to Natural Resource Management", *Gatekeeper Series No. 52.*, International Institute for Environment and Development, London.
- Groier, M. (2004), "Socioeconomic effects of the Austrian Agro-Environmental Program. Mid-Term Evaluation 2003", *Facts and Feature 27*. Bundesanstalt für Bergbauernfragen, Vienna.
- Groom, B., et al. (2005), "Declining Discount Rates: The Long and the Short of it", *Environmental and Resource Economics* 32(4), 445-493.
- Hamilton, J.T. (2006), "Environmental Equity and the Siting of Hazardous Waste Facilities in OECD Countries", in Serret and Johnstone (eds.), *The Distributional Effects of Environmental Policy*, Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, UK.
- Hanley, N. and C. Spash (1993), *Cost Benefit Analysis and the Environment*, Edward Elgar, Cheltenham.
- Hardin, G. (1968), "The Tragedy of the Commons", *Science* 168(3859), Dec. 13th 1968, 1243-48.
- Harford, T. (2003), "Fair Trade Coffee Has a Commercial Blend", *Financial Times*, 12 Sept. 2003, 15.
- Haro, G.O., G.J. Doyo and J.G. McPeak (2005), "Linkages Between Community, Environmental, and Conflict Management: Experiences from Northern Kenya", *World Development* 33(2), 285-299.
- Heady, C. (2000), "Natural Resource Sustainability and Poverty Reduction", *Environment and Development Economics*, 5: 241-258.
- Heal, G. (1999), "Markets and Sustainability", *The Science of The Total Environment* 240(1-3), October 1999, 75-89.
- Hegan, R.L., G. Hauer and M.K. Luckert (2003), "Is the Tragedy of the Commons Likely? Factors Preventing the Dissipation of Fuelwood Rents in Zimbabwe", *Land Economics* 79 (2): 181-197.
- Hepburn, C. (2006), "Use of Discount Rates in the Estimation of the Costs of Inaction with Respect to Selected Environmental Concerns", Working Party on National Environmental Policies, OECD, Paris.

- Herrera, A. and da Passano, M.G. (2006), "Land Tenure Alternative Conflict Management", *FAO Land Tenure Manuals No. 2*, Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations, Land Tenure Service, Rural Development Division, Rome.
- Hiedanpää, J. (2002), "European-Wide Conservation Versus Local Well-Being: The Reception of the Natura 2000 Reserve Network in Karvia, SW-Finland", *Landscape and Urban Planning* 61: 113-123.
- HM Treasury (2003), *The Green Book – Appraisal and Evaluation in Central Government – Treasury Guidance*, TSO, London.
- Hökby, S. and T. Söderqvist (2003), "Elasticities of Demand and Willingness to Pay for Environmental Services in Sweden", *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 26, 361-383.
- Homma, A.K.O. (1992), "The Dynamics of Extraction in Amazonia: A Historical Perspective", in Nepstad, D.C. and S. Schwartzman (eds.), *Non-Timber Products from Tropical Forests: Evaluation of a Conservation and Development Strategy*, *Advances in Economic Botany* 9: 33-42, The New York Botanical Garden, New York.
- Horne, P. (2004), "Forest Owners' Acceptance of Incentive Based Instruments in Forest Biodiversity Conservation – A Choice Experiment Based Approach", paper presented at the 48th Annual Conference of the Australian Agriculture and Resource Economics Society.
- Horne, P. and A. Naskali (2006), *Voluntary Scheme for Forest Protection on Private Land as Part of the METSO Programme in Finland*, Finnish Forest Research Institute, case study prepared for OECD, Paris.
- Horowitz, J.K. and K.E. McConnell (2003), "Willingness to Accept, Willingness to Pay and the Income Effect", *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 51(4), 537-545.
- Horton, B., et al. (2003), "Evaluating Non-Users' Willingness to Pay for the Implementation of a Proposed National Parks Program in Amazonia", *Environmental Conservation* 20(2), 139-146.
- Howarth, R. (2000), "Normative Criteria for Climate Change Policy Analysis", *Redefining Progress*, San Francisco.
- Hubacek, K and W. Bauer (1999), *Economic Incentive Measures in the Creation of the National Park Neusiedler See Seewinkel*, OECD, Paris.
- Humphreys, D. (2001), "Forest Negotiations at the United Nations: Explaining Cooperation and Discord", *Forest Policy and Economics*, 3: 125-135.
- Islam, M and J.B. Braden (2006), "Bio-economic Development of Floodplains: Farming Versus Fishing in Bangladesh", *Environment and Development Economics* 11, 95-126.
- James, R.F. (1999), "Public Participation and Environmental Decision-Making – New Approaches", paper presented at the *National Conference of the Environmental Institute of Australia*, 1-3 December, 1999.
- James, R.F. and R.K. Blamey (2000), *A Citizens' Jury Study of National Park Management, Canberra*, Australian National University, Canberra, available at: <http://cjp.anu.edu.au>.
- Jepson, P., F. Momberg and H. van Noord (2002), "A Review of the Efficacy of the Protected Area System of East Kalimantan Province, Indonesia", *Nat. Areas J.* 22(1): 28-42.

- Johannesen, A.B. and A. Skonhøft (2004), "Property Rights and Natural Resource Conservation. A Bio-Economic Model with Numerical Illustrations from the Serengeti-Mara Ecosystem", *Environmental and Resource Economics* 28(4), 469-488.
- Johansson-Stenman, O. (2005), "Distributive Weights in Cost-Benefit Analysis – Should We Forget About Them?", *Land Economics* 81(3), 337-352.
- Jones, B. and M. Murphree (2001), "The Evolution of Policy on Community Conservation in Namibia and Zimbabwe", in D. Hulme and M. Murphree (eds.) *African Wildlife and Livelihoods: The Promise and Performance of Community Conservation*, James Currey, Oxford.
- Just, R.E., D.L. Hueth and A. Schmitz (2004), *The Welfare Economics of Public Policy*, Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, UK.
- Just, R.E. and D.L. Hueth (1979), "Multimarket Welfare Measurement", *American Economic Review* 69(5), 947-54.
- Justino, P., J. Litchfield and Y. Niimi (2004), "Multidimensional Inequality: An Empirical Application to Brazil", PRUS Working Paper No. 24, Poverty Research Unit, Department of Economics, University of Sussex.
- Kahn, M. and J. Matsusaka (1997), "Demand for Environmental Goods. Evidence from Voting Patterns on California Initiatives", *Journal of Law and Economics* 40, 137-173.
- Kakwani, N.C. (1977), "Measurement of Tax Progressivity: An International Comparison", *Economic Journal* 87(345), 71-80.
- Kalter, R.J. and T.H. Stevens (1971), "Resource Investment, Impact Distribution, and Evaluation Concepts", *American Journal Agricultural Economics*, 53(2), 206-215.
- Kelly, B., M. Brown and O. Byers (eds.) (2001), *Mexican Wolf Reintroduction Program, Three-Year Review Workshop: Final Report*, IUCN/SSC Conservation Breeding Specialist Group, Apple Valley, MN, USA.
- Kenyon, W. and C. Nevin (2001), "The Use of Economic and Participatory Approaches to Assess Forest Development: A Case Study in the Ettrick Valley", *Forest Policy and Economics* 3: 69-80.
- Khare, A. and D. Bray (2004), *Study of Critical New Forest Conservation Issues in the Global South*, Ford Foundation, New York.
- Kishor, N. and R. Damania (2006), "Crime and Justice in the Garden of Eden: Improving Governance and Reducing Corruption in the Forestry Sector", in J. Edgardo Campos and S. Pradhan (eds.), *The Many Faces of Corruption: Tracking Vulnerabilities at the Sector Level*, The World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Kolm, S. (1977), "Multidimensional Egalitarianisms", *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 91 (1977), 1.
- Konisky, D.M. and T.C. Beierle (2001), "Innovation in Public Participation and Environmental Decision Making: Examples from the Great Lakes Region", *Research Note, Society and Natural Resources* 14: 815-826.
- Kontogianni A. et al. (2001), "Integrating Stakeholder Analysis in Non-Market Valuation of Environmental Assets", *Ecological Economics* 37: 123-138.
- Koopmans, T. (1965), "On the Concept of Optimal Economic Growth", in: Pontificiae Academiae Scientiarum Scriptum Varia (ed.): *The Economic Approach to Development Planning*, North-Holland, Amsterdam.



- Kothari A. (2004), "Displacement Fears", *Frontline*, 21(26), 18-31 Dec., India. Available at [www.frontlineonnet.com/fl2126/stories/20041231000108500.htm](http://www.frontlineonnet.com/fl2126/stories/20041231000108500.htm).
- Kooten, G.C. van and E.H. Bulte (2000), *The Economics of Nature: Managing Biological Assets*, Wiley-Blackwell Publishing.
- Kramer, R. and E. Mercer (1997), "Valuing a Global Environmental Good: US Residents' Willingness to Pay to Protect Tropical Rain Forests", *Land Economics* 73, 196-210.
- Krautkraemer, J.A. and R.G. Batina (1999), "On Sustainability and Intergenerational Transfers with a Renewable Resource", *Land Economics* 75, 167-184.
- Kriström, B. (2006), "Framework for Assessing the Distribution of Financial Effects of Environmental Policy", in Y. Serret and N. Johnstone (eds.), *The Distributional Effects of Environmental Policy*, Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, UK.
- Kriström, B and P. Riera (1996), "Is the Income Elasticity of Environmental Improvements Less Than One?" *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 7, 45-55.
- Krüger O. (2004), "The Role of Ecotourism in Conservation: Panacea or Pandora's Box?" *Biodivers. Conserv.* 14(3): 579-600.
- Krutilla, J.V. (1967), "Conservation Reconsidered", *American Economic Review* 57(4), 777-786.
- Kumar, S. (2002), "Does Participation' in Common Pool Resource Management Help the Poor? A Social Cost-Benefit Analysis of Joint Forest Management in Jharkhand, India", *World Development* 30: 763-782.
- Lake, D. and M. Baum (2001), "The Invisible Hand of Democracy: Political Control and the Provision of Public Services", *Comparative Political Studies* 34(6), 587-621.
- Langholz, J.A. and W. Krug (2004), "New Forms of Biodiversity Governance: Non State Actors and the Private Protected Area Action Plan", *Journal of International Wildlife Law and Policy*, 7, 9-29.
- Lawrence, D. (2000), *Kakadu: The Making of a National Park*, Melbourne Univ. Press, Melbourne, Australia.
- Leakey, R.E., and R. Lewin (1995), *Sixth Extinction: Patterns of Life and the Future of Humankind*, Anchor Books, New York.
- Lee, D.R. and C.B. Barrett (2001), *Tradeoffs or Synergies? Agricultural Intensification, Economic Development and the Environment*, CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK.
- Libecap, G.D. and J. Smith (2002), "The Economic Evolution of Petroleum Property Rights in the United States", *Journal of Legal Studies* 31(2), 589-608.
- Li, C.Z. and K.G. Löfgren (2000), "Renewable Resources and Economic Sustainability: A Dynamic Analysis with Heterogeneous Time Preferences", *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 40, 236-250.
- Lind, R.C. (1995), "Intergenerational Equity, Discounting, and the Role of Cost-Benefit Analysis in Evaluating Global Climate Policy", *Energy Policy* 23: 379-389.
- Linde-Rahr, M. (1998), *Rural Reforestation: Gender Effects on Private Investments in Vietnam*, Working Paper, Department of Economics, Goteborg University, Sweden.
- Lopez, T.T. de (2003), "Economics and Stakeholders of Ream National Park, Cambodia", *Ecological Economics* 46: 269-282.
- Luck, G. et al. (2004), "Alleviating Spatial Conflict Between People and Biodiversity", *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 101(1), 182-186.

- Lusty, C. (2000), "The Lapponian Area, Sweden", Case study 5, in Beltran, J. (ed.), *Indigenous and Traditional Peoples and Protected Areas: Principles, Guidelines and Case Studies*, IUCN, Gland, Switzerland.
- Lybbert, T.J., C.B. Barrett and H. Narjisse (2002), "Market-based Conservation and Local Benefits: The Case of Argan Oil in Morocco", *Ecological Economics* 41, 125-144.
- Lynch, L. and S. Lovell (2003), "Combining Spatial and Survey Data to Explain Participation in Agricultural Land Preservation Programs", *Land Economics* 79 (2): 259-276.
- Maasoumi, E. (1986), "The Measurement and Decomposition of Multi-Dimensional Inequality", *Econometrica* 54 (1986), 991-997.
- Mahatny S. and D. Russel (2002), "High Staked: Lessons from Stakeholder Groups in the Biodiversity Conservation Network", *Society and Natural Resources*, 15: 179-188.
- Maikhuri, R.K. et al. (2000), Analysis and Resolution of Protected Area-People Conflicts in Nanda Devi Biosphere Reserve, India, *Environmental Conservation* 27(1): 43-53.
- Marcouiller, D.W. and J.C. Stier (1996), *Modelling the Regional Economic Aspects of Forest Management Alternatives*, research paper, McIntere Stennis Program of USDA, University of Wisconsin, Madison, USA.
- Margulis, S. (2004), "Causes of Deforestation of the Brazilian Amazon", *World Bank Working Paper No. 22*, The World Bank, Washington DC.
- Markandya, A. (2001), "Poverty Alleviation and Sustainable Development: Implications for the Management of Natural Capital", prepared for the International Institute for Sustainable Development (IISD) *Workshop on Poverty and Sustainable Development*, 23rd January, Ottawa.
- Marsiliani, L. and T.I. Renström (2000), "Time Inconsistency in Environmental Policy: Tax Earmarking as a Commitment Solution", *Economic Journal* 110, 123-138.
- Mashinya, J. (2007), *Participation and Devolution in Zimbabwe's CAMPFIRE Program: Findings from Local Projects in Mahenyeand Nyamiyami*, Faculty of Graduate School of the University of Maryland, USA.
- McLean, J. and S. Straede (2003), "Conservation, Relocation and the Paradigms of Park and People Management – A Case Study of Padampur Villages and the Royal Chitwan National Park, Nepal", *Soc. Nat. Res.* 16: 509-26.
- McNeely, J.A. and S.J. Scherr (2003), *Ecoagriculture: Strategies to Feed the World and Save Wild Biodiversity*, Island Press, Washington, DC.
- Menezes, M. (1994), "As Reservas Extrativistas como Alternativa ao Desmatamento na Amazônia", in Arnt, R. (ed.) *O Destino da Floresta: Reservas Extrativistas e Desenvolvimento Sustentável na Amazônia*, Relume Dumará, Rio de Janeiro.
- Meyer, S. (2001), "Community Politics and Endangered Species Protection", in: Shogren, J. and J. Tschirhart (eds.), *Protecting Endangered Species in the United States. Biological Needs, Political Realities, Economic Choices* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Millimet, D. and D. Slottje (2000), *The Distribution of Pollution in the United States: An Environmental Gini Approach*, working paper, Southern Methodist University, Dallas, Texas.
- Mirrlees, J. (1979), *The Implications of Moral Hazard for Optimal Insurance*, mimeo, seminar given at the conference held in honor of Karl Borch, Bergen, Norway.

- Moore, C. (1996), *The Mediation Process – Practical Strategies for Resolving Conflict*, 2nd edition, Wiley/Jossey-Bass publishers, San Francisco.
- Moore, L, L. Michaelson and S. Orenstein (2000), *Designation of Critical Habitat National Project, Digest of the Process and Results*, Institute of Environmental Conflict Resolution, Tuscon, Arizona.
- Morris, C. (2004), “Networks of Agrienvironmental Policy Implementation: A Case Study of England’s Countryside Stewardship Scheme”, *Land Use Policy*, 21: 177-191.
- Mourmouras, A. (1993), “Conservationist Government Policies and Intergenerational Equity in an Overlapping Generations Model with Renewable Resources”, *Journal of Public Economics* 51, 249-268.
- Mowat, S. (2006), *The Design and Implementation of the Entry Level Scheme in England*, DEFRA, UK, case prepared for the OECD.
- Musgrave, R.A. (1959), *The Theory of Public Finance*, McGraw Hill, New York.
- Musinguzi, M. (2006), “Making Partnerships for Sustainable Gorilla Tourism in Mgahinga Mountain”, *Mountain Forum Bulletin*, Volume VI, Issue 1, January 2006, pp. 4-5 [www.mtnforum.org](http://www.mtnforum.org).
- Naidoo, R. and W.L. Adamowicz (2005), “Biodiversity and Nature-Based Tourism at Forest Reserves in Uganda”, *Environment and Development Economics* 10: 159-178.
- Naidoo, R. and W.L. Adamowicz (2006a), “Mapping the Economic Costs and Benefits of Conservation”, *Public Library of Science-Biology* 4(11), 2153-2163.
- Naidoo, R. and W.L. Adamowicz (2006b), “Modeling Opportunity Costs of Conservation in Transitional Landscapes”, *Conservation Biology* 20, 490-500.
- Nath, S.K. (1969), *A Reappraisal of Welfare Economics*, Routledge, London.
- National Round Table on the Environment and the Economy (2005), *Boreal Futures: Governance, Conservation and Development in Canada’s Boreal*, National Round Table on the Environment and the Economy, Ottawa.
- Natural Resources Canada (2005), *First Nations Forestry Program – Success Stories*, Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forestry Service, Ottawa (online: [www.fnfp.gc.ca/index\\_e.php](http://www.fnfp.gc.ca/index_e.php))
- Neary, J.P. (1999), “Comment on Venables (1999) Economic Policy and the Manufacturing Base: Hysteresis in Location”, in: Baldwin, R. E., Francois, J. F. (eds.), *Dynamic Issues in Commercial Policy Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 196-200.
- Nepal S.J. (2000), “Wood Buffalo National Park, Canada”, Case study 4, in Beltran, J. (ed.), *Indigenous and Traditional Peoples and Protected Areas: Principles, Guidelines and Case Studies*, IUCN, Gland, Switzerland.
- Neumann, R. (2004), “Moral and Discursive Geographies in the War for Biodiversity in Africa”, *Polit. Geogr.* 23: 813-37.
- Nijkamp, P., P. Rietveld and H. Voogd (1990), *Multi-criteria Evaluation in Physical Planning*, North Holland, Amsterdam.
- North, D.C. (1990), *Institutions, Institutional Change and Economic Performance*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- O’Connor, M. (2000), “The VALSE project – an introduction”, *Ecological Economics* 34: 165-174.

- O'Leary, R. and L. Bingham (2004), *The Promise and Performance of Environmental Conflict Resolution*, Resources for the Future, Washington DC.
- OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development) (1996), *Saving Biological Diversity: Economic Incentives*, OECD, Paris
- OECD (1997), *Evaluating Economic Instruments for Environmental Policy*, OECD, Paris.
- OECD (1999), *Handbook of Incentive Measures for Biodiversity: Design and Implementation* OECD, Paris.
- OECD (2002), *Handbook of Biodiversity Valuation: A Guide for Policy Makers*, OECD, Paris.
- OECD (2003), *Harnessing Markets for Biodiversity Towards Conservation and Sustainable Use*, OECD, Paris.
- OECD (2004), *OECD Environmental Performance Reviews: Sweden*, OECD, Paris.
- OECD (2006), *OECD Environmental Performance Reviews: Korea*, OECD, Paris.
- Ohl, C. et al. (2006), "Managing Land Use and Land Cover Change in the Biodiversity Context with Regard to Efficiency, Equality and Ecological Effectiveness", *UFZ-Discussion Papers 3/2006*, February 2006, UFZ Centre for Environmental Research Leipzig, Germany.
- Okun, A.M. (1975), *Equality and Efficiency: The Big Tradeoff*, The Brookings Institution, Washington DC.
- Ostrom, E. and R. Gardner (1993), "Coping with Asymmetries in the Commons: Self-Governing Irrigation Systems Can Work", *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 7(4), 93-112.
- Pagiola, S., A. Arcenas and G. Platais (2005), "Can Payments for Environmental Services Help Reduce Poverty? An Exploration of the Issues and the Evidence to Date from Latin America", *World Development* 33(2), 237-253.
- Pearce, D. (1983), *Cost-Benefit Analysis*, Second edition, MacMillan, London.
- Pearce, D. (1998), "Cost-benefit Analysis and Environmental Policy", *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, 144, 84-100.
- Pearce, D. (2006), "Framework for Assessing the Distribution of Environmental Quality", in Serret, Y. and N. Johnstone (eds.), *The Distributional Effects of Environmental Policy*, Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, UK.
- Pearce, D. and D. Moran (1994), *The Economic Value of Biodiversity*, IUCN and Earthscan, London.
- Pearce, D. and R.K. Turner (1990), *Economics of Natural Resources and the Environment*, Johns Hopkins Press, Baltimore.
- Pearce, D. and D. Ulph (1995), "A Social Discount Rate For The United Kingdom", *CSERGE Working Paper No. 95-01*, School of Environmental Studies University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK.
- Pearce, D., G. Atkinson and S. Mourato (2006), *Cost Benefit Analysis and the Environment: Recent Developments*, OECD, Paris.
- Pearce, D. et al. (2003), "Valuing the Future – Recent Advances in Social Discounting", *World Economics* 4(2), 121-141.
- Pelletier, M. (2002), *Enhancing Cree Participation by Improving The Forest Management Planning Process*, a project of the Waswanipi Cree Model Forest, Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Ottawa.

- Peluso, N.L. (1993), "Coercing Conservation: The Politics of State Resource Control", *Glob. Environ. Change* 3(2): 199-218.
- Perrings, C. et al. (eds.) (1995), *Biodiversity Loss: Economic and Ecological Issues*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Pezzey, J. (1992), *Sustainable Development Concepts: An Economic Analysis*, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Pretty, J. (2003), "Social Capital and the Collective Management of Resources", *Science* 302 (12 Dec. 2003), 1912-1914.
- Proctor, W. (2000), "Towards Sustainable Forest Management, An Application of Multi-criteria Analysis to Australian Forest Policy", paper presented at the *Third International Conference of the European Society for Ecological Economics*, 3-6 May 2000, Vienna, Austria.
- Proctor, W. and M. Drechsler (2003), "Deliberative Multicriteria Evaluation: A case study of recreation and tourism options in Victoria Australia", paper presented at the *European Society for Ecological Economics, Frontiers 2 Conference*, Tenerife, 11-15 February 2003.
- Quang, D.V. and T.N. Anh (2007), "Commercial Collection of NTFPs and Households Living in or Near the Forests: Case study in Que, Con Cuong and Ma, Tuong Duong, Nghe An, Viet Nam", *Ecological Economics*, forthcoming.
- Radner, R. and J. Stiglitz (1984), "A Nonconcavity in the Value of Information," in M. Boyer and R. Kihlstrom (eds.) *Bayesian Models in Economic Theory*, Elsevier Science Publishers, New York.
- Ramsey, F.P. (1928), "A Mathematical Theory of Saving", *Economic Journal* 38, 543-559.
- Rangarajan, M. (1996), *Fencing the Forest: Conservation and Ecological Change in India's Central Provinces 1860-1914*, Oxford University Press, New Delhi.
- Rao, M., A. Rabinowitz and S.T. Khaing (2002), "Status Review of the Protected-Area System in Myanmar, with Recommendations for Conservation Planning", *Conserv. Biol.* 16(2): 360-68.
- Reardon, T. and S.A. Vosti (1995), "Links Between Rural Poverty and the Environment in Developing Countries: Asset Categories and Investment Poverty", *World Development* 23(9), 1495-1506.
- Reddy, S.R.C. and S. P. Chakravarty (1999), "Forest Dependence and Income Distribution in a Subsistence Economy: Evidence from India" *World Development* 27(7), 1141-1149.
- Reid, H. et al. (2004), "Co-management of Contractual National Parks in South Africa: Lessons from Australia", *Conservation and Society*, 2, 2: 377-409.
- Reiling, S.D., H. Cheng and C. Trott (1992), "Measuring the Discriminatory Impact Associated with Higher Recreational Fees", *Leisure Science* 14(1992): 121-137.
- River Dialogue (2003), *River Dialogue Newsletter* 1, September 2003, [www.riverdialogue.org](http://www.riverdialogue.org).
- River Dialogue (2004), *River Dialogue Newsletter* 2, April 2004, [www.riverdialogue.org](http://www.riverdialogue.org).
- Roberts, E.H. and M.K. Gautam (2003), *Community Forestry Lessons from Australia: A Review of International Case Studies*, research report presented to Faculties Research Grant Scheme 2002-2003, The Australian National University, School Resources, Environment and Society, Canberra, Australia.

- Russell, C. and W. Vaughan (1982), "The National Recreational Fishing Benefits of Water Pollution Control", *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 1982, 328-354.
- Saberwal, V., M. Rangarajan and A. Kothari (eds.) (2000), *People, Parks and Wildlife: Towards Co-Existence*, Orient Longman Limited, Hyderabad, India.
- Sachs, J.D. and A.M. Warner (1997), "Fundamental Sources of Long-Run Growth", *American Economic Review*, 87(2), 184-88.
- Schläpfer, F. and N. Hanley (2003), "Do Local Landscape Patterns Affect the Demand for Landscape Amenities Protection?" *Journal of Agricultural Economics* 54(1), 21-35.
- Schläpfer, F., A. Roschewitz and N. Hanley (2004), "Validation of Stated Preferences for Public Goods: A Comparison of Contingent Valuation Survey Response and Voting Behaviour", *Ecological Economics*, 51(1/2), 1-16.
- Schmidt-Soltau, K. (2003), "Conservation-related Resettlement in Central Africa: Environmental and Social Risks", *Dev. Change* 34: 525-51.
- Schneider, F. (2005), "Shadow Economies of 145 Countries All over the World: What Do We Really Know?" *Crema Research Working Paper* 2005-13. Center for Research in Economics, Management and the Arts, Basel.
- Schou, J.S. and J.C. Streibig (1999), "Pesticide Taxes in Scandinavia", *Pesticide Outlook* 10, Dec. 1999, 127-129.
- Sen, A.K. (1997), *Choice, Welfare and Measurement*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Serret, Y. and N. Johnstone, (2006), *The Distributional Effects of Environmental Policy*, Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, UK.
- Shyamsundar, P. and R. Kramer (1997), "Biodiversity Conservation – At What Cost? A Study of Households in the Vicinity of Madagascar's Mantadia National Park", *Ambio*, 26(3), 180-184.
- Simpson, R.D., R.A. Sedjo and J.W. Reid (1996), "Valuing Biodiversity for Use in Pharmaceutical Research", *Journal of Political Economy* 104(1), 163-185.
- Smith, R.J. et al. (2003), "Governance and the Loss of Biodiversity", *Nature* 426(6962), 67-70.
- Smith, S. (1995), *"Green' Taxes and Charges: Policy and Practice in Britain and Germany"*, The Institute of Fiscal Studies, London.
- Smyth, D. (2001), "Joint Management of National Parks in Australia", in Baker, R., Davies, J. and Young, E. (eds.), *Working on Country, Contemporary Indigenous Management of Australia's Lands and Coastal Regions*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom.
- Solow, R.M. (1974), "The Economics of Resources or the Resources of Economics", *American Economic Review* 64(2), 869-877.
- Southgate, D. (1998), *Tropical Forest Conservation: An Economic Assessment of the Alternatives in Latin America*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Southgate, D. et al. (2000), "Markets, Institutions and Forestry: The Consequences of Timber Trade Liberalization in Ecuador", *World Development* 28(11), 2005-2012.
- Spence M. (1999), *Dispossessing the Wilderness: Indian Removal and the Making of the National Parks*, Oxford Univ. Press, New York.

- Start, D. and I. Hovland (2004), *Tools for Policy Impact, A Handbook for Researchers*, Research and Policy Development Programme, Overseas Development Institute, London.
- Stern, N. (1997), *Macroeconomic Policy and the Role of the State in a Changing World; Development Strategy and Management of the Market Economy*. Volume 1, Oxford University Press, Clarendon Press for the United Nations, Oxford and New York.
- Stern, N. (2006), *Stern Review on the Economics of Climate Change*, HMS Treasury, London
- Stoll-Kleemann, S. (2001), "Reconciling Opposition to Protected Areas Management in Europe: The German Experience", *Environment* 43(5), 32-44.
- Suman, D., M. Shivlani and J.W. Milon (1999), "Perceptions and Attitudes Regarding Marine Reserves: A Comparison of Stakeholder Groups in the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary", *Ocean and Coastal Management*, 42: 1019-1040.
- Sunderlin, W.D. et al. (2005), "Livelihoods, Forests, and Conservation in Developing Countries: An Overview", *World Development* 33, 9, 1383-1402.
- Swanson, T. (1994), "The Economics of Extinction Revisited and Revised: A Generalized Framework for the Analysis of the Problem of Endangered Species and Biodiversity Losses", *Oxford Economic Papers* 46, 800-821.
- Swanson, T. (ed.) (1995), *The Economics and Ecology of Biodiversity Decline*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Swanson, T. (1996), "The Reliance of Northern Economies on Southern Biodiversity: Biodiversity as Information", *Ecological Economics* 17(1), 1-8.
- Taylor, D.F. (2001), "Employment-based Analysis: An Alternative Methodology for Project Evaluation in Developing Regions, with an Application to Agriculture in Yucatán", *Ecological Economics*, 36: 249-262.
- Taylor, D.F. and I. Adelman (1996), *Village Economies: The Design, Estimation and Use of Village-wide Economic Models*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- The Economist* (2006), "Shots Across the Stern", *The Economist*, Economics Focus, 13 Dec. 2006.
- The Economist* (2007), "Conservation in Colorado", *The Economist*, 1 Feb. 2007.
- Theil, H. and R. Finke (1983), "The Consumer's Demand for Diversity", *European Economic Review*, 23(3), 395-400.
- Tikka, P.M. (2003), "Conservation Contracts in Habitat Protection in Southern Finland", *Environmental Science and Policy*, 6, 271-278.
- Torell, D.J. (1993), "Viewpoint: Alternative Dispute Resolution in Public Management", *Journal of Range Management* 46 (6), November, 70-73.
- Trannoy, A. (2003), "About the Right Weight of the Social Welfare Function when Needs Differ", *IDEP Working Papers* 2004 0304, Institut d'économie publique (IDEP), Marseille, France.
- US Department of Interior, US Fish and Wildlife Service and Environmental Defense (2005a), *Conservation Profiles: Landowners Help Imperiled Wildlife*, US Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington DC.
- US Department of Interior, US Fish and Wildlife Service, National Association of Conservation Districts, USDA, American Forest Foundation and Environmental Defence (2005b), *Working Together: Tolls for Helping Imperiled Wildlife on Private Lands*, US Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington DC.

- UNDP (United Nations Development Programme) (1990), *Human Development Report 1990*, United Nations Development Programme, United Nations, New York.
- Unsworth, R. et al. (2005), *Mexican Wolf Blue Range Reintroduction Project 5-Year Review, Socio-economic Component*, US Fish and Wildlife Service, Arlington, Virginia.
- Warr, P.G. (1983), "The Private Provision of a Public Good is Independent of the Distribution of Income", *Economics Letters* 13, 207-211.
- Wätzold, F. and M. Drechsler (2005), "Spatially Uniform versus Spatially Heterogeneous Compensation Payments for Biodiversity-Enhancing Land-Use Measures", *Environmental and Resource Economics* 31, 73-93.
- Weimer, D.L. and A.R. Vining (1998), *Policy Analysis – Concepts and Practice*, third edition, Prentice Hall.
- Weitzman, M.L. (1998), "Why the Far Distant Future Should be Discounted at its Lowest Possible Rate", *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management* 36, 201-208.
- Wells, M. (1992), "Biodiversity Conservation, Affluence and Poverty: Mismatched Costs and Benefits and Efforts to Remedy Them", *Ambio* 21(3), 237-243.
- Wells, M., K. Brandon and L. Hannah (1992), *People and Parks: Linking Protected Area Management with Local Communities*, The World Bank, Washington DC.
- Wick, K. and E.H. Bulte (2006), "Contesting Resources – Rent Seeking Conflict and the Natural Resource Curse", *Public Choice* 128: 457-476.
- Wickham, T. (1997) "Community-based Participation in Wetland Conservation: Activities and Challenges of the Danau Sentarim Wildlife Reserve Conservation Project, Danau Sentarim Wildlife Reserve, West Kalimantan, Indonesia", case study 5, in Claridge, G. and O'Callaghan (eds.), *Community Involvement in Wetland Management: Lessons from the Field*, Proceedings of Workshop 3. Wetlands, Local People and Development, International Conference on Wetlands Development, 9-13 October 1995, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, Wetlands International, Kuala Lumpur.
- Willig, R.D. (1976), "Consumer's Surplus without Apology", *American Economic Review* 66(4), 589-97.
- Wilson, R.K. (2003), "Community-Based Management and National Forests in the Western United States- Five Challenges", *Policy Matters* 12: 216-224.
- World Bank (2002), *Operational Policy 4.12: Involuntary Resettlement*, The World Bank, Washington, DC.
- World Bank (2006), *Strengthening Forest Law Enforcement and Governance: Strengthening a Systemic Constraint to Sustainable Development*, report No. 36638-GLB, The World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Young, Z, Makoni, G and Boehmer Christiansen, S. (2001), "Green Aid in India and Zimbabwe – Conserving Whose Community?" *Geoforum* 32, 299-318.
- Zbinden, S. and D.R. Lee (2005) "Paying for Environmental Services: An Analysis of Participation in Costa Rica's PSA Program", *World Development* 33(2), 255-272.



# Table of Contents

Executive Summary .....	9
<b>PART I. UNDERSTANDING THE DISTRIBUTIONAL IMPACTS OF BIODIVERSITY POLICIES</b> .....	19
<i>Chapter 1. Introduction</i> .....	21
1.1. Study rationale .....	23
1.2. Objectives and structure of the book .....	30
<i>Chapter 2. Methods for Measuring the Distributive Effects of Biodiversity Policies</i> .....	31
2.1. Efficiency, effectiveness and distribution in policy analysis .....	33
2.2. Empirical measures of distributive effects .....	35
2.3. Methods based on income-equivalent measures .....	38
2.4. Alternative one-dimensional measures .....	47
2.5. Multidimensional measures .....	50
2.6. Summary and comparison .....	59
<i>Chapter 3. The Distributive Effects of Biodiversity Policies: Static Analysis</i> .....	63
3.1. Biodiversity policies: process and instruments .....	64
3.2. The distribution of biodiversity net benefits .....	67
<i>Chapter 4. The Distributive Effects of Biodiversity Policies: Dynamic Analysis</i> .....	99
4.1. Intergenerational equity: evaluating costs and benefits across time .....	100
4.2. Discounting .....	101
4.3. Heterogeneous generations .....	106
4.4. Summary and conclusions .....	109
<b>PART II. Addressing Distributive Issues</b> .....	113
<i>Chapter 5. Should Biodiversity Policies Address Distributional Issues?</i> .....	115
5.1. Choosing between biodiversity policies when efficiency and distribution can be separated .....	116
5.2. Challenges in separating efficiency from distribution .....	122
5.3. Practical limitations to separating efficiency and distribution impacts .....	125
5.4. Integrating efficiency and equity into biodiversity policies .....	139
5.5. Summary and conclusions .....	145

<b>PART III. BRINGING DISTRIBUTIVE ISSUES INTO BIODIVERSITY POLICIES IN PRACTICE</b> .....	147
<i>Chapter 6. Procedural Approaches: Communication, Participation and Conflict Resolution</i> .....	149
6.1. Introduction .....	150
6.2. The value and implications of communication and participation .....	151
6.3. General methods for public involvement .....	152
6.4. Resolving conflicts in biodiversity policies .....	165
<i>Chapter 7. Institutional Approaches: Property Rights, Compensation and Benefit-sharing</i> .....	179
7.1. Introduction .....	180
7.2. Main features of compensation schemes and voluntary agreements .....	181
7.3. International solutions for dealing with distributional issues ....	196
<i>Chapter 8. Combining Institutional and Procedural Approaches: Community Involvement in Management Decisions</i> .....	201
8.1. Forms of community involvement .....	204
8.2. Facilitating community involvement .....	207
8.3. Examples of different forms of community involvement .....	208
<i>Chapter 9. Summary and Conclusions</i> .....	219
<b>References</b> .....	225
<i>Annex A. Case Study Overview</i> .....	245
<b>List of boxes</b>	
1.1. Opposition to protected areas in Germany .....	25
2.1. The economic theory behind distributional weights .....	45
2.2. The equity-sensitive average income .....	47
3.1. Conservation easements in Colorado .....	85
3.2. Differential impacts of ÖPUL on crop farmers and livestock farmers .....	87
4.1. Discount factors .....	101
4.2. Hyperbolic discounting in the <i>UK Green Book</i> .....	107
5.1. Tests of policy effects on welfare .....	117
5.2. Contracted conservation in Germany .....	120
5.3. Conflicts between private forest owners and biodiversity policy-makers in Finland during the Natura 2000 designation process ..	137
6.1. Methods of public involvement .....	153
6.2. Specific stakeholder involvement methods .....	157
6.3. Some alternative dispute resolution techniques .....	167

**List of tables**

0.1. Classification of policy instruments .....	13
0.2. Advantages and disadvantages of the key methods for measuring distributive effects of biodiversity policies .....	15
1.1. Extractive reserves in the Brazilian Amazon .....	28
2.1. Contribution of income sources to inequality .....	39
2.2. Extended CBA by stakeholder group .....	40
2.3. Income ranges by quintile of equalised net income .....	41
2.4. Net present values of different management scenarios .....	42
2.5. Example of a social accounting matrix .....	43
2.6. Part of the environmental SAM for 101 counties within the forested portion of the Lake States .....	44
2.7. Impacts on regional households .....	44
2.8. Two options for implementing a specific biodiversity policy ....	46
2.9. Employment-based analysis .....	48
2.10. Mean returns of alternative management scenarios and stochastic dominance .....	51
2.11. Multi-criteria impact matrix .....	52
2.12. Impact table of five forest options .....	53
2.13. Estimated impact matrix .....	54
2.14. Stakeholder weighting for different criteria .....	55
2.15. Stakeholder assessment matrix .....	57
2.16. Stakeholder matrix, Royal Bardia National Park .....	58
2.17. Advantages and disadvantages of the key methods for measuring distributive effects of biodiversity policies .....	59
3.1. Categories of economic value attributed to environmental assets	68
3.2. Empirical measures of the income elasticity of marginal WTP for biodiversity and related projects .....	72
3.3. Poverty indices, with and without forestry .....	75
3.4. Classification of policy instruments .....	84
3.5. Income loss estimates as effects of resettlement .....	90
3.6. Relative significance of protected area benefits on three spatial scales .....	93
3.7. Relative significance of protected area costs on three spatial scales	93
3.8. Spatial mismatch of potentially most significant costs and benefits .....	94
4.1. Two hypothetical cost-benefit scenarios with exponential discounting .....	104
4.2. The declining long-term discount rate .....	107
4.3. Discount rates as listed by Commissariat Général du Plan in France .....	108

5.1. Empirical estimates of the relationship between distribution of wealth and resource use in CPRs .....	128
5.2. Examples of impacts of policies regulating CPRs .....	128
6.1. Strengths and challenges of participatory methods .....	152
6.2. Comparison of participatory methods .....	155
6.3. Summary of stakeholder involvement methods .....	158
6.4. Synopsis of cases .....	159
6.5. Management options for national parks in NSW .....	160
6.6. Characteristics of focus groups in River Dialogue .....	161
6.7. Examples of potential conflict situations .....	166
6.8. Main differences between distributive/positional and integrative/principled bargaining .....	171
6.9. Synopsis of selected conflict cases .....	173
7.1. Main characteristics of compensation schemes and voluntary agreements .....	183
7.2. Overview of options in financial incentive schemes .....	184
7.3. GEF projects and funding, 1991-2003 .....	196
7.4. Average annual bilateral biodiversity ODA reported to the OECD, 1998-2000 .....	198
8.1. Main characteristics of the three forms of community involvement	207
8.2. Overview of various regulated resources in Danau Wildlife Reserve	209
8.3. Key characteristics of Kakadu National Park .....	211

### List of figures

1.1. Market share of extractive reserves on raw latex market, Brazil .	29
2.1. Lorenz curve .....	38
3.1. The linear policy-making model .....	66
3.2. Example of net benefits and their distribution under progressive benefits and regressive costs .....	77
3.3. Distributive issues among similar countries .....	78
4.1. The evolution of the discount factor over time for different constant discount rates. ....	103
4.2. Discount factors with decreasing discount rate of the <i>UK Green Book</i> . ....	107
5.1. Corruption and illegal forest activity .....	132
5.2. Linear policy model adapted to include distributional measures ...	141
5.3. Linear policy model adapted to include procedural focus .....	143
5.4. Linear policy model adapted to include institutional focus .....	143
5.5. Linear policy model with procedural and institutional focus ...	144
7.1. GEF biodiversity projects approved, fiscal years 1991-2001 .....	197



**From:**  
**People and Biodiversity Policies**  
Impacts, Issues and Strategies for Policy Action

**Access the complete publication at:**  
<https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264034341-en>

**Please cite this chapter as:**

Bagnoli, Philip, Timo Goeschl and Eszter Kovacs (2008), “Annex A”, in *People and Biodiversity Policies: Impacts, Issues and Strategies for Policy Action*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264034341-11-en>

This document, as well as any data and map included herein, are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area. Extracts from publications may be subject to additional disclaimers, which are set out in the complete version of the publication, available at the link provided.

The use of this work, whether digital or print, is governed by the Terms and Conditions to be found at <http://www.oecd.org/termsandconditions>.