Annex C: Impact assessment summary sheet

Department /Agency:	ummary: Interventi			
Department /Agency.		Title: Impact Assessment of		
Stage:	Version:	Date	:	
Related Publications:				
Available to view or downloa	nd at:			
http://www.				
Contact for enquiries:		Teleph	one:	
what are the policy objectiv	es and the intended effects?			
	es and the intended effects?	fy any preferred o _l	otion.	
What policy options have b				ment of the
What policy options have b	een considered? Please justi			ment of the
What policy options have be with the policy be revided effects?	een considered? Please justi ewed to establish the actual of LECT STAGE Impact Assessments	osts and benefits	and the achiever	ment of the
What policy options have be with the policy be revidesired effects? Ministerial Sign-off For SE I have read the Impact	een considered? Please justi	osts and benefits	and the achiever	
What policy options have be with the policy be revidesired effects? Ministerial Sign-off For SE I have read the Impaction of the Impaction o	een considered? Please justi ewed to establish the actual of LECT STAGE Impact Assessments of Assessment and I am satifies a reasonable view of the	osts and benefits	and the achiever	

D	liou Ontin			mary: Analy				
Pol	licy Option:		Descrip	otion:				
	ANN	ANNUAL COSTS		Description and scale of key monetised costs by 'main				
	One-off (Transition)	Yrs	affected groups'				
costs	£							
	Average Annual Cost (excluding one-off)							
	€ (excluding c	j one-orr)		Total Cost (PV) €				
	~	/ non-mone	etised co	osts by 'main affec		I COSt (FV)	20	
	Outer Rey	, mon-mon	Juouu o	Joes by main ance	aca groups			
	l							
	ANNI	JAL BENEF	:ITE	Description and	erale of key i	nonetised	henefite bu	'main
	One-off	AL DENE	Yrs	affected groups'	could of Rey I		outenite by	
	£		7 118					
TS		Annual Be	nofit	-				
EFI	(excluding of		IICIIL					
=	_			Total Benefit (PV) €				
				enefits by 'main af		, ,		
	Other key	y non-mone				, ,		
Ke	Other key	ons/Sensitiv	vities/Ris	iks	fected groups			
	Other key y Assumpti		vities/Ris		fected groups		NEFIT (NPV B	est estimate)
Ke Pri Ye	Other key y Assumpti ce Base ar	ons/Sensitiv	vities/Ris	iks let Benefit Range	fected groups	NET BE		rest estimate)
Ke Pri Ye	Other key y Assumpti ce Base ar nat is the ge	ons/Sensition Time Peri Years	od N	iks	fected groups	NET BE		est estimale)
Ke Pri Ye Wh	Other key y Assumpti ce Base ar nat is the ge	ons/Sensition Time Peri Years cographic cowill the poli	od N & Doverage ocy be im	let Benefit Range	fected groups	NET BE		est estimate)
Pri Yes Wh On Wh	Other key y Assumpti ce Base ar nat is the ge what date nich organis nat is the to	Time Peri Years cographic cowill the poli sation(s) will tal annual co	od N £ overage of the control of th	let Benefit Range of the policy/option plemented? the policy? forcement for thes	(NPV)	NET BEI	NEFIT (NPV B	iest estimale)
Pri Ye Wh On Wh Do	Other key y Assumpti ce Base ar nat is the ge what date nich organis nat is the to es enforcer	Time Peri Years cographic or will the poli sation(s) wil tal annual or	od N £ overage coy be implenforce ost of en	let Benefit Range of the policy/option plemented? the policy? iforcement for thes ampton principles?	(NPV)	NET BEI	NEFIT (NPV B	est estimate)
Pri Ye Wh On Wh Do	Other key y Assumpti ce Base ar nat is the ge what date nich organis nat is the to es enforcer Il implemen	Time Peri Years cographic or will the poli sation(s) wil tal annual or ment compli-	od N £ overage cy be implemented out of en	let Benefit Range of the policy/option plemented? the policy? iforcement for thes ampton principles? nimum EU require	(NPV) e organisation ments?	NET BEI	NEFIT (NPV B	vest estimate)
Pri Yes Wh On Wh Do Wil	other key y Assumpti ce Base ar nat is the ge what date nich organis nat is the to es enforcer Il implemen nat is the va	Time Peri Years eographic or will the poli sation(s) will tal annual or ment compli- tation go be alue of the p	od N £ overage cy be implenforce ost of en y with Haeyond mirroposed	let Benefit Range of the policy/option plemented? the policy? iforcement for thes ampton principles? nimum EU requirer offsetting measure	(NPV) e organisation ments? e per year?	NET BEI	NEFIT (NPV B	est estimate)
Pri Ye On Wh Do Wi Wh	other key y Assumpti ce Base ar nat is the ge what date nich organis nat is the to es enforce Il implemen nat is the va nat is the va nat is the va	Time Peri Years eographic or will the poli sation(s) will tal annual or ment compli- tation go be alue of the p	od N & coverage of the coverag	let Benefit Range of the policy/option plemented? the policy? iforcement for thes ampton principles? nimum EU require	(NPV) e organisation ments? e per year? issions?	NET BEI	£ Yes/No Yes/No	est estimate)
Pri Yes Wh On Wh Do Wi Wh Wh Wh	other key y Assumpti ce Base ar nat is the ge what date nich organis nat is the to es enforce Il implemen nat is the va	Time Peri Years eographic or will the poli sation(s) will tal annual or ment compli- tation go be alue of the p	od N & overage of the control of the	let Benefit Range of the policy/option plemented? the policy? forcement for thes ampton principles? nimum EU requirer offsetting measure reenhouse gas emi nt impact on compe	(NPV) e organisation ments? e per year? issions?	NET BEI	E Yes/No Yes/No £	est estimate)
Pri Yes Wh On Wh Do Wh Wh Wh Wh Wh	other key y Assumpti ce Base ar nat is the ge what date in the tor es enforcer il implement in the va at is the va at is the va il the propo nual cost (f	Time Peri Years cographic cowill the poli sation(s) will tal annual completation go be alue of the palue of chan sal have a s	od N £ overage cy be implemented by with Ha everyoned ges in granificant anisation	let Benefit Range of the policy/option plemented? the policy? iforcement for thes ampton principles? nimum EU requirel offsetting measure reenhouse gas emi t impact on compe	(NPV) e organisation ments? e per year? issions?	NET BEI €	£ Yes/No Yes/No £ £ Yes/No	
Pringer Who Do Who Who Who Who Who Who Who Who Anales Area	other key y Assumpti ce Base ar nat is the ge what date nich organis nat is the to es enforce il implemen nat is the va at is the	Time Peri Years eographic cowill the polisation(s) will tal annual coment complitation go be alue of the palue of chansal have as E-£) per organisa	od N £ overage cy be implemented by with Harry with Harry proposed ges in gradination anisation extitions except the second second by the sec	let Benefit Range of the policy/option plemented? the policy? iforcement for thes ampton principles? nimum EU requirel offsetting measure reenhouse gas emi t impact on compe	(NPV) e organisation ments? e per year? issions? tition?	NET BE	REFIT (NPV B	Large N/A

Evidence Base (for summary sheets) [Use this space (with a recommended maximum of 30 pages) to set out the evidence, analysis and detailed narrative from which you have generated your policy options or proposal. Ensure that the information is organised in such a way as to explain clearly the summary information on the preceding pages of this form.] <Click here and type, or double click to paste in this style. Format using EB styles.>

3

Specific Impact Tests: Checklist

Use the table below to demonstrate how broadly you have considered the potential impacts of your policy options.

Ensure that the results of any tests that impact on the cost-benefit analysis are contained within the main evidence base; other results may be annexed.

Type of testing undertaken	Results in Evidence Base?	Results annexed?	
Competition Assessment	Yes/No	Yes/No	
Small Firms Impact Test	Yes/No	Yes/No	
Legal Aid	Yes/No	Yes/No	
Sustainable Development	Yes/No	Yes/No	
Carbon Assessment	Yes/No	Yes/No	
Other Environment	Yes/No	Yes/No	
Health Impact Assessment	Yes/No	Yes/No	
Race Equality	Yes/No	Yes/No	
Disability Equality	Yes/No	Yes/No	
Gender Equality	Yes/No	Yes/No	
Human Rights	Yes/No	Yes/No	
Rural Proofing	Yes/No	Yes/No	

	Annex	es	
< Click once and paste, or double	click to paste in	this style.>	
	5		



From:

Better Regulation in Europe: United Kingdom 2010

Access the complete publication at:

https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264084490-en

Please cite this chapter as:

OECD (2010), "Annex C: Impact assessment summary sheet", in *Better Regulation in Europe: United Kingdom 2010*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264084490-16-en

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document and any map included herein are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area.

You can copy, download or print OECD content for your own use, and you can include excerpts from OECD publications, databases and multimedia products in your own documents, presentations, blogs, websites and teaching materials, provided that suitable acknowledgment of OECD as source and copyright owner is given. All requests for public or commercial use and translation rights should be submitted to rights@oecd.org. Requests for permission to photocopy portions of this material for public or commercial use shall be addressed directly to the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) at info@copyright.com or the Centre français d'exploitation du droit de copie (CFC) at contact@cfcopies.com.

