### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

**Uranium 2007 – Resources, Production and Demand** presents, in addition to updated resource figures, the results of the most recent review of world uranium market fundamentals and provides a statistical profile of the world uranium industry as of 1 January 2007. First published in 1965, this is the 22<sup>nd</sup> edition of what has become known as the "Red Book." It contains official data provided by 40 countries (and one Country Report prepared by the IAEA Secretariat) on uranium exploration, resources, production and reactor-related requirements. Projections of nuclear generating capacity and reactor-related uranium requirements through 2030 are provided as well as a discussion of long-term uranium supply and demand issues.

#### **Exploration**

Worldwide exploration and mine development expenditures in 2006 totalled about USD 774 million, an increase of 254% compared to updated 2004 figures, as the market strengthened considerably. Most major producing countries reported significantly increased expenditures, perhaps best exemplified by Australia, where exploration and development expenditures in 2002 amounted to a little over USD 3 million, increased to almost USD 10 million by 2004, over USD 30 million in 2005 and in 2006 exceeded USD 60 million. The majority of global exploration activities remain concentrated in areas with potential for hosting unconformity-related and *in situ* leaching (ISL) amenable sandstone deposits, primarily in close proximity to known resources and existing production facilities. However, high prices for uranium over the last several years have stimulated "grass roots" exploration, as well as increased exploration in regions known to have good potential based on past work. About 75% of the exploration and development expenditures, although reported by only Australia, Canada, France and Switzerland, rose to over USD 214 million in 2006, a more than 200% increase from the non-domestic expenditures reported in 2004. Exploration and development expenditures are expected to remain strong in 2007, amounting to about USD 718 million.

## **Resources**<sup>1</sup>

Total Identified Resources (Reasonably Assured & Inferred) in 2007 increased to about 4 456 000 tonnes of uranium metal (tU) in the <USD 80/kgU category and to about 5 469 000 tU in the <USD 130/kgU category (increases of 17% and 15%, respectively compared to their 2005 levels).

<sup>1.</sup> Uranium Resources are classified by a scheme (based on geological certainty and costs of production) developed to combine resource estimates from a number of different countries into harmonised global figures. "Identified Resources" (*RAR* and *Inferred*) refer to uranium deposits delineated by sufficient direct measurement to conduct pre-feasibility and sometimes feasibility studies. For Reasonably Assured Resources (*RAR*), high confidence in estimates of grade and tonnage are generally compatible with mining decision making standards. *Inferred Resources* are not defined with such a high a degree of confidence and generally require further direct measurement prior to making a decision to mine. "Undiscovered Resources" (*Prognosticated* and *Speculative*) refer to resources that are expected to occur based on geological knowledge of previously discovered deposits and regional geological mapping. *Prognosticated Resources* refer to those expected to occur in geological provinces that may host uranium deposits. Both *Prognosticated* and *Speculative Resources* refer to those expected to occur in geological provinces that may host uranium deposits. Both *Prognosticated* and *Speculative Resources* require significant amounts of exploration before their existence can be confirmed and grades and tonnages can be defined. For a more detailed description see Appendix 4.

Though a portion of these increases relate to new discoveries, the majority result from re-evaluations of previously Identified Resources in light of the effects of higher uranium prices on cut-off grades. At current (2006) rates of consumption, Identified Resources are sufficient for about 100 years of supply.

Total Undiscovered Resources (Prognosticated Resources & Speculative Resources) in 2007 amounted to more than 10 500 000 tU, increasing by 485 000 tU from the total reported in 2005, even though some countries, including major producers, do not report resources in this category.

Resource figures are dynamic and related to commodity prices. The increased resource totals from 2005 to 2007, equivalent to 11 years of 2006 uranium requirements, demonstrate the impact that increased uranium prices have on resource totals. The uranium resource figures presented here are a "snapshot" of the available information on resources of economic interest as of 1 January 2007 and are not an inventory of total amount of mineable uranium contained in the earth's crust. Should favourable market conditions continue to stimulate exploration additional discoveries can be expected, as was the case during past periods of heightened exploration activity. For example, Australia's Reasonable Assured Resources in the <USD 80/kgU category were increased by over 200 000 tU and Inferred Resources in the same price category increased by 75 000 tU through mid-2007 as a result of deposit extensions and new discoveries.

#### Production

Uranium production in 2006 totalled 39 603 tU, a 6% decrease from the 41 943 tU produced in 2005 and 1.5% less that the 40 188 tU produced in 2004. A total of 20 countries reported output in 2006, compared to 19 in 2004, as the Islamic Republic of Iran began production in 2006. While production declined overall between 2004 and 2006, significant increases were recorded in Kazakhstan (42%) and the United States, where production almost doubled (albeit starting from a relatively low figure of <1 000 tU in the case of the United States). More modest increases (about 8%) were recorded in Niger and Uzbekistan. Reduced production was recorded in a number of countries between 2004 and 2006 (including Australia, Canada, the Russian Federation and South Africa) owing to a combination of lower than expected ore grades, extreme weather events and technical difficulties. Underground mining accounted for 40% of global production in 2006; open-pit mining, 24%; ISL mining, 25%; while co-product and by-product recovery from copper and gold operations and other unconventional methods accounting for most of the remaining 11%. Uranium production in 2007 is expected to increase to 43 328 tU, with the largest increases (>37%) anticipated to occur once again in Kazakhstan.

#### Environmental aspects of uranium production

Although the focus of the Red Book remains uranium resources, production and demand, environmental aspects of the uranium production cycle are once again included in this volume. Information presented in a number of National Reports include descriptions of monitoring programmes at mines currently in production (India, Kazakhstan and Ukraine), updates on decommissioning and remediation efforts at closed mines (Brazil, Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Germany, Hungary, Poland, Slovenia, Spain and the United States) and environmental assessments of proposed production increases (Canada and Niger). Additional information on the environmental aspects of uranium production may be found in a joint NEA/IAEA Uranium Group publication titled *Environmental Remediation of Uranium Production Facilities*, Paris, OECD, 2002.

Past uranium mining practices, no longer licensed today, resulted in a number of legacy uranium mining sites in several countries (e.g., Canada, Czech Republic, Germany and the United States). Shared experiences in efforts to remediate these sites have been compiled by the Uranium Mine Remediation Exchange Group (UMREG). These experiences are an important reminder of the consequences of outdated mining practices and, in an effort to ensure that all jurisdictions involved in uranium mining benefit from the lessons learned, in particular those without recent experience in uranium mining, a summary description of UMREG is included in Appendix 3.

#### Uranium demand

At the end of 2006, a total of 435 commercial nuclear reactors were operating with a net generating capacity of about 370 GWe requiring about 66 500 tU. By the year 2030, world nuclear capacity is projected to grow to between about 509 GWe net in the low demand case and 663 GWe net in the high demand case. Accordingly, world reactor-related uranium requirements are projected to rise to between 93 775 tU and 121 955 tU by 2030.

Significant regional variation exists within these projections. Nuclear energy capacity and resultant uranium requirements are expected to grow significantly the East Asia region (between 91% to over 124% in the low and high cases, respectively) and in the Central, Eastern and South East Europe region (between 84% and 159%). Nuclear capacity and requirements are expected to increase slightly in North America (between 9% and 32%), but to decline in Western Europe (a reduction of between 10% and 29%) as plans to phase-out nuclear energy are implemented. However, there are uncertainties in these projections as there is ongoing debate on the role that nuclear energy will play in meeting future energy requirements. Key factors that will influence future nuclear energy capacity include projected base load electricity demand, non-proliferation concerns, public acceptance of nuclear energy and proposed waste management strategies, as well as the economic competitiveness of nuclear power plants and their fuel compared to other energy sources. Concerns about longer-term security of supply of fossil fuels and the extent to which nuclear energy is seen to be beneficial in meeting greenhouse gas reduction targets could contribute to even greater projected growth in uranium demand.

#### Supply and demand relationship

At the end of 2006, world uranium production (39 603 tU) provided about 60% of world reactor requirements (66 500 tU), with the remainder being met by supplies of already mined uranium (so-called secondary sources) including excess government and commercial inventories, the delivery of low enriched uranium (LEU) arising from the down-blending of highly enriched uranium (HEU) derived from the dismantling of nuclear warheads, re-enrichment of depleted uranium tails and spent fuel reprocessing.

Uranium mine development has responded to the market signal of high prices and rising demand. As currently projected, primary uranium production capabilities including Existing, Committed, Planned and Prospective production centres supported by Identified Resources (RAR and Inferred) could satisfy projected high case world uranium requirements through 2028. However, actual production has declined in recent years, and in order for production to meet future demand mine expansions and openings must proceed as planned and production will have to be maintained at full capability. This is unlikely, as illustrated by mine development setbacks and production difficulties experienced in recent years. Therefore, to ensure demand is met, secondary sources will continue to be necessary, complemented to the extent possible by uranium savings achieved by specifying low tails assays at enrichment facilities.

Although information on secondary sources is incomplete, they are widely expected to decline in importance, particularly after 2013. As secondary supplies are reduced, reactor requirements will have to be increasingly met by mine production. The introduction of alternate fuel cycles, if successfully developed and implemented, will impact the market balance, but it is too early to say with certainty how effective and widely implemented these proposed fuel cycles will be. What is clear is that a sustained strong demand for uranium will be needed to stimulate the timely development of production capability and to increase Identified Resources. Because of the long lead-times required to identify new resources and to bring them into production (typically on the order of ten years or more), there exists the potential for the development of uranium supply shortfalls and continued upward pressure on uranium prices.

#### Conclusion

World demand for electricity is expected to continue to grow rapidly over the next several decades to meet the needs of an increasing population and economic growth. The recognition by many governments that nuclear power can produce competitively-priced base-load electricity that is essentially free of greenhouse gas emissions, combined with the role that nuclear can play in enhancing security of energy supplies, has increased the prospects for growth in nuclear generating capacity, although the magnitude of that growth remains uncertain.

Regardless of the role that nuclear energy ultimately plays in meeting rising electricity demand, the uranium resource base described in this document is adequate to meet projected future requirements. The challenge is to develop mines and increase production in a timely fashion to bring these resources to the market. A continued strong market and sustained high prices will be necessary for resources to be developed within the timeframe required to meet future uranium demand.

## Appendix 4

#### **GLOSSARY OF DEFINITIONS AND TERMINOLOGY**

#### UNITS

Metric units are used in all tabulations and statements. Resources and production quantities are expressed in terms of tonnes (t) contained uranium (U) rather than uranium oxide  $(U_3O_8)$ .

| 1 short ton $U_3O_8$                    | = 0.769 tU        |
|---|-------------------|
| 1 percent U <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub> | = 0.848 percent U |
| 1 USD/lb U <sub>3</sub> O <sub>8</sub>  | = USD 2.6/kg U    |
| 1 tonne                                 | = 1 metric ton    |

### **RESOURCE TERMINOLOGY**

Resource estimates are divided into separate categories reflecting different levels of confidence in the quantities reported. The resources are further separated into categories based on the cost of production.

#### a) Definitions of resource categories

Uranium resources are broadly classified as either conventional or unconventional. Conventional resources are those that have an established history of production where uranium is a primary product, co-product or an important by-product (e.g., from the mining of copper and gold). Very low-grade resources or those from which uranium is only recoverable as a minor by-product are considered unconventional resources.

Conventional resources are further divided, according to different confidence levels of occurrence, into four categories. The correlation between these resource categories and those used in selected national resource classification systems is shown in Figure A.

**Reasonably Assured Resources (RAR)** refers to uranium that occurs in known mineral deposits of delineated size, grade and configuration such that the quantities which could be recovered within the given production cost ranges with currently proven mining and processing technology, can be specified. Estimates of tonnage and grade are based on specific sample data and measurements of the deposits and on knowledge of deposit characteristics. Reasonably Assured Resources have a high assurance of existence. Unless otherwise noted, RAR are expressed in terms of quantities of uranium recoverable from mineable ore (see Recoverable Resources).

**Inferred Resources** refers to uranium, in addition to RAR, that is inferred to occur based on direct geological evidence, in extensions of well-explored deposits, or in deposits in which geological continuity has been established but where specific data, including measurements of the deposits, and knowledge of the deposit's characteristics, are considered to be inadequate to classify the resource as RAR. Estimates of tonnage, grade and cost of further delineation and recovery are based on such sampling as is available and on knowledge of the deposit characteristics as determined in the best known parts of the deposit or in similar deposits. Less reliance can be placed on the estimates in this category than on those for RAR. Unless otherwise noted, Inferred Resources are expressed in terms of quantities of uranium recoverable from mineable ore (see Recoverable Resources).

|   | IDENTIFIED RESOURCES |           |          | UNDISCOVERED RESOURCES |             |             |  |
|---|----------------------|-----------|----------|------------------------|-------------|-------------|--|
|   |                      |           |          |                        |             |             |  |
| NEA/IAEA  | REASONABL            | Y Assured | INFERRED | PROGNOSTICATED         | SPECU       | Speculative |  |
|   |                      |           |          |                        |             |             |  |
| A / 1   | DEMONSTRATED         |           |          |                        |             |             |  |
| Australia   | MEASURED             | INDICATED | INFERRED | Undiscovered           |             |             |  |
|   |                      |           |          |                        |             |             |  |
| Canada (NRCan)  | MEASURED             | INDICATED | INFERRED | PROGNOSTICATED         | SPECULATIVE |             |  |
|   |                      |           |          |                        |             |             |  |
| United States (DOE)                                       | REASONABLY ASSURED   |           | ESTIMATE | TIMATED ADDITIONAL     |             | SPECULATIVE |  |
|   |                      |           |          |                        |             |             |  |
| Russian Federation,<br>Kazakhstan,<br>Ukraine, Uzbekistan | A + B                | C 1       | C 2      | P1                     | P2          | Р3          |  |
|   |                      |           |          |                        |             |             |  |
| UNFC*   | G1 + G2              |           | G3       | G4                     | G4          |             |  |

# Figure A. Approximate Correlation of Terms used in Major Resources Classification Systems

\* United Nations Framework Classification correlation with NEA/IAEA and national classification systems is still under consideration.

The terms illustrated are not strictly comparable as the criteria used in the various systems are not identical. "Grey zones" in correlation are therefore unavoidable, particularly as the resources become less assured. Nonetheless, the chart presents a reasonable approximation of the comparability of terms.

**Prognosticated Resources** refers to uranium, in addition to Inferred Resources, that is expected to occur in deposits for which the evidence is mainly indirect and which are believed to exist in well-defined geological trends or areas of mineralisation with known deposits. Estimates of tonnage, grade and cost of discovery, delineation and recovery are based primarily on knowledge of deposit characteristics in known deposits within the respective trends or areas and on such sampling, geological, geophysical or geochemical evidence as may be available. Less reliance can be placed on the estimates in this category than on those for Inferred Resources. Prognosticated Resources are normally expressed in terms of uranium contained in mineable ore, i.e., *in situ* quantities.

**Speculative Resources (SR)** refers to uranium, in addition to Prognosticated Resources, that is thought to exist, mostly on the basis of indirect evidence and geological extrapolations, in deposits discoverable with existing exploration techniques. The location of deposits envisaged in this category could generally be specified only as being somewhere within a given region or geological trend. As the term implies, the existence and size of such resources are speculative. SR are normally expressed in terms of uranium contained in mineable ore, i.e., *in situ* quantities.

#### b) Cost categories

The cost categories, in United States dollars (USD), used in this report are defined as: <USD 40/kgU, <USD 80/kgU, and <USD 130/kgU. All resource categories are defined in terms of costs of uranium recovered at the ore processing plant

# NOTE: It is not intended that the cost categories should follow fluctuations in market conditions.

Conversion of costs from other currencies into USD is done using an average exchange rate for the month of June in that year except for the projected costs for the year of the report, which uses the exchange rate of 1 January 2007 (Appendix 8).

When estimating the cost of production for assigning resources within these cost categories, account has been taken of the following costs:

- The direct costs of mining, transporting and processing the uranium ore.
- The costs of associated environmental and waste management during and after mining.
- The costs of maintaining non-operating production units where applicable.
- In the case of ongoing projects, those capital costs that remain non-amortised.
- The capital cost of providing new production units where applicable, including the cost of financing.
- Indirect costs such as office overheads, taxes and royalties where applicable.
- Future exploration and development costs wherever required for further ore delineation to the stage where it is ready to be mined.
- Sunk costs are not normally taken into consideration.

## c) Relationship between resource categories

Figure B illustrates the inter-relationship between the different resource categories. The horizontal axis expresses the level of assurance about the actual existence of a given tonnage based on varying degrees of geologic knowledge while the vertical axis expresses the economic feasibility of exploitation by the division into cost categories.

| D RESOURCES |                                 | SPECULATIVE<br>RESOURCES           |                                    |                            |  |
|-------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| UNDISCOVERE | PROGNOSTICATED                  | PROGNOSTICATED<br>RESOURCES        |                                    |                            |  |
| RESOURCES   | INFERRED RESOURCES              | INFERRED RESOURCES                 | INFERRED RESOURCES                 | stimates                   |  |
| IDENTIFIED  | REASONABLY ASSURED<br>RESOURCES | REASONABLY<br>ASSURED<br>RESOURCES | REASONABLY<br>ASSURED<br>RESOURCES | Decreasing confidence in e |  |
|             | U§4/04 QSU>                     | ሀዷን/08-04 ወደሀ                      | U294/051-08 QSU                    |                            |  |
|             | Recoverable at costs            |                                    |                                    |                            |  |

Figure B. NEA/IAEA Classification Scheme for Uranium Resources

Γ

Decreasing economic attractiveness

## d) Recoverable resources

RAR and Inferred Resource estimates are expressed in terms of recoverable tonnes of uranium, i.e. quantities of uranium recoverable from mineable ore, as opposed to quantities contained in mineable ore, or quantities *in situ*, i.e., not taking into account mining and milling losses. Therefore both expected mining and ore processing losses have been deducted in most cases. If a country reports its resources as *in situ* and the country does not provide a recovery factor, the Secretariat assigns a recovery factor to those resources based on geology and projected mining and processing methods to determine recoverable resources. The recovery factors that have been applied are:

| Mining and milling method                    | <b>Overall recovery factor</b> (%) |
|--|------------------------------------|
| Open-pit mining with conventional milling    | 80                                 |
| Underground mining with conventional milling | 80                                 |
| ISL (acid)                                   | 75                                 |
| ISL (alkaline)                               | 70                                 |
| Heap leaching                                | 70                                 |
| Block and stope leaching                     | 75                                 |
| Co-product or by-product                     | 70                                 |
| Unspecified method                           | 75                                 |

# SECONDARY SOURCES OF URANIUM TERMINOLOGY

a) Mixed-oxide fuel (MOX): MOX is the abbreviation for a fuel for nuclear power plants that consists of a mixture of uranium oxide and plutonium oxide. Current practice is to use a mixture of depleted uranium oxide and plutonium oxide.

**b) Depleted uranium:** Uranium where the <sup>235</sup>U assay is below the naturally occurring 0.7110%. (Natural uranium is a mixture of three isotopes, <sup>238</sup>U – accounting for 99.2836%, <sup>235</sup>U – 0.7110%, and <sup>234</sup>U – 0.0054%). Depleted uranium is a by-product of the enrichment process, where enriched uranium is produced from initial natural uranium feed material.

# **PRODUCTION TERMINOLOGY**<sup>1</sup>

a) **Production centres:** A production centre, as referred to in this report, is a production unit consisting of one or more ore processing plants, one or more associated mines and uranium resources that are tributary to these facilities. For the purpose of describing production centres, they have been divided into four classes, as follows:

<sup>1.</sup> IAEA (1984), *Manual on the Projection of Uranium Production Capability*, General Guidelines, Technical Report Series No. 238, Vienna, Austria.

- i) **Existing** production centres are those that currently exist in operational condition and include those plants which are closed down but which could be readily brought back into operation.
- ii) **Committed** production centres are those that are either under construction or are firmly committed for construction.
- iii) **Planned** production centres are those for which feasibility studies are either completed or under way, but for which construction commitments have not yet been made. This class also includes those plants that are closed which would require substantial expenditures to bring them back into operation.
- iv) **Prospective** production centres are those that could be supported by tributary RAR and Inferred, i.e., "Identified Resources", but for which construction plans have not yet been made.

#### b) Production capacity and capability

**Production capacity:** Denotes the nominal level of output, based on the design of the plant and facilities over an extended period, under normal commercial operating practices.

**Production capability:** Refers to an estimate of the level of production that could be practically and realistically achieved under favourable circumstances from the plant and facilities at any of the types of production centres described above, given the nature of the resources tributary to them. Projections of production capability are supported only by RAR and/or EAR-I. The projection is presented based on those resources recoverable at costs <USD 80/kgU.

**Production:** Denotes the amount of uranium output, in tonnes U contained in concentrate, from an ore processing plant or production centre (with milling losses deducted).

### c) Mining and milling

*In situ* leaching (ISL): The extraction of uranium from sandstone using chemical solutions and the recovery of uranium at the surface. ISL extraction is conducted by injecting a suitable uranium-dissolving leach solution (acid or alkaline) into the ore zone below the water table thereby oxidising, complexing, and mobilising the uranium; then recovering the pregnant solutions through production wells, and finally pumping the uranium bearing solution to the surface for further processing.

**Heap leaching (HL):** Heaps of ore are formed over a collecting system underlain by an impervious membrane. Dilute sulphuric acid solutions are distributed over the top surface of the ore. As the solutions seep down through the heap, they dissolve a significant (50-75%) amount of the uranium in the ore. The uranium is recovered from the heap leach product liquor by ion exchange or solvent extraction.

In place leaching (IPL): involves leaching of broken ore without removing it from an underground mine. This is also sometimes referred to as stope leaching or block leaching.

**Co-product:** Uranium is a co-product when it is one of two commodities that must be produced to make a mine economic. Both commodities influence output, for example, uranium and copper are co-produced at Olympic Dam in Australia. Co-product uranium is produced using either the open-pit or underground mining methods.

**By-product:** Uranium is considered a by-product when it is a secondary or additional product. By-product uranium can be produced in association with a main product or with co-products, e.g., uranium recovered from the Palabora copper mining operations in South Africa. By-product uranium is produced using either the open-pit or underground mining methods.

**Uranium from phosphates:** Uranium has been recovered as a by-product of phosphoric acid production. Uranium is separated from phosphoric acid by a solvent extraction process. The most frequently used reagent is a synergetic mixture of Tri-m-Octyl Phosphine Oxide (TOPO) and Di 2-Ethylhexyl Phosphoric Acid (DEPA).

**Ion exchange (IX):** Reversible exchange of ions contained in a host material for different ions in solution without destruction of the host material or disturbance of electrical neutrality. The process is accomplished by diffusion and occurs typically in crystals possessing – one or two – dimensional channels where ions are weakly bonded. It also occurs in resins consisting of three-dimensional hydrocarbon networks to which are attached many ionisable groups. Ion exchange is used for recovering uranium from leaching solutions.

**Solvent extraction (SX):** A method of separation in which a generally aqueous solution is mixed with an immiscible solvent to transfer one or more components into the solvent. This method is used to recover uranium from leaching solutions.

# DEMAND TERMINOLOGY

a) **Reactor-related requirements:** Refers to natural uranium acquisitions *not* necessarily consumption during a calendar year.

# **ENVIRONMENTAL TERMINOLOGY**<sup>2</sup>

**a)** Close-out: In the context of uranium mill tailings impoundment, the operational, regulatory and administrative actions required to place a tailings impoundment into long-term conditions such that little or no future surveillance and maintenance are required.

**b) Decommissioning:** Actions taken at the end of the operating life of a uranium mill or other uranium facility in retiring it from service with adequate regard for the health and safety of workers and members of the public and protection of the environment. The time period to achieve decommissioning may range from a few to several hundred years.

c) **Decontamination:** The removal or reduction of radioactive or toxic chemical contamination using physical, chemical, or biological processes.

**d**) **Dismantling:** The disassembly and removal of any structure, system or component during decommissioning. Dismantling may be performed immediately after permanent retirement of a mine or mill facility or may be deferred.

<sup>2.</sup> Definitions based on those published in OECD (2002), *Environmental Remediation of Uranium Production Facilities*, Paris.

e) Environmental restoration: Cleanup and restoration, according to predefined criteria, of sites contaminated with radioactive and/or hazardous substances during past uranium production activities.

**f**) **Environmental impact statement**: A set of documents recording the results of an evaluation of the physical, ecological, cultural and socio-economic effects of a planned installation, facility, or technology.

**g**) **Groundwater restoration:** The process of returning affected groundwater to acceptable quality and quantity levels for future use.

h) Reclamation: The process of restoring a site to predefined conditions, which allows new uses.

i) **Restricted release (or use):** A designation, by the regulatory body of a country, that restricts the release or use of equipment, buildings, materials or the site because of its potential radiological or other hazards.

**j**) **Tailings:** The remaining portion of a metal-bearing ore consisting of finely ground rock and process liquids after some or all of the metal, such as uranium, has been extracted.

**k**) **Tailings impoundment:** A structure in which the tailings are deposited to prevent their release into the environment.

**I)** Unrestricted release (or use): A designation, by the regulatory body of a country, that enables the release or use of equipment, buildings, materials or the site without any restriction.

# GEOLOGICAL TERMINOLOGY

a) Uranium occurrence: A naturally occurring, anomalous concentration of uranium.

**b**) **Uranium deposit:** A mass of naturally occurring mineral from which uranium could be exploited at present or in the future.

# c) Geologic types of uranium deposits<sup>3</sup>

Uranium resources can be assigned on the basis of their geological setting to the following categories of uranium ore deposit types (arranged according to their approximate economic significance):

- 1. Unconformity-related deposits.
- 2. Sandstone deposits.
- 3. Hematite breccia complex deposits.
- 4. Quartz-pebble conglomerate deposits.
- 5. Vein deposits.
- 6. Intrusive deposits.
- 7. Volcanic and caldera-related deposits.

- 8. Metasomatite deposits.
- 9. Surficial deposits.
- 10. Collapse breccia pipe deposits.
- 11. Phosphorite deposits.
- 12. Other types of deposits.
- 13. Rock types with elevated uranium content.

<sup>3.</sup> This classification of the geological types of uranium deposits was developed by the IAEA in 1988-89 and updated for use in the Red Book.

1. Unconformity-related deposits: Unconformity-related deposits are associated with and occur immediately below and above an unconformable contact that separates a crystalline basement intensively altered from overlying clastic sediments of either Proterozoic or Phanerozoic age.

The unconformity-related deposits include the following sub-types:

- Unconformity contact
  - i. Fracture bound deposits occur in metasediments immediately below the unconformity. Mineralisation is monometallic and of medium grade. Examples include Rabbit Lake and Dominique Peter in the Athabasca Basin, Canada.
  - ii. Clay-bound deposits occur associated with clay at the base of the sedimentary cover directly above the unconformity. Mineralisation is commonly polymetallic and of high to very high grade. An example is Cigar Lake in the Athabasca Basin, Canada
- Sub-unconformity-post-metamorphic deposits Deposits are strata-structure bound in metasediments below the unconformity on which clastic sediments rest. These deposits can have large resources, at low to medium grade. Examples are Jabiluka and Ranger in Australia.
- 2. Sandstone deposits: Sandstone uranium deposits occur in medium to coarse-grained sandstones deposited in a continental fluvial or marginal marine sedimentary environment. Uranium is precipitated under reducing conditions caused by a variety of reducing agents within the sandstone, for example, carbonaceous material, sulphides (pyrite), hydrocarbons and ferro-magnesium minerals (chlorite), etc. Sandstone uranium deposits can be divided into four main sub-types:
  - *Roll-front deposits*: The mineralised zones are convex down the hydrologic gradient. They display diffuse boundaries with reduced sandstone on the down-gradient side and sharp contacts with oxidised sandstone on the up-gradient side. The mineralised zones are elongate and sinuous approximately parallel to the strike, and perpendicular to the direction of deposition and groundwater flow. Resources can range from a few hundred tonnes to several thousands of tonnes of uranium, at grades averaging 0.05-0.25%. Examples are Moynkum, Inkay and Mynkuduk (Kazakhstan); Crow Butte and Smith Ranch (United States) and Bukinay, Sugraly and Uchkuduk (Uzbekistan).
  - *Tabular deposits* consist of uranium matrix impregnations that form irregularly shaped lenticular masses within reduced sediments. The mineralised zones are largely oriented parallel to the depositional trend. Individual deposits can contain several hundreds of tonnes up to 150 000 tonnes of uranium, at average grades ranging from 0.05-0.5%, occasionally up to 1%. Examples of deposits include Westmoreland (Australia), Nuhetting (China), Hamr-Stráz (Czech Republic), Akouta, Arlit, Imouraren (Niger) and Colorado Plateau (United States).
  - *Basal channel deposits*: Paleodrainage systems consist of several hundred metres wide channels filled with thick permeable alluvial-fluvial sediments. Here, the uranium is predominantly associated with detrital plant debris in ore bodies that display, in a planview, an elongated lens or ribbon-like configuration and, in a section-view, a lenticular or, more rarely, a roll shape. Individual deposits can range from several hundreds to 20 000 tonnes uranium, at grades ranging from 0.01-3%. Examples are the deposits of Dalmatovskoye (Transural Region), Malinovskoye (West Siberia), Khiagdinskoye (Vitim district) in Russia and Beverley in Australia.

- *Tectonic/lithologic deposits* occur in sandstone related to a permeable zone. Uranium is precipitated in open zones related to tectonic extension. Individual deposits contain a few hundred tonnes up to 5 000 tonnes of uranium at average grades ranging from 0.1-0.5%. Examples include the deposits of Mas Laveyre (France) and Mikouloungou (Gabon).
- **3.** Hematite breccia complex deposits: Deposits of this group occur in hematite-rich breccias and contain uranium in association with copper, gold, silver and rare earths. The main representative of this type of deposit is the Olympic Dam deposit in South Australia. Significant deposits and prospects of this type occur in the same region, including Prominent Hill, Wirrda Well, Acropolis and Oak Dam as well as some younger breccia-hosted deposits in the Mount Painter area.
- 4. Quartz-pebble conglomerate deposits: Detrital uranium oxide ores are found in quartzpebble conglomerates deposited as basal units in fluvial to lacustrine braided stream systems older than 2.3-2.4 Ga. The conglomerate matrix is pyritiferous, and gold, as well as other oxide and sulphide detrital minerals are often present in minor amounts. Examples include deposits found in the Witwatersrand Basin where uranium is mined as a by-product of gold. Uranium deposits of this type were mined in the Blind River/Elliot Lake area of Canada.
- 5. Vein deposits: In vein deposits, the major part of the mineralisation fills fractures with highly variable thickness, but generally important extension along strike. The veins consist mainly of gangue material (e.g. carbonates, quartz) and ore material, mainly pitchblende. Typical examples range from the thick and massive pitchblende veins of Pribram (Czech Republic), Schlema-Alberoda (Germany) and Shinkolobwe (Democratic Republic of Congo), to the stockworks and episyenite columns of Bernardan (France) and Gunnar (Canada), to the narrow cracks in granite or metamorphic rocks, also filled with pitchblende of Mina Fe (Spain) and Singhbhum (India).
- 6. Intrusive deposits: Deposits included in this type are those associated with intrusive or anatectic rocks of different chemical composition (alaskite, granite, monzonite, peralkaline syenite, carbonatite and pegmatite). Examples include the Rossing and Trekkopje deposits (Namibia), the uranium occurrences in the porphyry copper deposits such as Bingham Canyon and Twin Butte (United States), the Ilimaussaq deposit (Greenland), Palabora (South Africa), as well as the deposits in the Bancroft area (Canada).
- 7. Volcanic and caldera-related deposits: Uranium deposits of this type are located within and nearby volcanic caldera filled by mafic to felsic volcanic complexes and intercalated clastic sediments. Mineralisation is largely controlled by structures (minor stratabound), occurs at several stratigraphic levels of the volcanic and sedimentary units and extends into the basement where it is found in fractured granite and in metamorphites. Uranium minerals are commonly associated with molybdenum, other sulphides, violet fluorine and quartz. Most significant commercial deposits are located within Streltsovsk caldera in the Russian Federation. Examples are known in China, Mongolia (Dornot deposit), Canada (Michelin deposit) and Mexico (Nopal deposit).

- 8. Metasomatite deposits: Deposits of this type are confined to the areas of tectono-magmatic activity of the Precambrian shields and are related to near-fault alkali metasomatites, developed upon different basement rocks: granites, migmatites, gneisses and ferruginous quartzites with production of albitites, aegirinites, alkali-amphibolic and carbonaceous-ferruginous rocks. Ore lenses and stocks are a few metres to tens of metres thick and a few hundred metres long. Vertical extent of ore mineralisation can be up to 1.5 km. Ores are uraninite-brannerite by composition and belong to ordinary grade. The reserves are usually medium scale or large. Examples include Michurinskoye, Vatutinskoye, Severinskoye, Zheltorechenskoye and Pervomayskoye deposits (Ukraine), Lagoa Real, Itataia and Espinharas (Brazil), the Valhalla deposit (Australia) and deposits of the Arjeplog region in the north of Sweden.
- **9.** Surficial deposits: Surficial uranium deposits are broadly defined as young (Tertiary to Recent) near-surface uranium concentrations in sediments and soils. The largest of the surficial uranium deposits are in calcrete (calcium and magnesium carbonates), and they have been found in Australia (Yeelirrie deposit), Namibia (Langer Heinrich deposit) and Somalia. These calcrete-hosted deposits are associated with deeply weathered uranium-rich granites. They also can occur in valley-fill sediments along Tertiary drainage channels and in playa lake sediments (e.g., Lake Maitland, Australia). Surficial deposits also can occur in peat bogs and soils.
- **10.** Collapse breccia pipe deposits: Deposits in this group occur in circular, vertical pipes filled with down-dropped fragments. The uranium is concentrated as primary uranium ore, generally uraninite, in the permeable breccia matrix, and in the arcuate, ring-fracture zone surrounding the pipe. Type examples are the deposits in the Arizona Strip north of the Grand Canyon and those immediately south of the Grand Canyon in the United States.
- **11. Phosphorite deposits**: Phosphorite deposits consist of marine phosphorite of continental-shelf origin containing syn-sedimentary stratiform, disseminated uranium in fine-grained apatite. Phosphorite deposits constitute large uranium resources, but at a very low grade. Uranium can be recovered as a by-product of phosphate production. Examples include New Wales Florida (pebble phosphate) and Uncle Sam (United States), Gantour (Morocco) and Al-Abiad (Jordan). Other type of phosphorite deposits consists of organic phosphate, including argillaceous marine sediments enriched in fish remains that are uraniferous (Melovoe deposit, Kazakhstan).

## 12. Other deposits

**Metamorphic deposits:** In metamorphic uranium deposits, the uranium concentration directly results from metamorphic processes. The temperature and pressure conditions, and age of the uranium deposition have to be similar to those of the metamorphism of the enclosing rocks. Examples include the Forstau deposit (Austria) and Mary Kathleen (Australia).

**Limestone deposits:** This includes uranium mineralisation in the Jurassic Todilto Limestone in the Grants district (United States). Uraninite occurs in intra-formational folds and fractures as introduced mineralisation.

**Uranium coal deposits:** Elevated uranium contents occur in lignite/coal, and in clay and sandstone immediately adjacent to lignite. Examples are uranium in the Serres Basin (Greece), in North and South Dakota (United States), Koldjat and Nizhne Iliyskoe (Kazakhstan) and Freital (Germany). Uranium grades are very low and average less than 50 ppm U.

**13. Rock types with elevated uranium contents:** Elevated uranium contents have been observed in different rock types such as pegmatite, granites and black shale. In the past no economic deposits have been mined commercially in these types of rocks. Their grades are very low, and it is unlikely that they will be economic in the foreseeable future.

*Rare metal pegmatites*: These pegmatites contain Sn, Ta, Nb and Li mineralisation. They have variable U, Th and rare earth elements contents. Examples include Greenbushes and Wodgina pegmatites (Western Australia). The Greenbushes pegmatites commonly have 6-20 ppm U and 3-25 ppm Th.

*Granites*: A small proportion of un-mineralised granitic rocks have elevated uranium contents. These "high heat producing" granites are potassium feldspar-rich. Roughly 1% of the total number of granitic rocks analysed in Australia have uranium-contents above 50 ppm.

*Black Shale*: Black shale-related uranium mineralisation consists of marine organic-rich shale or coal-rich pyritic shale, containing syn-sedimentary disseminated uranium adsorbed onto organic material. Examples include the uraniferous alum shale in Sweden and Estonia, the Chatanooga shale (United States), the Chanziping deposit (China), and the Gera-Ronneburg deposit (Germany).

# Appendix 5

# ACRONYM LIST

| AGR    | Advanced gas-cooled reactor  |
|--------|--|
| AL     | Acid leaching  |
| ALKAL  | Alkaline atmospheric leaching  |
| BWR    | Boiling water reactor  |
| CANDU  | <i>Can</i> adian <i>d</i> euterium <i>u</i> ranium                   |
| CWG    | Crush-wet grind  |
| DOE    | Department of Energy (United States)                                 |
| EC     | European Commission  |
| EIA    | U.S. Energy Information Administration                               |
| EU     | European Union   |
| EUP    | Enriched uranium product   |
| FLOT   | Flotation  |
| Ga     | Giga-years   |
| GDR    | German Democratic Republic   |
| GIF    | Generation IV International Forum                                    |
| GNSS   | Global Nuclear Services and Supply                                   |
| GWe    | Gigawatt electric  |
| HEU    | Highly enriched uranium  |
| HL     | Heap leaching  |
| IAEA   | International Atomic Energy Agency                                   |
| IEA    | International Energy Agency  |
| INPRO  | International project on innovative nuclear reactors and fuel cycles |
| IPL    | In-place leaching  |
| ISL    | In situ leaching   |
| IX     | Ion exchange   |
| kg     | Kilograms  |
| km     | Kilometre  |
| LEU    | Low enriched uranium   |
| LWR    | Light water reactor  |
| MAGNOX | Magnesium oxide  |
| MOX    | Mixed oxide fuel   |
| MWe    | Megawatt electric  |

| NEA  | Nuclear Energy Agency                                      |
|------|--|
| OECD | Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development     |
| OP   | Open-pit   |
| ppm  | Part per million   |
| Pu   | Plutonium  |
| PHWR | Pressurised heavy-water reactor                            |
| PWR  | Pressurised water reactor                                  |
| RAR  | Reasonably assured resources                               |
| RBMK | Water-cooled, graphite-moderated reactor (Russian acronym) |
| SWU  | Separative work unit                                       |
| SX   | Solvent extraction   |
| t    | Tonnes (metric tons)                                       |
| Th   | Thorium  |
| tHM  | Tonnes heavy metal   |
| TOE  | Tonnes oil equivalent                                      |
| tU   | Tonnes uranium   |
| TVA  | Tennessee Valley Administration                            |
| TWh  | Terrawatt-hour   |
| U    | Uranium  |
| UG   | Underground mining   |
| USSR | Union of Soviet Socialist Republics                        |
| VVER | Water-cooled, water-moderated reactor (Russian acronym)    |

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| PR  | EFA | ACE  | 3  |  |  |
|-----|-----|--|--|--|--|
| EX  | ECU | UTIVE SUMMARY  | 9  |  |  |
| I.  | UF  | URANIUM SUPPLY   |  |  |  |
|     | A.  | <ul> <li>URANIUM RESOURCES</li></ul>   | 13<br>13<br>13<br>19<br>19<br>23<br>24<br>26<br>28 |  |  |
|     | B.  | <ul> <li>URANIUM EXPLORATION</li> <li>Current Activities and Recent Developments</li> </ul>      | 29<br>33   |  |  |
|     | C.  | <ul> <li>URANIUM PRODUCTION</li> <li>Present Status of Uranium Production</li></ul>              | 37<br>41<br>42<br>44<br>45<br>46<br>47             |  |  |
| II. | UR  | RANIUM DEMAND  | 51   |  |  |
|     | A.  | CURRENT COMMERCIAL NUCLEAR GENERATING CAPACITY<br>AND REACTOR-RELATED URANIUM REQUIREMENTS       | 51   |  |  |
|     | B.  | <ul> <li>PROJECTED NUCLEAR POWER CAPACITY AND RELATED URANIUM<br/>REQUIREMENTS TO 2030</li></ul> | 62<br>62<br>64                                     |  |  |
|     | C.  | <ul> <li>URANIUM SUPPLY AND DEMAND RELATIONSHIPS</li></ul>                                       | 71<br>71<br>71<br>82<br>85                         |  |  |
|     | D.  | THE LONG-TERM PERSPECTIVE  | 87   |  |  |

| <b>PRODUCTION, DEM</b>    | AND AND THE ENVIRONMENT |  |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|--|
|                           |                         |  |
| Algeria                   |                         |  |
| Argentina                 |                         |  |
| Australia                 |                         |  |
| Belgium                   |                         |  |
| Brazil                    |                         |  |
| Bulgaria                  |                         |  |
| Canada                    |                         |  |
| Chile                     |                         |  |
| China                     |                         |  |
| Colombia                  |                         |  |
| Czech Republic            |                         |  |
| Egypt                     |                         |  |
| Finland                   |                         |  |
| France                    |                         |  |
| Germany                   |                         |  |
| Hungary                   |                         |  |
| India                     |                         |  |
| Inula                     | с                       |  |
| Iran, Islamic Republic of | Ι                       |  |
| Japan                     |                         |  |
| Jordan                    |                         |  |
| Kazakhstan                |                         |  |
| Korea, Republic of        |                         |  |
| Lithuania                 |                         |  |
| Malawi                    |                         |  |
| Namibia                   |                         |  |
| Niger                     |                         |  |
| Peru                      |                         |  |
| Poland                    |                         |  |
| Portugal                  |                         |  |
| Russian Federation        |                         |  |
| Slovak Republic           |                         |  |
| Slovenia                  |                         |  |
| South Africa              |                         |  |
| Spain                     |                         |  |
| Sweden                    |                         |  |
| Switzerland               |                         |  |
| Turkey                    |                         |  |
| I lkraine                 |                         |  |
| United Kingdom            |                         |  |
| United States of America  | ~                       |  |
| United States of America  | a                       |  |
| vietnam                   |                         |  |

# APPENDICES

| 1. | Members of the Joint NEA-IAEA Uranium Group                       | 379 |
|----|---|-----|
| 2. | List of Reporting Organisations and Contact Persons               | 383 |
| 3. | The Uranium Mining Remediation Exchange Group (UMREG)             | 387 |
| 4  | Glossary of Definitions and Terminology                           | 391 |
| 5. | Acronym List  | 403 |
| 6. | Energy Conversion Factors   | 405 |
| 7. | Listing of all Red Book Editions (1965-2008) and National Reports | 409 |
| 8. | Currency Exchange Rates   | 417 |
| 9. | Grouping of Countries and Areas with Uranium-related Activities   | 419 |



# Please cite this chapter as:

OECD/International Atomic Energy Agency (2008), "Executive Summary", in *Uranium 2007: Resources, Production and Demand*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1787/uranium-2007-2-en

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document and any map included herein are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area.

You can copy, download or print OECD content for your own use, and you can include excerpts from OECD publications, databases and multimedia products in your own documents, presentations, blogs, websites and teaching materials, provided that suitable acknowledgment of OECD as source and copyright owner is given. All requests for public or commercial use and translation rights should be submitted to rights@oecd.org. Requests for permission to photocopy portions of this material for public or commercial use shall be addressed directly to the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) at info@copyright.com or the Centre français d'exploitation du droit de copie (CFC) at contact@cfcopies.com.

