## EMERGENCY CORE COOLING STRAINERS – THE CANDU EXPERIENCE

**A. Eyvindson and D. Rhodes** Atomic Energy of Canada Limited, Canada

> **P. Carson** New Brunswick Power, Canada

**G. Makdessi** Ontario Power Generation, Canada

#### **Summary**

The Canadian nuclear industry, including Atomic Energy of Canada Limited (AECL) and the four nuclear utilities (New Brunswick Power, Hydro-Québec, Ontario Power Generation and Bruce Power) have been heavily involved in strainer clogging issues since the late 1990s. A substantial knowledge base has been obtained with support from various organisations, including the CANDU Owners Group (COG), AECL and the CANDU utilities. Work has included debris assessments at specific stations, debris characterisation, transport, head loss measurements across strainers, head loss models and investigations into paints and coatings. Much of this work was performed at AECL's Chalk River Laboratories and has been used to customise strainer solutions for several CANDU (PWR-type) stations. This paper summarises the CANDU experience, describing problems encountered and lessons learned from strainer implementation at stations.

Between 1999 and 2003, AECL supplied strainers to six different CANDU stations, representing 12 units with a total power output of approximately 8.2 GWe. Each station had unique needs with respect to layout, effective area, allowable head loss and installation schedule. Challenges at various sites included installation in a covered trench with single-point access, allowing for field adjustments to accommodate large variations in floor level and pump suction location, on-power installation, very high levels of particulate relative to fibrous debris, and relatively low allowable head loss.

The following are key points to consider during any station assessment or strainer implementation:

- a realistic testing model and method is essential for accurate predictions of head loss, and the limits of the model must be understood;
- assessment of station debris must be sufficiently conservative to overcome uncertainties in debris generation and transport models;
- appropriate and reliable data (e.g. flow rate, layout, size of test model, method of debris generation and deposition, test duration), with true representation of the various field conditions, is necessary to select the appropriate strainer solution;

- flexibility in the strainer design permits adaptability to different plant layouts and schedules, while maintaining basic design qualification;
- innovative header design can improve strainer efficiency;
- reducing the strainer footprint-to-surface area ratio is desired; and
- detailed review of specific station layout is critical prior to final design, fabrication and installation.

The experience attained in Canada shows that practical solutions for strainer issues can be developed to meet a wide range of needs. However, diligence is required to ensure that the solution has adequate (but not undue) conservatism to accommodate uncertainties, that station-specific design issues are properly addressed, and that the installation schedule for new strainers is suitable. Interaction with the regulator early in the project also helps to bring any outstanding issues to the forefront when there is still sufficient time to make adjustments, and to facilitate subsequent approval.

#### Background

Following the Barsebäck incident in Sweden, Canadian nuclear stations and Atomic Energy of Canada Limited (AECL) began assessing the current status of emergency core cooling (ECC) systems in Canadian and off-shore CANDU stations. Early on, it was recognised that the available information was inadequate to address CANDU needs, and a research programme was developed. This research, carried out primarily at AECL's Chalk River Laboratories, with various funding sources, focussed on characterising the head loss across a strainer as a function of a multitude of variables. These variables were selected to encompass CANDU station configurations and included type and quantity of fibrous and non-fibrous debris, water temperature, pH, flow rate, strainer configuration, debris generation and deposition, and test duration, among others. As the database expanded, the validity of an existing correlation was evaluated for its applicability to the conditions tested. When it was found not to provide acceptable agreement, a new correlation was developed for specific CANDU-type applications.

Concurrently, in consultation with the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission (CNSC), the utilities began to evaluate the suitability of their existing ECC systems. These evaluations included debris assessments within the reactor building, and analyses of accident scenarios. In its role as reactor designer, AECL assisted off-shore CANDU stations in similar tasks. Eventually, stations were ready to proceed towards a solution that would satisfy the regulator (CNSC). Typically, the solution involved a combination of strainer replacement and some debris replacement. Interaction with the regulator continued throughout the process to ensure compliance. Most stations have now completed, or are near the end of, their strainer programmes and have satisfied the regulator that their ECC system configuration now meets safety requirements.

#### Testing

Considerable effort has been expended in Canada to characterise and quantify debris that may reach the ECC strainers. A large portion of this work was funded through the CANDU Owners Group (COG), a body representing CANDU station owners and developers. The results of walkdowns at stations were used as a starting point. Similar debris to what was found in the stations was obtained for the testing. Visual examination, including scanning electron microscope (SEM) imaging, was performed early on to provide a baseline. A variety of small-scale tests were then performed in several

different facilities at Chalk River Laboratories. These included measuring material strength and density, the deposition rate of particulate and fibrous debris, the effect of temperature on the material structure, and the effect of particulate size on generic clogging. In addition, several bench-top flow loops were set up to observe flow passage through a strainer. A significant amount of information was generated and served to direct future testing.

A medium-scale test facility was then built for more rigorous testing. This is a Jacuzzi-sized tank with piping to connect to a pump. A stirring device in the rig allows debris to mix, while a heater/chiller maintains the desired water temperature. The strainer surface filters the debris as the water flow passes through it. Any debris passing through the strainer can be caught in a downstream trap if desired, and a heat exchanger scaled to CANDU size can be piped in. The downstream components can be used to evaluate different hole sizes in the perforated metal in terms of plugging downstream components. The strainer surface area and orientation can be adjusted in the rig. The rig is fully instrumented and includes flow and temperature control. Over one hundred and fifty tests have been performed in this facility, ranging in duration from a less than an hour to three months. Figure 1 shows the medium-scale facility.



#### Figure 1. Medium-scale facility

A large-scale facility is also available. This facility was commissioned for development of AECL's *Finned Strainer*<sup>®</sup> product. It accommodates one complete *Finned Strainer* module, which, depending on the application typically has 20-33 m<sup>2</sup> available straining surface area. It consists of a large lined tank (approximately 1.5 m deep, 2.5 m wide and 5 m long) connected to a large piping system. Temperatures, pressure drop across the strainer, test duration and flow rate are monitored during testing. Figure 2 shows the large-scale facility.

## Figure 2. Large-scale test facility



Development testing using the large-scale facility included testing fibrous and particulate debris, as well as the ability of the strainer to accommodate large debris such as rubber gloves, plastic bags, etc. Extensive vortex testing was also performed. In these tests, the flow rate, water level above the strainer, pressure drop and debris loading were all investigated to determine their effect on the formation of hollow-core vortices, which could result in air ingestion and pump damage.

The large-scale facility was also used to confirm the performance of each strainer supplied to a customer.

Finned Strainer<sup>®</sup> is a registered trademark of AECL.

#### Vortex testing

Of considerable concern for the CANDU utilities was the potential for the formation of hollow core vortices. With a large pressure drop across the strainer, stations needed assurance that air ingestion due to vortices was not possible. A number of tests were performed in which the submergence of the strainer (the distance between the water surface and the upper-most straining area) was fixed and the flow rate was varied to see if a vortex would form. This was done for several difference submergence levels and debris loading conditions. Table 1 shows the results of one set of tests, which forced the flow through a much smaller section (~90 mm wide) of the strainer than would normally be expected. This test simulated the effect of the flow concentrating at the screen nearest the pump intake. This could only happen when there is no debris on the strainer; once debris builds up, the extra resistance distributes flow to the whole screen area, effectively reducing the likelihood of vortices.

Flow	Submergence	Pressure drop		Hollow core vortex?
(L/s)	( <b>mm</b> )		(kPa)	
150	450	28	(some debris)	No
100	375	7	(clean)	No
75	375	3	(clean)	No
75	300	3	(clean)	Yes (3 mm dia core)
55	300	1	(clean)	No
55	225	1	(clean)	Yes (occasional, 3 mm diameter core)

#### Table 1. Vortex test results\*

\* The strainer area was not identical for each test.

The results of the vortex tests were used to refine the strainer design for a given station, either by adjusting the submergence or the surface area to prevent flow concentration that could cause vortexing.

#### Head loss correlation

A head loss correlation available publicly was evaluated for use in CANDU applications. However, as the test database expanded, it became clear that this correlation was not suitable for CANDU. A new correlation was then developed, based on the tests performed. However, even this correlation was found to be limited in its range of applicability. For instance, a high particulate-tofibre volume ratio was found to result in significantly higher head loss than predicted. The development of the correlation highlighted the uncertainties in many of the parameters (e.g. materials, order of deposition on the strainer) and the need to perform rigorous testing for each customer in a large-scale facility.

#### Debris

The debris types common to CANDU stations include fibrous debris (fibreglass), calcium silicate, marinite (a dense form of calcium silicate), rust, dust, dirt and paints (coatings). Samples of these were obtained from stations and from commercial suppliers, using the same suppliers as the stations where possible.

Fibrous debris was photographed using SEM imaging. Fibre diameters from different sources were compared. For head loss testing, a leaf shredder was used to break the fibrous debris into smaller

pieces. The fibrous debris was then soaked prior to insertion in the test tank, as the debris reaching the strainer in CANDU stations would also be wet.

Calcium silicate was broken up using an impact hammer. A variety of screen sizes could be used with the hammer mill to affect the resulting particulate size distribution. The effect of the different sizes was evaluated in the testing. Other experiments were performed to determine the rate of erosion of calcium silicate pieces exposed to flowing water. Of concern was whether significant debris could be generated by erosion of large pieces of material that fall into the flow during an accident but are not transported to the strainer. Significant erosion of large chunks of material could lead to delayed deposition of calcium silicate on the strainer, which could lead to different results than for a fully-mixed debris bed.

Iron oxide of two different particulate size distributions was purchased to represent rust.

Paint chips were prepared at Chalk River Laboratories and added to the debris mixture for the tests. In addition, a detailed test program was performed to evaluate the performance of paints and coatings typically used in CANDU stations under accident scenarios. The intent of this testing was to determine if, during an accident, the coatings would be likely to degrade to a degree that could impact strainer performance. This testing involved irradiation, exposure to high-temperature, high pressure transients, and long-term exposure to post-accident conditions. Tensile and adhesion tests were performed periodically.

#### **Relevant accident scenarios for CANDU stations**

CANDU stations are a subset of pressurised water reactors (PWRs). In these reactors, heat from the reactor is transported by means of high temperature, high pressure heavy water in the primary heat transport system (PHTS) to a steam generator. The energy is then transferred to light water in the steam generator for driving the turbine.

In the PHTS, the main coolant pumps force water into headers, from which multiple small feeder tubes (between 380 and 480, depending on the station) pass through the reactor core and regroup in another header on the other side (Figure 3). From here, the water passes through a steam generator before re-entering the PHTS pump. The feeders are located in an insulated "feeder cabinet". A break in any of the PHTS piping is considered a loss of coolant accident (LOCA). In a CANDU 6 station, such an accident triggers a high-pressure injection system, in which pressurised water is injected into the PHTS, and a dousing system sprays cool water into containment to reduce the pressure. This is followed by medium-pressure injection, consisting of emptying a large tank of water, located in the reactor building ceiling, into the system. The water added during high- and medium-pressure injection eventually leaks out of the break in the PHTS and fills the reactor building sump to a level sufficient to initiate the low-pressure injection system. When this system is operating, the basement water is filtered through a strainer prior to passing through the ECC pumps, heat exchangers and back into the PHTS to provide continued cooling to the reactor core. The low-pressure injection mission period is typically three months. Figure 4 shows the high-, medium- and low-pressure injection systems for the CANDU 6 station.

Multiple-unit CANDU stations have a single "vacuum building" connected to all four units that prevents pressure build-up during a LOCA, instead of the dousing system used in CANDU 6 station. Water for high-pressure injection is contained in a large storage tank. Low-pressure injection is similar to that described above, except that for Darlington, all four units share the same strainer. Figure 5 shows a schematic of the system.



Figure 3. CANDU 6 header and feeder arrangement

Figure 4. CANDU 6 emergency core cooling system





Figure 5. Typical multi-unit CANDU emergency core injection system

One of the main postulated sources of debris is from the feeder cabinet. A break in any of the feeders could result in a jet of high temperature, high pressure water impacting upon the insulation in the feeder cabinet, liberating large amounts of material which then could flow towards the sump. Other debris from this and other rooms along the way, such as fibreglass or calcium silicate insulation, dust, paint flakes, rust, or loose trash could also get transported to the sump. All this debris must be filtered by the strainer.

A break in the header or the large piping may occur in a variety of areas and sizes, each scenario liberating a different amount and type of material. Thus a multitude of possibilities must be assessed.

Failure of the strainer by clogging could cause the ECC pump(s) to cavitate, potentially leading to insufficient heat removal from the reactor core. Failure of the strainer by debris passage (e.g. due to large perforations or partial collapse) could result in damage to the ECC pumps, clogging of heat exchangers or clogging of pressure tubes in the reactor core. All of these could lead to unacceptable heat build-up in the reactor core.

#### **Customer needs**

While the background research was in progress, stations performed assessments of their ECC systems to determine requirements for any upgrades. A key part of this task was the debris assessment, in which every room in which water could flow during a LOCA was examined to determine the type and amount of debris that could become dislodged and could potentially travel to the ECC sump. Assessments were made for all applicable scenarios.

Based on the above assessment, strainer requirements were defined. The existing strainer(s) was then evaluated against these requirements. In most cases, deficiencies were noted and upgrading the strainer was initiated, based on the identified needs, available space and other restrictions.

The CANDU stations that have chosen to meet their regulatory requirements by upgrading their strainers are shown in Table 2.

Station	Rated flow (L/s)	Old surface area (m <sup>2</sup> )	New surface area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Old footprint (m <sup>2</sup> )	New footprint (m <sup>2</sup> )	Number of ECC strainers per unit
Point Lepreau	606	6	79	1.1	12	2 <sup>a</sup>
Gentilly-2	606	6	100	1.1	14	2 <sup>a</sup>
Pickering A (four units)	340	~4	~64	~2	~7	2
Pickering B (four units)	707	4	110	~3	22	1
Embalse <sup>c</sup>	625	6	100	1.1	15	1 <sup>b</sup>
Cernavoda 1 <sup>d</sup>	625	6	79	1.1	12	$2^{a}$
Darlington (4 units)	2 190	~10	1 200	~6	70	$1^{e}$

#### Table 2. Upgraded strainers at CANDU stations

<sup>a</sup> One 100% capacity strainer per pump, only one pump is used at a time (two pumps total).

<sup>b</sup> Two pumps connected to one 100% capacity strainer, only one pump typically operating at a time.

<sup>c</sup> This station is located in Argentina.

<sup>d</sup> This station is located in Romania.

<sup>e</sup> Single strainer is connected to all four units.

For the CANDU stations listed above, models generally predict that approximately 10 m<sup>3</sup> of fibrous debris and lesser quantities of other debris types may reach the strainer, although the amounts vary depending on the break size, location and the particular station's debris types. In some plants, the quantity of calcium silicate pipe insulation that could reach the strainers has been a concern, so measures are being taken to remove or protect some of this material, and to prevent its use in new plants.

The *Finned Strainer*<sup>®</sup> units designed and manufactured by Atomic Energy of Canada Limited for retrofit into these stations are very compact, allowing a large surface area for filtering to be installed in a small space, which allows them to fit into crowded plants. They are also modular, allowing flexibility in the layout and size.

### **Utility/supplier interactions**

During the early testing period, a close working relationship was developed between the utilities and AECL. The utilities had a large input into the test programs and, in many cases, visited AECL to

view the test facilities. These close relationships were maintained during the commercial contracts, which overlapped the background test period. In fact, the schedule or even scope of the COG-funded background testing was sometimes revised to suit the utilities' immediate strainer retrofit issues, provided that all other COG members agreed to the change. In this way, the utilities gained confidence in AECL's capabilities, and AECL had the flexibility to address utility concerns quickly.

The high level of communication between supplier and client ensured a full understanding by AECL of the layout restrictions, installation schedule and operating sequence for each station. Detailed knowledge of layout restrictions was particularly important at Pickering B. In this four-unit station, the strainer was to be located in a covered trench, approximately 50 feet long, 4 feet deep and 4 feet wide, with a small opening at one end. The size of the trench limited the size of the strainer. Installation was also extremely challenging, due to the confined area and the necessity to work in plastics. Because the trench cover was permanent, the strainer had to be lowered in modules into one end of the trench. Typically, each module consisted of a section of header, several perforated fins (which provide the bulk of the straining area) and bracing. The strainer is shown in Figure 6. A "railroad track" was built on the bottom of the trench and each module was rolled on the track along the trench until it butted up against the preceding module. Modules were fastened together in order until the entire strainer had been assembled. A mock-up of the trench was fabricated at site to provide training for personnel prior to assembly in the real trench. This was an important means of preventing difficulties during on-site assembly.

All strainers supplied to the utilities were pre-assembled at AECL to test all hardware prior to arrival at site. Station personnel were invited to Chalk River Laboratories to witness strainer fabrication in the sheet metal shop and to become familiar with the equipment prior to installation at site. Not only was this an important training opportunity for station personnel, their feedback was needed to ensure that installation issues were resolved before the equipment arrived at site. This proved exceedingly valuable. The pre-assembly was also useful to verify the steps in the operating manual, and was key to the smooth assembly of each strainer at site. Figure 7 shows a typical CANDU 6 strainer installed at site.

The Darlington NGS strainer replacement project was also challenging. The first major issue was the large quantity of particulate debris (originating from calcium silicate insulation). This necessitated a very large strainer, shown in Figure 8, having over ten times the surface area at other CANDU plants. The other major issue was the desire to install the unit while at power. To achieve this, the strainer was installed on top of the existing sump grating, and used the existing grating as part of the structure of the new strainer. As it turned out, the installation was completed very smoothly and ahead of schedule, leaving all parties very pleased with the outcome.

Minimising the footprint for a given required strainer surface area was a key requirement for each station. At Embalse, this was complicated by the existence of a non-structural 'L'-shaped wall separating the two sump inlets. Although a single strainer joining both sumps was desired, removal of the wall would extend the outage time to an unacceptable duration. Eventually, a complete reconfiguration of the initially-accepted layout was proposed, in which the strainer snakes around the end of the wall and doubles back to connect to both suction pipes. This option was accepted and resulted in a much shorter installation time and reduced costs because the wall could be left as is. A further challenge for this project was to ensure that the design had sufficient flexibility to accommodate the measurement uncertainties in the location of the suction pipe inlets and in the elevation of the basement floor where the strainer was installed. A trip to the site to inspect the strainer area, clarify the requirements, and identify customer needs and wishes was key to the success of this project.



Figure 6. Pickering B *Finned Strainer* pre-assembled at AECL shop (top) and installed in covered trench at Pickering (bottom)

Figure 7. Typical CANDU 6 Finned Strainer



Figure 8. Darlington NGS Finned Strainer



#### Large-scale testing

Each strainer supplied by AECL was originally sized and configured based on the background test results. In addition, station-specific tests were conducted in the large-scale facility to confirm that the strainer met the head loss requirements stipulated by the customer. To qualify a strainer for its intended use, one full-scale module was placed in the tank and connected to the piping system. If the module represented 1/5<sup>th</sup> of the total strainer surface area to be supplied to the customer, then 1/5<sup>th</sup> of the full-scale debris was prepared for the test. As with the debris, the flow rate was scaled based on the ratio of full-scale surface area to test surface area in order to obtain the desired approach velocity. The temperature was set to the predicted station value. Once the tank was filled, the pump was started and debris was added. All relevant parameters were monitored throughout the test. The test report provided to the customer was later used by them to satisfy the regulator that the strainer was fully qualified.

#### **Regulator interactions**

For the Canadian utilities, acceptance of their proposed strainer solutions by the CNSC was required. This was facilitated because the CNSC had some previous exposure to the head loss testing being performed at AECL. By maintaining an open relationship with the regulator, AECL was able to understand their concerns and ensure that these were addressed in the strainer testing and design. Thus when final submissions to the CNSC were prepared by the stations, there were no last-minute issues to cause delays and concerns. In fact, Gentilly 2 asked AECL to attend their meeting with the regulator to make the presentation on their behalf.

## Summary

A large body of knowledge relating to emergency core cooling strainers exists in Canada. This knowledge has been acquired through the co-operation of many parties, including utilities, strainer designers and COG. A great deal of cooperation and communication between utilities, designers and the regulator existed throughout the research, development and supply phases. This has permitted the knowledge to be utilised to provide effective solutions to CANDU ECC strainer issues. Stations are nearing the end of their ECC strainer programs and the industry is looking to move on to other challenges.

## LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

## BELGIUM

DELALLEAU, Jean-Charles (Mr.) Nuclear Safety Engineer Electrabel Avenue De l'Industrie, 1 B-4500 Tihange

DELVEAU, Caroline (Ms.) Industrial Engineer Tractebel Engineering Avenue Ariane, 7 B-1200 Brussels

DU BOIS D'ENGHIEN, Guillaume Tractebel Engineering Avenue Ariane, 7 B-1200 Brussels

GAUTHIER, Phillipe, (Mr.) Westinghouse Energy Belgium S.A. Rue de l'Industrie, 43 B-1400 Nivelles

TOMBUYSES, Beatrice (Dr.) System Engineer Association Vinçotte Nuclear (AVN) Rue Walcourt, 148 B-1070 Brussels

VANDEWALLE, André (Dr.) Division Head Inspections of Nuclear Installations Association Vinçotte Nuclear (AVN) Rue Walcourt, 148 B-1070 Brussels Phone: +32 8 524 39 66 Fax: +32 8 524 39 79 E-mail: jeancharles.delalleau@electrabel.com

Phone: +32 2 773 97 24 Fax: +32 2 773 89 00 E-mail: caroline.delveau@tractebel.com

Phone: +32 2 773 08 47 Fax : +32 2 773 89 00 E-mail: guillaume.duboisd'enghien@tractebel.com

Phone: +32 6 728 82 32 Fax: +32 6 728 83 32 E-mail: gautheir-ph@notes.westinghouse.com

Phone: +32 2 528 02 61 Fax: +32 2 528 01 02 E-mail: bto@avn.be

Phone: +32 2 528 01 30 Fax: +32 2 528 01 01 E-mail: avw@avn.be

## CANADA

EYVINDSON, Ailsa (Ms.) R&D Engineer Atomic Energy of Canada Limited Chalk River Laboratories Chalk River, Ontario, K0J 1J0

RHODES, David (Mr.) Manager, Mechanical Equipment and Seal Development Atomic Energy of Canada Limited Chalk River Laboratories Chalk River, Ontario, K0J 1J0 Phone: +1 613 584-8811 ext 4593 Fax : +1 613 584-8216 E-mail: eyvindsona@aecl.ca

Phone: +1 613 584-8811 ext. 3733 Fax: +1 613 584-8216 E-mail: rhodesd@aecl.ca

### **CZECH REPUBLIC**

KUJAL, Bohumir (Dr.)	Phone: +420 266 173 657
Senior Consultant	Fax: +420 266 173 570
Nuclear Research Institute Rez, plc	E-mail: kub@ujv.cz
250 68 Rez	bohumir.kujal@ujv.cz

VESELY, Jiri (Mr.) Head of Local Inspectors, NPP Dukovany State Office for Nuclear Safety Senovazne nam.9 Prague

#### FINLAND

PAALANEN, Anssi (Mr.) Nuclear Safety Engineer Teollisuuden Voima Oy FIN-27160 Olkiluoto

SJOVALL, Heikki (Mr.) Teollisuuden Voima Oy FIN-27160 Olkiluoto

## FRANCE

ARMAND, Yves (Dr.)	Phone: +33 1 58 35 82 07
Project Manager	Fax: +33 1 58 35 89 89
Service d'Évaluation des Risques et des Systèmes	E-mail: yves.armand@irsn.fr
Département d'Évaluation de Sûreté	
Institut de Radioprotection et de Sûreté Nucléaire	
(IRSN), B.P. 17	
F-92262 Fontenay-aux-Roses Cedex	

Phone: +358 2 8381 3233 Fax: +358 2 8381 3209 E-mail: anssi.paalanen@tvo.fi

Phone: +420 568 815 552

Fax: +420 568 866 414

E-mail: jiri.vesely@sujb.cz

Phone: +358 2 8381 3222 Fax: +358 2 8381 3209 E-mail: Heikki.Sjovall@tvo.fi BLOMART, Philippe (Mr.) Senior Engineer EDF 12-14 Avenue Dutrievoz F-69628 Villeurbanne Cedex

COLIN, Pierre (Mr.) Fluid System Engineer Framatome ANP Tour Areva F-92084 Paris La Défense

DURIN, Michel (Dr.) Deputy Head, Reactor Safety Direction Institut de Radioprotection et de Sûreté Nucléaire (IRSN), B.P. 17 F-92262 Fontenay-aux-Roses Cedex

DESCHILDRE, Olivier (Mr.) Direction Générale de la Sûreté Nucléaire et de la Radioprotedtion (DGSNR) 10 Route du Panorama F-92266 Fontenay-aux-Roses Cedex

GORBATCHEV, Alexandre (Mr.) Institut de Radioprotection et de Sûreté Nucléaire (IRSN) B.P. 17 F-92262 Fontenay-aux-Roses Cedex

MATTEI, Jean-Marie (Mr.) Chef de Service Service d'Évaluation des Risques et des Systèmes Département d'Évaluation de Sûreté Institut de Radioprotection et de Sûreté Nucléaire (IRSN) B.P. 17 F-92262 Fontenay-aux-Roses Cedex

PARADIS, Luc (Mr.) Safety Projects Engineer CEA Commissariat à l'Énergie Atomique Centre de Saclay F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex Phone: +33 4 72 82 71 52 Fax: +33 4 72 82 77 02 E-mail: philippe.blomart@edf.fr

Phone: +33 1 47 96 32 94 Fax: +33 1 47 96 31 88 E-mail: pierre.colin@framatome-anp.com

Phone: +33 1 58 35 81 83 Fax : +33 1 46 54 32 64 E-mail: michel.durin@irsn.fr

Phone: +33 1 43 19 70 60 Fax: +33 1 43 19 70 66 E-mail: olivier.deschildre@asn.minefi.gouv.fr

Phone: +33 1 58 35 71 02 Fax: +33 1 58 35 86 54 E-mail: alexandre.gorbatchev@irsn.fr

Phone: +33 1 58 35 82 99 Fax: +33 1 58 35 89 89 E-mail: jean-marie.mattei@irsn.fr

Phone: +33 1 69 08 25 00 Fax: +33 1 69 08 58 70 E-mail: luc.paradis@cea.fr ROYEN, Jacques (Mr.) Nuclear Safety Division OECD Nuclear Energy Agency Le Seine Saint-Germain 12 Boulevard des Iles F-92130 Issy-les-Moulineaux

VIAL, Eric (Mr.) Branch Manager IRSN 77-83 avenue du Général-de-Gaulle F-92140 Clamart

WALTER, Stephane (Mr.) Engineer ECCS EDF-CIPN 140 Avenue VITON F-13401 Marseille Phone: +33 1 45 24 10 52 Fax: +33 1 45 24 11 29 E-mail: jacques.royen@oecd.org royen@nea.fr

Phone: + 33-1-58-35-80-19 Fax: + 33-1-58-35-89-89 E-mail: eric.vial@irsn.fr

Phone: +33 491 74 9283 Fax: +33 491 74 9538 E-mail: stephane.walter@edf.fr

#### **GERMANY**

ALT, Soeren (Mr.) University of Applied Sciences Zittau/Goerlitz Theodor-Koerner-Allee 16 D-02763 Zittau

BRAUN, Gerhard (Mr.) Hessian Ministry for Environment Verbraucherschutz, Mainzer Strasse 80 D-65021 Wiesbaden

HUBER, Josef (Mr.) TÜV Süddeutschlannd Bau und Betrieb GmbH Abteilung ETA 1 Westendstrasse 199 D-80686 München

KAESTNER, Wolfgang (Dr.) Research Engineer University of Applied Sc. Zittau/Goerlitz (FH) Theodor-Koerner-Allee 16 D-02763 Zittau

KNITT, Ulrich (Mr.) Safety analysis RWE Power AG Huyssenallee 2 D-45128 Essen Phone: +49 3583 611544 Fax: +49 3583 611288 E-mail: s.alt@hs-zigr.de

Phone: +01149 0611-815-1556 Fax: +01149 0611 815 1945 E-mail: g.braun@hmulv.hessen.de

Phone: +49 89 5791 1285 Fax: +49 89 5791 2696 E-mail: josef.huber@tuev-sued.de

Phone: +49 3583 611553 Fax: +49 3583 611288 E-mail: w.kaestner@hs-zigr.de

Phone: +49 201 122 2282 Fax: +49 201 122 1948 E-mail: Ulrich.knitt@rwe.com KREPPER, Eckhard (Dr.) Phone: +49 351 260 2067 Forschungszentrum Rossendorf e.V. Fax: +49 351 260 2383 Institute of Safety Research E-mail: e.krepper@fz-rossendorf.de POB 510119 D-01314 Dresden Phone: +49 221 2068 718 MAQUA, Michael (Dr.) Assistant to the Scientific Director Fax: +49 221 2068 704 Gesellschaft für Anlagen- und Reaktorsicherheit E-mail: maq@grs.de (GRS) mbH Schwertnergasse 1 D-50667 Köln OHLMEYER, Hermann (Mr.) Phone: +49 406 396 3701 Head Section Reactor Safety Fax: +49 406 396 3004 Hamburgische Electricitatswerke AG E-mail: hermann.ohlmeyer@hew.de Ueberseering 12 D-22297 Hamburg PÜETTER, Bernhard (Dr.-Ing) Phone: +49 221 2068 681 Group Leader, Accident Management Fax: +49 221 2068 834 Thermal-Hydraulucs & Process Eng. Div. E-mail: pue@grs.de Gesellschaft für Anlagen- und Reaktorsicherheit (GRS) mbH Schwertnergasse 1, D-50667 Köln SCHAFFRATH, Andreas (Dr.) Phone: +49 (40) 8557 2963 Section Head Fax: +49 (40) 8557 1901 7413 Safety & Accident Analysis, E-mail: aschaffrath@tuev-nord.de Technischer-Uberwachungsverein Nord e.V. Bereich Energie-und Systemtechnik D-22525 Hamburg SEEBERGER, Gerd Joachim (Mr.) Phone: +49 9131 1892124 Senior Expert, Safety Engineering Fax: +49 9131 1894345 Framatome ANP GmbH E-mail: **POB 3220** D-91050 Erlangen SEELIGER, Andre (Mr.) Phone: +49 3583 6115 44 University of Applied Sciences Zittau Goerlitz Fax: +49 3583 611288 Theodor-Koerner-Allee 16 E-mail: aseeliger@hs-zigr.de D-02763 Zittau TIETSCH, Wolfgang (Dr.) Phone: +49 621 388 2120 Westinghouse Electric Germany E-mail: Dudenstrasse 44 wolfgang.tietsch@de.westinghouse.com D-68161 Mannheim

WAAS, Ulrich (Mr.) Senior Expert c/o Framatome-ANP GmbH NGPS Postfach 3220 D-91050 Erlangen

WASSILEW-REUL, Christine (Ms.) Referentin Nuclear Safety Robert-Schumann-Platz 3 D-53175 Bonn

Phone: +49 9131 1894 730 Fax: +49 9131 1894 787 E-mail: ulrich.waas@framatome-anp.com

Phone: +49 01888-305 2858 +49 01888-305 3963 Fax: E-mail: Christine.wassilew-reul@bmu.bund.de

## **JAPAN**

Phone: +81 3 4511-1932 ISHIKAWA, Masao (Mr.) Senior Researcher & Senior Officer +81 3 4511-1998 Fax: Safety Information Analysis Group E-mail: ishikawa-masaaki@jnes.go.jp Safety Intelligence Division Japan Nuclear Energy Safety Org. (JNES) Fujita Kanko Toranomon Bldg. 3-17-1, Toranomon, Minato-ku Tokyo 105-0001 Phone: +81 78 672-3342 MATSUOKA, Hiroshi (Mr.) Mitsubishi Heavy Industries, Ltd. Fax: +81 78 672-3349 1-1, Wadasaki-cho 1-chome, Hyogo-ku Kobe, 652-8585 NAKAMURA, Hideo (Dr.) Phone: +81 29 282 5263 Head of Laboratory Fax: +81 29 282 6728 Japan Atomic Energy Research Inst. (JAERI) 2-4. Shirakata Shirane Tokai-mura, Ibaraki-ken 319-1195 SHIRAYANAGI, Harunobu (Mr.)

Tokyo Electric Power 1-1-3, Uchisaiwai-cho, Chiyoda City Tokyo Met.

TANAKA, Toshihiko (Mr.) (Tosi) Manager, Nuclear Engineer Kansai Electric Power Co., Inc. 3-3-22 Nakanoshima Kita-ku Osaka

E-mail: hiroshi\_matsuoka@mhi.co.jp

E-mail: nakam@lstf3.tokai.jaeri.go.jp

Phone: +81 3 4216 4804 Fax : +81 3 596 8540 E-mail: shirayanagi.hal@tepco.co.jp

Phone: +81 6 6441 8821 Fax: +81 6 6441 4277 E-mail: k410924@kepco.co.jp

## **MEXICO**

MAMANI ALEGRIA, Yuri Raul (Mr.) Technical Consultant National Commission on Nuclear Safety and Safeguards (CNSNS) Dr. Barragan 779 03020 Distrito Federal

Phone: +52 55 5095 3235 Fax: +52 55 5095 3293 E-mail: yrmamani@cnsns.gob.mx

#### **NETHERLANDS**

HUIBREGTSE, Piet (Mr.) Senior Engineer Evaluations NV EPZ (NPP Borssele) P.O. Box 130 NL-4380 AC Vlissingen

Phone: +31 113 356370 Fax: +31 113 352434 E-mail: p.huibregtse@epz.nl

ROOSEBOOM, Arend J. (Mr.) Nuclear Safety Inspector Nuclear Safety Dept (KFD), VROM Ministry P.O. Box 16191 NL-2500 BD The Hague

Phone: +31 70 339 21 84 Fax: +31 70 339 18 87 E-mail: arend.rooseboom@minvrom.nl

### **SPAIN**

ALONSO-ESCÓS, José R. (Mr.) Phone: +34 91 346 0207 Division Manager (Nuclear Systems) Consejo de Seguridad Nuclear (CSN) E-mail: jrae@csn.es Justo Dorado, 11 SP-28040 Madrid ALONSO-LÓPEZ, Mónica (Ms.) Phone: +34 91 346 0663 Nuclear System Specialist E-mail: mal@csn.es Consejo de Seguridad Nuclear (CSN) Justo Dorado, 11 SP-28040 Madrid SORIANO, Luis Phone: +34 619 748 134 Manager Almara-Trillo NPP's Carlos Trias Bertran, 7 SP-28020 Madrid Phone: +34 977 81 87 00 TARRASA BLANES, Fernando (Mr.)

Systems Engineer, ANAV Vandellos II Nuclear Power Plant P.O. Box 27 SP-43890 L'Hospitalet de L'Infant Fax: +34 91 346 0216

Fax: +34 91 346 0216

Fax: +34 915 566 520 E-mail: l.soriano@cnat.es

Fax: +34 977 81 00 14 E-mail: ftarrasa@anacnv.com VILLALBA-DOMINGUEZ, Cristina (Ms.) Nuclear System Expert Consejo de Seguridad Nuclear (CSN) Justo Dorado, 11 SP-28040 Madrid Phone: +34 91 346 0269 Fax: +34 91 346 0216 E-mail: cvd@csn.es

Phone: +421 366 355 336

Fax: +421 366 355 313

E-mail: vicena@vuez.sk

#### SLOVAK REPUBLIC

BATALIK, Jozef (Mr.)Phone: +421 366 35 5311Assistant to the DirectorFax: +421 366 35 5313VUEZ a.s. LeviceE-mail: batalik@vuez.skHviezdoslavova 35, P.O. Box 153Levice

VICENA, Ivan (Mr.) Senior designer VUEZ a.s. Levice Hviezdosloavova 35, P.O. Box 153 Levice

#### **SLOVENIA**

BASIC, Ivica (Mr.)Phone: +38 674 802 527Lead Analysis EngineerFax: +38 674 921 528Nuclear Power Plant KrskoE-mail: ivica.basic@nek.siNPP Krsko, Vrbina 128270 Krsko

#### **SWEDEN**

HENRIKSSON, Mats E. (Mr.) Vice-President Corporate Senior Scientist Vattenfall Utveckling AB SE-814 26 Älvkarleby

RINGDAHL, Kjell (Mr.) Technical Support Ringhals Unit 3 Ringhals AB SE-430 22 Väröbacka

SANDERVAG, Oddbjörn (Mr.) Reactor Safety Research Coordinator Swedish Nuclear Power Inspectorate (SKI) Klarabergsviadukten 90 SE-106 58 Stochholm Phone: +46 26 835 40 Fax: +46 26 836 70 Mobile: +46 70 520 95 30 E-mail: mats.henriksson@vattenfall.com

Phone: +46 340 6685273 Fax: +46 340 667304 E-mail: kjell.ringdahl@ringhals.se

Phone: +46 8 698 84 63 Fax: +46 8 661 90 86 E-mail: oddbjorn.sandervag@ski.se SIVULA, Mikael (Mr.) Project Manager **Ringhals AB** SE-430 22 Väröbacka

#### **SWITZERLAND**

BLUMER, Urs Richard (Dr.) Manager NS, Nuclear Engineering CCI AG, IM Link 11 CH-8404 Winterthur

ELVERT, Peter-Jens (Mr.) Sales Engineer CCI AG, IM Link 11 P.O. Box 65 CH-8404 Winterthur

KLÜGEL, Jens-Uwe (Dr.) Technical Adviser Kernkraftwerk Goesgen Kraftwerkstrasse CH-4658 Daeniken

Phone: +46 340 667585 Fax: +46 340 668851 E-mail: mikael.sivula@ringhals.se

Phone: +41 52 264 9556 Fax: +41 52 264 9550 E-mail: urs.blumer@ccivalve.ch

Phone: +41 52 264 9548 Fax: +41 52 264 9550 E-mail: peter-jens.elvert@ccivalve.ch

Phone: +41 62 288 2077 Fax: +41 62 288 2001 E-mail: jkluegel@kkg.ch

## UNITED STATE OF AMERICA

ABDEL-FATTAH, Amr Phone: +1 505 665-2339 Staff Member, Colloid & Containment Trans. Los Alamos National Laboratory PO Box 1663, MS J514 Los Alamos, NM 87545

ANDREYCHEK, Timothy (Mr.) Principal Engineer Westinghouse Electric Company 4350 Northern Pike Monroeville, PA

ARCHITZEL, Ralph (Mr.) Senior Reactor Engineer US Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop One 11-A11 Washington, DC 20555-0001

ASHBAUGH, Scott (Mr.) Program Coordinator, Energy & Env. Progr. Los Alamos National Laboratory P.O. Box 1663, MS F606 Los Alamos, NM 87545

Fax: +1 505 665-4955 E-mail: amr2450@lanl.gov

Phone: +1 412 374-6246 Fax: +1 412 374-5099 E-mail: andreyts@westinghouse.com

Phone: +1 301 415-2804 Fax: +1 301 415-2300 E-mail: rea@nrc.gov

Phone: +1 505 664-0548 Fax: +1 505 665-5204 E-mail: sga@lanl.gov

BADEWITZ, Marty (Mr.) Project Manager Dominion Virginia Power 5000 Dominion Blvd. Glen Allen, VA 23060

BAGNAL, Charles (Mr.) Power Sales Manager, Nuclear Engineering General Electric 3901 Castle Wayne Road Wilmington, NC

BAHADUR, Sher (Dr.) Deputy Director Div. of Systems Analysis & Reg. Effectiveness (DSARE), Office of Nuclear Reg. Research US Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop T-10 E29 Washington, DC 20555

BECK, Deane (Mr.) Marketing Manager Control Components, Inc. 22591 Avenida Empresa Ranch Santa Margarita, CA 92688

BILANIN, Alan (Mr.) Continuum Dynamics 34 Lexington Ave. Ewing, NJ

BLEIGH, James (Mr.) Engineered Systems Manager Performance Contracting, INC 4025 Bonner Industrial Drive Shawnee, KS 66226

BOSTELMAN, Janice (Ms) Science Advisor Alion Science & Technology 6000 Uptown Blvd., Suite 300 Albuquerque, NM

BRANDES, Matt (Mr.) Design Engineer, Ameren UE Callaway Plant Jct CC & Hwy O P.O. Box 620 Fulton, MO 65251 Phone: +1 804 273-2711 Fax: +1 804 273-3448 E-mail: marty\_badewitz@dom.com

Phone: +1 910 675-6785 Fax: E-mail: charles.bagnal@gene.ge.com

Phone: +1 301 415-7499 Fax: +1 301 415-5160 E-mail: sxb@nrc.gov

Phone: +1 949 858-1878 Fax: +1 949 858-1878 E-mail: dbeck@ccivalve.com

Phone: +1 609 538-0444 Fax: +1 609 538-0464 E-mail: bilanin@continuum-dynamics.com

Phone: +1 913 441-0100 Fax: +1 913 441-0953 E-mail: jim.bleigh@pcg.com

Phone: +1 505 872-1089 Fax: +1 505 872-0233 E-mail: jbostelman@alionscience.com

Phone: +1 573 676-8953 Fax: +1 573 676-4334 E-mail: mdbrandes@cal.ameren.com BRYAN, Robert H. (Mr.) Sr. Nuclear Specialist Tennessee Valley Authority 1101 Market Street Chattanooga, TN 37402

BRYAN, Robert (Mr.) Director, Atlanta Operations Enercon Services, Inc. 500 Town Park Lane, Suite 275 Kennesaw, GA 30144

BUTLER, John (Mr.) Senior Project Manager Nuclear Energy Institute 1776 I St. NW Washington DC 20006

BUTNER, Nancy (Ms.) Project Manager Los Alamos National Laboratory P.O. Box 1663, MS K557 Los Alamos, NM 87544

CAIN, Stuart (Dr.) Vice-President Alden Research Laboratory, Inc. 30 Shrewsbury Street Holden, MA 01520

CARUSO, Ralph (Mr.) Senior Staff Engineer Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS) US Nuclear Regulatory Commission MS-T2E26 Washington, DC 20555-0001

CAVALLO, Jon R. (Mr.) Vice President CCC & L, Inc. P.O. Box 226 Eliot, ME 03903

CHANG, Tsun-Yung (Mr.) Senior Project Manager US Nuclear Regulatory Commission 11545 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852 Phone: +1 423 751-8201 Fax: +1 423 751-7084 E-mail: rhbryan@tva.gov

Phone: +1 770 919-1931, Ext. 222 Fax: +1 770 919-1932 E-mail: rbryan@enercon.com

Phone: +1 202 739-8108 E-mail: jcb@nei.org

Phone: +1 505 667-8016 Fax: +1 505 667-5531 E-mail: nbutner@lanl.gov

Phone: +1 508 829-6000 ext. 439 Fax: +1 508 829-2795 E-mail: sacain@aldenlab.com

Phone: +1 301 415-8065 Fax: E-mail: rxc@nrc.gov

Phone: +1 603 431-1919 Fax: +1 603 431-2540 E-mail: jrcpe@aol.com

Phone: +1 301 415-6450 Fax: +1 301 415-5074 E-mail : tyc@nrc.gov CHOROMOKOS, Robert (Mr.) Project Manager Alion Science & Technology 6000 Uptown Blvd. NE, Suite 300 Albuquerque, NM

CORLEY, Clay (Mr.) System Engineer TXU Comanche Peak P.O. Box 1002 Glen Rose TX 76043

CSONTOS, Aladar (Dr.) (Al) Materials Engineer US Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Nuclear Materials Safety & Safeguards MS T-7 F-3 Washington, DC 20555-0001

CULLEN, Bill (Mr.) Sr. Materials Engineer US Nuclear Regulatory Commission MS T10 E-10 Washington, D.C. 20555

DENNING, Richard S. (Rich) (Dr.) Sr. Research Leader Battelle 505 King Ave. Columbus, OH

DING, Mei (Dr.) TSM – Environmental Chemistry Los Alamos National Laboratory C-INC, MS J514 Los Alamos, NM 87545

DRAKE, Andre (Mr.) Senior Engineer Constellation Energy Group Calvert Cliffs Nuclear Power Plant Lusby, MD 20657

ELLIOTT, Robert (Mr.) (Rob) Technical Assistant US Nuclear Regulatory Commission MS 0-10A1 Washington, DC 20555 Phone: +1 630 846-6787 Fax: E-mail: rchoromokos@alionscience.com

Phone: +1 254 897-5904 Fax: +1 254 897-0972 E-mail: claycorley@txu.com

Phone: +1 301 415-6352 Fax: +1 301 415-5397 E-mail: aac@nrc.gov

Phone: +1 301-415-7510 Fax: +1 301 415-5074 E-mail: whc@nrc.gov

Phone: +1 614 424-7412 Fax: +1 614 424-3404 E-mail: denning@battelle.org

Phone: +1 505 667-7051 Fax: +1 505 665-4955 E-mail: mding@lanl.gov

Phone: +1 410 495-3932 Fax: +1 410 495-3944 E-mail: andre.s.drake@ceg.com

Phone: +1 301 415-1397 Fax: +1 301 415 3577 E-mail: rbe@nrc.gov EVANS, Michele (Ms.) Branch Chief US Nuclear Regulatory Commission 11545 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738

FEIST, Charles (Mr.) Consulting Mechanical Engineer TXU Energy P.O. Box 1002 Glen Rose, TX 76043

FISCHER, Stewart (Dr.) Team Leader/Nuclear Reactor Safety Los Alamos National Laboratory P.O. Box 1663 MS K557 Los Alamos, NM 87545

FRIEDMAN, Michael (Mr.) ECCS Strainer Project Manager OPPD Fort Calhoun Nuclear Station, MS FC-2-4 ADM Fort Calhoun, NE

GARCIA, Jeanette (Ms.) Student Research Assistant University of New Mexico 7905 Puritan Ct. NE Albuquerque, NM 87109

GARCIA-SERAFIN, Jose (Mr.) Chief Nuclear Engineer Florida Power & Light 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, FL

GARTLAND, Fariba (Ms.) Project Manager, Plant Engineering Framatome ANP 400 South Tyron St., Suite 2100 Charlotte NC 28285

GISCLON, John (Mr.) Nuclear Engineering Consultant EPRI P.O. Box 1256 Ashland, OR 97520 Phone: +1 301 415-7210 Fax: +1 310 415-5074 E-mail: mge@nrc.gov

Phone: +1 254 897-8605 Fax: +1 254 897-0530 E-mail: cfeist1@txu.com

Phone: +1 505 665-3395 Fax: +1 505 667-5531 E-mail: sfischer@lanl.gov

Phone: +1 402 533-7341 Fax: +1 402 533-7390 E-mail: mjfriedman@oppd.com

Phone: +1 505 610-4410 Fax: E-mail: janet\_j\_Garcia@yahoo.com

Phone: +1 561 694-3371 Fax: +1 561 694-4310 E-mail: jose\_garcia@fpl.com

Phone: +1 704 805-2288 Fax: +1 7-4 805-2650 E-mail: fariba.gartland@framatome-anp.com

Phone: +1 541 488-6928 Fax: E-mail: jogisclo@epri.com GOLLA, Joe (Mr.) Systems Engineer, Plant Systems US Nuclear Regulatory Commission 15555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD

HAMEL, Jeffrey (Mr.) Product Manager General Electric 175 Curtner Avenue m/c 755 San Jose, California 95125

HAMMER, Charles G. (Mr.) Mechanical Engineer US Nuclear Regulatory Commission 11545 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738

HANNON, John (Mr.) Branch Chief DSSA/NRR US Nuclear Regulatory Commission MS O-11A11 Washington, DC 20555

HARRINGTON, Craig (Mr.) Consulting Engineer TXU Energy P.O. Box 1002 Glen Rose, TX 76043

HART, Gordon (Mr.) Insulation Strainer Design Performance Contracting, Inc. 11662 Fall Creek Road Indianapolis, IN 46256

HERMANN, Tim (Mr.) Supervising Engineer Ameren UE, Callaway Plant Jct CC & Hwy O P.O. Box 620 Fulton, MO 65251

HOLLOWAY, Ronald (Mr.) Project Engineer Wolf Creek Nuclear Operation Corporation P.O. Box 411 Burlington, KS 66839 Phone: +1 301 415-1002 Fax: +1 301 415-2300 E-mail: jag2@nrc.gov

Phone: +1 408 925-2747 Fax: +1 408 925-5053 E-mail: jeffrey.hamel@gene.ge.com

Phone: +1 301 415-2791 Fax: +1 301 415-2444 E-mail: cgh@nrc.gov

Phone: +1 301-415-1992 Fax: +1 301 415-2300 E-mail: jnh@nrc.gov

Phone: +1 254 897-6705 Fax: +1 254 897-0530 E-mail: charrin1@txu.com

Phone: +1 317 578-3990 Fax: +1 317 578-2094 E-mail: Gordon.hart@pcg.com

Phone: +1 573 676-8494 Fax: +1 573 676-4334 E-mail: tdhermann@cal.ameren.com

Phone: +1 620 364-4108 Fax: +1 620 364-4154 E-mail: rohollo@wcnoc.com HOWE, Kerry J. (Dr.) Department of Civil Engineering MSC01 1070 University of New Mexico Albuquerque, NM 87131-0001

HSIA, Anthony (Mr.) Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research US Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001

JACKSON, Christopher (Mr.) Technical Assistant US Nuclear Regulatory Commission One White Flint North 11555 Rockville, Maryland 20852 USA

JOHNSON, Michael (Mr.) Deputy Division Director System Safety & Analysis US Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop O-10A1 Washington, DC 20555

KEMPER, William (Mr.) OIG Technical Advisor US Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop T5 D28 Washington, DC 20555-0001

KHAN, Saif (Mr.) Project Manager Energy Operations, Inc. 1448 SR 333 Russellville, AR 72802-0967

KISHIOKA, Kazuhiko (Mr.) Japan Atomic Power Co. Representative Japan Electric Power Info Center 1120 Connecticut Ave. NW Suite 1070 Washington, DC 20036

KOWAL, Mark (Mr.) Reactor Systems Engineer US Nuclear Regulatory Commission 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852 Phone: +1 505 277-2702 Fax: +1 505 277-1988 E-mail: howe@unm.edu

Phone: +1 301 415-6933 Fax : +1 301 415-5074 E-mail: ahh@nrc.gov

Phone: +1 301 415-1750 Fax: +1 301 415-1757 E-mail: cpj@nrc.gov

Phone: +1 301 415-3226 Fax: +1 301 415-3577 E-mail: MRJ1@nrc.gov

Phone: +1 301 415-5974 E-mail: wek@nrc.gov

Phone: +1 479 858-4941 E-mail: skhan@entergy.com

Phone: +1 202-955-5610 Fax: +1 202-955-5612 E-mail: genden@jepic.com

Phone: +1 301 415-1663 E-mail: mxk7@nrc.gov KRESS, Tom (Dr.) ACRS Member 102B Newridge Road Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

LAVRETTA, Maria Angeles (Ms.) Reactor Systems Engineer US Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

LEONARD, Mark (Mr.) Dycoda, LLC 267 Los Lentes Rd. Los Lunas, NM 87031

LETELLIER, Bruce C. (Dr.) Los Alamos National Laboratory D-5 Nuclear Design and Risk Analysis P.O. Box 1663, Mail Stop K557 Los Alamos, NM 87545

LINCOLN, Donald (Mr.) Director, Commercial Utility Programs Alion Science and Technology 6000 Uptown Blvd. NE Suite 300 Albuquerque, NM

LUND, Louise (Ms.) Section Chief US Nuclear Regulatory Commission MS 0-9H6 Washington, DC 20555-0001

MAJI, Arup (Prof.) Department of Civil Engineering MSC01-1070 University of New Mexico Albuquerque, NM 87131

MATHUR, Kiran (Mr.) Senior Engineer Public Service Electric & Gas Co. P.O. Box 236 Hancocks Bridge NJ 08038

MCCLURE, Patrick R. (Mr.) D-5 Group Leader, Nuclear Safety Los Alamos National Laboratory P.O. Box 1663, MS K557 Los Alamos, NM 87545 Phone: +1 865 483-7548 Fax: +1 865 482-7458 E-mail: tskress@aol.com

Phone: +1 310 415-3285 Fax: +1 301 415-2300 E-mail: AXL3@nrc.gov

Phone: +1 505 866-4800 Fax: +1 505 866-4801 E-mail: mtl@dycoda.com

Phone: +1 505 665-5188 Fax: +1 505 667-5531 E-mail: bcl@lanl.gov

Phone: +1 505 872-1089 Fax: +1 505 872-0233 E-mail: dlincoln@alionscience.com

Phone: +1 301-415-3248 Fax: +1 301 415-2444 E-mail: lxl@nrc.gov

Phone: +1 505 277-1757 Fax: E-mail: amaji@unm.edu

Phone: +1 856-339-7215 Fax: +1 856-339-1218 E-mail: kiran.mathur@pseg.com

Phone: +1 505 667-9534 Fax: +1 505 665-2897 E-mail: pmcclure@lanl.gov MCGOUN, Wes (Mr.) Principal Engineer Progress Energy 410 South Wilmington St., PEB-6 Raleigh, NC

MCNAMARA, Joseph (Mr.) Engineering Supervisor Civil-Structural Design Nuclear Management Company Point Beach Nuclear Power Plant 6610 Nuclear Road Two Rivers, WI 54241

MIDLIK, David W. (Mr.) Senior Engineer Southern Nuclear P.O. Box 1295-031 Birmingham, Alabama 35201

MYER, Chalmer (Mr.) Engineering Supervisor, Mechanical Southern Nuclear 40 Inverness Parkway Birmingham, Alabama 35242

PAGE, Joel D. (Mr.) Mechanical Engineer USNRC MS T10-E10 Washington, DC 20555

PARCZEWSKI, Krzysztof (Dr.) Senior Chemical Engineer US Nuclear Regulatory Commission 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852-2738

QUITORIANO, Gregory Design Engineer Pacific Gas & Electric P.O. Box 56 Avila Beach, CA

RAO, Dasari V. (Dr.) Los Alamos National Laboratory P.O. Box 1663 Los Alamos, NM 87545 Phone: +1 919 546-2040 Fax: +1 919 546-7854 E-mail: wes.mcgoun@pgnmail.com

Phone: +1 920 755-7421 Fax: +1 920 755-7410 E-mail: joe.mcnamara@nmcco.com

Phone: +1 205 992-6860 Fax: +1 205 992-7149 E-mail: dwmidlik@southernco.com

Phone: +1 205 992-6335 Fax: +1 205 992-7149 E-mail: cmyer@southernco.com

Phone: +1 301 415-6784 Fax: +1 301 415-5074 E-mail: jdp2@nrc.gov

Phone: +1 301 415-2705 Fax: +1 301 415-2444 E-mail: kip@nrc.gov

Phone: +1 805 545-4948 Fax: +1 805 545-6605 E-mail: geq1@pge.com

Phone: +1 505 667-4567 Fax: +1 505 665-5204 E-mail: nrcdvrao@lanl.gov RINKACS, William (Mr.) Westinghouse Electric Co., LLC 4350 Northern Pike Monroeville, PA

RISLEY, Bryan (Mr.) Product/Project Manager Transco Products Inc. 1215 East, 12<sup>th</sup> Street Streator, IL

RISTE, Jerry O. (Mr.) Licensing Supervisor Nuclear Management Co., LLC N490 Highway 42 Kewaunee, WI 54216

SCIACCA, Frank (Mr.) Omicron Safety and Risk Technologies P.O. Box 93065 Albuquerque, NM 87199-3065

SETLUR, Achyut (Dr.) President Automated Engineering Services Corp (AES) 3060 Ogden Ave., Suite 205 Lisle, IL

SETLUR, Shashi (Ms.) Automated Engineering Services Corp. (AES) 3060 Ogden Ave., Suite 205 Lisle, IL

SHAFFER, Clinton J. (Mr.)Principal EngineerARES Corporation851 University Boulevard, SE, Suite 100Albuquerque, NM 87106

SMITH, Aaron (Mr.) Project Manager Enercon Services 500 TownPark Lane, Suite 275 Kennesaw, GA 30144-5509

SPRING, Nancy (Ms.) UtiliPoint International, Inc. 6000 Uptown Blvd. NE, Suite 314 Albuquerque, NM 87110 Phone: +1 412 374-4545 Fax: +1 412 374-5099 E-mail: rinkacwj@westinghouse.com

Phone: +1 815 672-2197 Fax: +1 815 673-2432 E-mail: bryanrisley@transcoproducts.com

Phone: +1 920 845-5022 Fax: +1 920 388-8333 E-mail: Gerald.riste@nmcco.com

Phone: +1 505 883-0553 Fax: +1 505 883-0588 E-mail: fsciacca@omicron.net

Phone: +1 630 357-8880 Fax: +1 630 357-4445 E-mail: avsetlur@aesengineering.com

Phone: +1 630 357-8880 Fax: +1 630 357-4445 E-mail: sasetlur@aesengineering.com

Phone: +1 505 272-7102 Fax: +1 505 272-7238 E-mail: cshaffer@arescorporation.com

Phone: +1 770 919-1931 x 280 Fax: +1 770 919-1932 E-mail: asmith@enercon.com

Phone: +1 505 244-7600 Fax: +1 505 244-7658 E-mail: nspring@utilipoint.com STROSNIDER, Jack Richard Jr. (Mr.) Deputy Director, Office of Research US Nuclear Regulatory Commission TWFN 10F1 Washington, DC 20555-001

TWACHTMAN, Gregory (Mr.) Editor McGraw-Hill 1200 G St. NW, Suite 1000 Washington, DC

UNIKEWICZ, Steven (Mr.) Engineer US Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop 09-D3 Washington, DC 20555-0001

WALKER, John (Mr.) Manager Framatome ANP 400 South Tryon Street, Suite 2100, WC26A Charlotte, NC 28285

WILLIAMS, H. Lee (Mr.) Project Development Manager Framatome ANP 400 South Tyrone Street, Suite 2100 Charlotte, NC 28285

WINDHAM, Terrill (Mr.) Project Manager Entergy-ANO 1448 S.R. 333 Russellville, AR 72802

WOLBERT, Edward (Mr.) President Transco Products Inc. 55 E. Jackson Boulevard, Suite 2100 Chicago, IL

ZIGLER, Gilbert (Mr.) Senior Scientist/Engineer Alion Science and Technology 6000 Uptown Blvd. NE Suite 300 Albuquerque, NM Phone: +1 301 415-6045 Fax: +1 301 415-5a53 E-mail: JRS2@nrc.gov

Phone: +1 202 383-2166 Fax: +1 202 383-2187 E-mail: Gregory\_twachtman@platts.com

Phone: +1 301 415-3819 Fax: +1 301 415-2444 E-mail: smu@nrc.gov

Phone: +1 704 805-2746 Fax: +1 704 805 2650 E-mail: john.walker@framatome-anp.com

Phone: +1 704 805-2065 Fax: +1 704 805-2675 E-mail: lee.Williams@framatome-anp.com

Phone: +1 479 858-4355 Fax: +1 479 858-4496 E-mail: twindha@entergy.com

Phone: +1 312 427-2818 Fax: +1 312 427-4975 E-mail: edwolbert@transcoproducts.com

Phone: +1 505 872-1089 Fax: +1 505 872-0233 E-mail: gzigler@alionscience.com FRISBEE, Rebecca (Ms.) Los Alamos National Laboratory P.O. Box 1663, MS P366 Los Alamos, NM 87545

WEAVER, Christine (Ms.) Los Alamos National Laboratory P.O. Box 1663, MS P366 Los Alamos, NM 87545 Phone: +1 505 667-5543 Fax: +1 505 667-7530 E-mail: rfrisbee@lanl.gov

Phone: +1 505 667-9436 Fax: +1 505 667-7530 E-mail: cweaver@lanl.gov

## ALSO AVAILABLE

# **NEA Publications of General Interest**

NEA News ISSN 1605-9581	Yearly subscription: € 49 US\$ 56 £ 31 ¥ 6 600
Nuclear Energy Today (2003) ISBN 92-64-10328-7	Price: € 21 US\$ 24 £ 14 ¥ 2 700
Nuclear Safety and Regulation	
Advanced Nuclear Reactor Safety Issues and Res Workshop Proceedings, Paris, France, 18-20 Feb ISBN 92-64-19781-8	<i>tearch Needs</i> (2002) ruary 2002 Price: € 75 US\$ 65 £ 46 ¥ 8 700
Nuclear Fuel Safety Criteria Technical Review (2 ISBN 92-64-19687-0	2001) Price: € 20 US\$ 19 £ 12 ¥ 1 900
Collective Statement Concerning Nuclear Safety Capabilities and Expertise in Support of Efficien ISBN 92-64-02169-8	<i>Research</i> (2004) t and Effective Regulation of Nuclear Power Plants <i>Free: paper or web.</i>
<i>Collective Statement Concerning Nuclear Safety</i> Good Practice and Closer Criteria ISBN 92-64-02149-3	Research (2003) Free: paper or web.
Nuclear Regulatory Review of Licence Self-asses ISBN 92-64-02132-9	ssment (LSA) (2003) Free: paper or web.
Regulator and Industry Co-operation on Nuclear Challenges and Opportunities ISBN 92-64-02126-4	· Safety Research (2003) Free: paper or web.
Regulatory Challenges of Decommissioning Nuc ISBN 92-64-02120-5	lear Reactors (The) (2003) Free: paper or web.
<i>CSNI Technical Opinion Papers</i> No.1: Fire Probabilistic Safety Assessment for N No.2: Seismic Probabilistic Safety Assessment for	uclear Power Plants (2002) or Nuclear Facilities (2002)
ISBN 92-64-18490-2 No.3: Recurring Events (2003) ISBN 92-64-02155-8	Free: paper or web. Free: paper or web
No.4: Human Reliability Analysis in Probabilisti ISBN 92-64-02157-4 No.5: Managing and Regulating Organisational ( ISBN 92-64-02069-1	c Safety Assessment for Nuclear Power Plants (2004) Free: paper or web. Change in Nuclear Installations (2004) Free: paper or web
Direct Indicators of Nuclear Energy Efficiency a Pilot Projects Results	nd Effectiveness (2004)
ISBN 92-64-02061-6	Free: paper or web.

Order form on reverse side.

## **ORDER FORM**

OECD Nuclear Energy Agency, 12 boulevard des Iles, F-92130 Issy-les-Moulineaux, France Tel. 33 (0)1 45 24 10 15, Fax 33 (0)1 45 24 11 10 E-mail: <u>nea@nea.fr</u>, Internet: http://www.nea.fr

Qty	Title	ISBN	Price	Amount
i I				
7	*			
		Total*		

\* Price include postage fees.

□ Payment enclosed (cheque or money order payable to OECD Publications).

Charge my credit card  $\Box$  VISA  $\Box$  Mastercard  $\Box$  American Express (*Prices include postage and handling fees*).

Card No.	Expiration date	Signature
Name		
Address	Country	
Telephone	Fax	
E-mail		

## Questionnaire on the quality of OECD publications

We would like to ensure that our publications meet your requirements in terms of presentation and editorial content. We would welcome your feedback and any comments you may have for improvement. Please take a few minutes to complete the following questionnaire. Answers should be given on a scale of 1 to 5 (1 = poor, 5 = excellent).

Fax or post your answer before 31 December 2004, and you will automatically be entered into the prize draw to **win a year's subscription to OECD's Observer magazine**.\*

## A. Presentation and layout

1. What do you	think about the p	resentation an	d layout in ter	ms of the	following:	
Readability (font, Organisation of th Statistical tables Graphs	typeface) ie book	Poor 1 1 1 1	Adequate 2 2 2 2 2	3 3 3 3	Excellent 4 4 4 4	5 5 5 5
B. Printing and	binding					
2. What do you	think about the q	uality of the p	rinted edition	in terms of	the following	j:
Quality of the prin Quality of the pap Type of binding Not relevant, I am	ting er i using the e-book	1 1 1	2 2 2	3 3 3	4 4 4	5 5 5
3. Which deliver	ry format do you	prefer for pub	lications in ge	neral?		
Print 🖵	CD 🖵	E-book (PDF)	) via Internet 🖵	) (	Combination of	f formats 🖵
C. Content						
4. How accurate	and up to date d	o you conside	er the content	of this pub	lication to be	?
		1	2	3	4	5
Clear Meaningful 6. How do you r	Yes I I	No 🖵 No 🖵 yle of the publ	ication (e.g. la	inguage, s 3	yntax, gramm 4	a <b>r)?</b> 5
D. General						
7. Do you have a	any additional co	mments you v	vould like to a	dd about th	ne publicatior	1?
Tell us who you Name: Fax:	ı are:			E-mail:		
Which of the foll	owing describes	vou?				
IGO 🖵 Academic 🖵	NGO Governmen	t official 🖵	Self-em Po	ployed 🖵 plitician 🖵	Priva	Student 🖵 te sector 🖵
<b>Thank you for co</b> (33-1) 49 10 42 8 Questionnaire qua 23, rue du Dôme	<b>ompleting the que</b> <b>1 or mail it to the</b> alité PAC/PROD, I – 92100 Boulogne	estionnaire. Pl following add Division des pul Billancourt – F	ease fax your ress: plications de l'C rance.	answers to	<b>)</b> :	
Title: Debris imp	pact on Emergen	cy Coolant R	ecirculation			
_						

ISBN: 92-64-00666-4 OECD Code (printed version): 66 2004 15 1p

<sup>\*</sup> Please note: This offer is not open to OECD staff.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

FOREWORD
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
<b>SESSION I: SAFETY ASSESSMENT AND REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS</b>
Y. Armand and J.M. Mattei Assessment on the Risk of Sump Plugging Issue on French PWR
B. Tombuyses, P. De Gelder and A. Vandewalle The Sump Screen Clogging Issue in Belgium from the Standpoint of the Authorized Inspection Organisation (AIO)
<i>J. Huber</i> Conclusions Drawn from the Investigation of LOCA-Induced Insulation Debris Generation and its Impact on Emergency Core Cooling (ECC) at German NPPs – Approach Taken by/Perspective of the German TSO (TÜV)
<i>C. Harwood, V.Q. Tang, J. Khosla, D. Rhodes and A. Eyvindson</i> Uncertainties in the ECC Strainer Knowledge Base – The Canadian Regulatory Perspective 53
<i>J.N. Hannon</i> NRC Approach to PWR Sump Performance Resolution
A. Hsia Overview of US Research Related to PWR Sump Clogging 67
<ul><li>M. Henriksson</li><li>Results of Tests with Large Sacrificial and Self-cleaning Strainers and the Installation at Ringhals 2</li></ul>
Y. Armand and J.M. Mattei Sump Plugging Risk
<b>SESSION 2: EXPERIMENTAL WORK</b>
Y. Armand, J.M. Mattei, J. Batalik, B. Gubco, J. Murani, I. Vicena, V.N. Blinkov, M. Davydov and O.I. Melikhov Risk of Sump Plugging – Experimental Program

A. Eyvindson, D. Rhodes, P. Carson and G. Makdessi Emergency Core Cooling Strainers – The CANDU Experience
<i>M. Ding, A. Abdel-Fattah, B. Letellier, P. Reimus, S. Fischer and T.Y. Chang</i> Characterisation of Latent Debris from Pressurised Water Reactor Containment Buildings
<i>C.J Shaffer, M.T. Leonard, A.K. Maji, A. Ghosh, B.C. Letellier and T.Y. Chang</i> Debris Accumulation and Head-loss Data for Evaluating the Performance of Vertical Pressurised Water Reactor Recirculation Sump Screens
S. Alt, R. Hampel, W. Kaestner and A. Seeliger Experimental Investigations for Fragmentation and Insulation Particle Transport Phenomena in Water Flow
<i>K. Howe, A.K. Maji, A. Ghosh, B.C. Letellier, R. Johns and T.Y. Chang</i> Effects of Debris Generated by Chemical Reactions on Head Loss Through Emergency Core Cooling System Strainers
U. Waas and GJ. Seeberger Results of the Latest Large-scale Realistic Experiments Investigating the Post-LOCA Behaviour of Mineral Wool Debris in PWRs
<b>SESSION 3: ANALYTICAL WORK</b>
<i>J.U. Klügel</i> Simple Evaluation Model for Long Term Debris Transport Velocity in the Torus of a Mark I Containment
<i>E. Krepper and A. Grahn</i> Numerical Investigations for Insulation Debris Transport Phenomena in Water Flow271
<i>F.W. Sciacca and D.V. Rao</i> Reassessment of Debris-Ingestion Effects on Emergency Core Cooling System Pump Performance
A.K. Maji, D.V. Rao, B.C. Letellier, L. Bartlein, K. Ross and C.J. Shaffer Separate Effects Tests to Quantify Debris Transport to the Sump Screen
T.S. Andreychek, B.F. Maurer, D.C. Bhomick, J.F. Petsche, J. Ghergurovich, D.J. Ayres, A. Nana and J.C. Butler Break Characteristic Modelling for Debris Generation Following a Design Basis Loss of Coolant Accident
<i>T.S. Andreychek and D.J. McDermott</i> Containment Sump Channel Flow Modelling

SESSION 4: INDUSTRY SOLUTIONS	339
Chairpersons: Mr. A. Vandewalle, Mr. J. Butler	
JC. Delalleau, C. Delveau, G. Du Bois d'Enghien, L. Vandermeeren and J. Pirson Actions Taken in the Belgian Nuclear Power Plants for the Resolution of the GSI-191	341
J.U. Klügel Safety Analysis Performed in Switzerland for the Resolution of the Strainer Clogging Issue	353
J.R. Cavallo and A. Griffin Original Equipment Manufacturers' (OEM) Protective Coating Design Basis Accident Testing	367
J.W. Walker and H.L. Williams Overview of Site Specific Blockage Solutions at US PWRs	373
G. Zigler, G. Hart and J. Cavallo	
Pressure Drop Calculations	389
List of participants	399



From: Debris Impact on Emergency Coolant Recirculation Workshop Proceedings, Albuquerque NM, USA, 25-27 February 2004

Access the complete publication at: https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264006676-en

## Please cite this chapter as:

Eyvindson, A., *et al.* (2004), "Emergency Core Cooling Strainers – The CANDU Experience", in OECD/ Nuclear Energy Agency, *Debris Impact on Emergency Coolant Recirculation: Workshop Proceedings, Albuquerque NM, USA, 25-27 February 2004*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264006676-13-en

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document and any map included herein are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area.

You can copy, download or print OECD content for your own use, and you can include excerpts from OECD publications, databases and multimedia products in your own documents, presentations, blogs, websites and teaching materials, provided that suitable acknowledgment of OECD as source and copyright owner is given. All requests for public or commercial use and translation rights should be submitted to rights@oecd.org. Requests for permission to photocopy portions of this material for public or commercial use shall be addressed directly to the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) at info@copyright.com or the Centre français d'exploitation du droit de copie (CFC) at contact@cfcopies.com.

