PART III Chapter 3

# Guidance for GLP Monitoring Authorities

## 1. GUIDES FOR COMPLIANCE MONITORING PROCEDURES FOR GOOD LABORATORY PRACTICE\*

#### History

The 1981 Council Decision on Mutual Acceptance of Data [C(81)30(Final)], of which the OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice are an integral part, includes an instruction for OECD to undertake activities "to facilitate internationally-harmonised approaches to assuring compliance" with the GLP Principles. Consequently, in order to promote the implementation of comparable compliance monitoring procedures, and international acceptance, among member countries, the Council adopted in 1983 the Recommendation concerning the Mutual Recognition of Compliance with Good Laboratory Practice [C(83)95(Final)], which set out basic characteristics of the procedures for monitoring compliance.

A Working Group on Mutual Recognition of Compliance with GLP was established in 1985, under the chairmanship of Professor V. Silano (Italy), to facilitate the practical implementation of the Council acts on GLP, develop common approaches to the technical and administrative problems related to GLP compliance and its monitoring, and develop arrangements for the mutual recognition of compliance monitoring procedures. The following countries and organisations participated in the Working Group: Australia, Belgium, Canada, Denmark, the Federal Republic of Germany, Finland, France, Italy, Japan, Norway, the Netherlands, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, the United Kingdom, the United States, the Commission of the European Communities, the International Organisation for Standardisation, the Pharmaceuticals Inspections Convention, and the World Health Organization.

The Working Group developed, inter alia, Guides for Compliance Monitoring Procedures for Good Laboratory Practice, which concern the requisites of administration, personnel and GLP compliance monitoring programmes. These were first published in 1988 in the Final Report of the Working Group on Mutual Recognition of Compliance with Good Laboratory Practice. A slightly abridged version was annexed to the 1989 Council Decision-Recommendation on Compliance with Principles of Good Laboratory Practice [C(89)87(Final)], which superseded and replaced the 1983 Council Act.

In adopting that Decision-Recommendation, the Council in Part III.1 instructed the Environment Committee and the Management Committee of the Special Programme on the Control of Chemicals to ensure that the "Guides for Compliance Monitoring Procedures for Good Laboratory Practice" and the "Guidance for the Conduct of Laboratory Inspections and Study Audits" set out in Annexes I and II thereto were updated and expanded, as necessary, in light of developments and experience of member countries and relevant work in other international organisations.

\* No. 2 in the OECD Series on Principles of Good Laboratory Practice and Compliance Monitoring.

The OECD Panel on Good Laboratory Practice (later named Working Group on GLP) developed proposals for amendments to these Annexes, as well as to Annex III which provides "Guidance for the Exchange of Information concerning National Programmes for Monitoring of Compliance with the Principles of Good Laboratory Practice" and which was amended essentially to include an appendix on "Guidance for Good Laboratory Practice Monitoring Authorities for the Preparation of Annual Overviews of Test Facilites Inspected". These revised Annexes were approved by the Council in a Decision Amending the Annexes to the Council Decision – Recommendation on Compliance with Principles of Good Laboratory Practice on 9 March 1995 [C(95)8(Final)].

The "Revised Guides for Compliance Monitoring Procedures for Good Laboratory Practice" are contained in the revision of Annex I to the "Council Decision – Recommendation on Compliance with Principles of Good Laboratory Practice [C(89)87(Final)]", [C(95)8(Final) adopted by the Council on 9 March 1995]. [For the text of C(89)87(Final), see the Annex, Section 2, of this publication.]

## Introduction

To facilitate the mutual acceptance of test data generated for submission to Regulatory Authorities of OECD member countries, harmonisation of the procedures adopted to monitor good laboratory practice compliance, as well as comparability of their quality and rigour, are essential. The aim of this document is to provide detailed practical guidance to OECD member countries on the structure, mechanisms and procedures they should adopt when establishing national Good Laboratory Practice compliance monitoring programmes so that these programmes may be internationally acceptable.

It is recognised that member countries will adopt GLP Principles and establish compliance monitoring procedures according to national legal and administrative practices, and according to priorities they give to, *e.g.*, the scope of initial and subsequent coverage concerning categories of chemicals and types of testing. Since member countries may establish more than one Good Laboratory Practice Monitoring Authority due to their legal framework for chemicals control, more than one Good Laboratory Practice Compliance Programme may be established. The guidance set forth in the following paragraphs concerns each of these Authorities and Compliance Programmes, as appropriate.

## **Definitions of terms**

The definitions of terms in the OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice [Annex 2 to Council Decision C(81)30(Final)] are applicable to this document. In addition, the following definitions apply:

GLP Principles: Principles of good laboratory practice that are consistent with the OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice as set out in Annex 2 of Council Decision C(81)30(Final).

GLP Compliance Monitoring: The periodic inspection of test facilities and/or auditing of studies for the purpose of verifying adherence to GLP Principles.

(National) GLP Compliance Programme: The particular scheme established by a member country to monitor good laboratory practice compliance by test facilities within its territories, by means of inspections and Study Audits.

(National) GLP Monitoring Authority: A body established within a member country with responsibility for monitoring the good laboratory practice compliance of test facilities within its territories and for discharging other such functions related to good laboratory practice as may be nationally determined. It is understood that more than one such body may be established in a member country.

Test Facility Inspection: An on-site examination of the test facility's procedures and practices to assess the degree of compliance with GLP Principles. During inspections, the management structures and operational procedures of the test facility are examined, key technical personnel are interviewed, and the quality and integrity of data generated by the facility are assessed and reported.

Study Audit: A comparison of raw data and associated records with the interim or final report in order to determine whether the raw data have been accurately reported, to determine whether testing was carried out in accordance with the study plan and Standard Operating Procedures, to obtain additional information not provided in the report, and to establish whether practices were employed in the development of data that would impair their validity.

Inspector: A person who performs the Test Facility Inspections and Study Audits on behalf of the (National) GLP Monitoring Authority.

GLP Compliance Status: The level of adherence of a test facility to the GLP Principles as assessed by the (National) GLP Monitoring Authority.

Regulatory Authority: A national body with legal responsibility for aspects of the control of chemicals.

## **Components of Good Laboratory Practice compliance monitoring procedures**

#### Administration

A (National) GLP Compliance Programme should be the responsibility of a properly constituted, legally identifiable body adequately staffed and working within a defined administrative framework.

Member countries should:

- ensure that the (National) GLP Monitoring Authority is directly responsible for an adequate "team" of inspectors having the necessary technical/scientific expertise or is ultimately responsible for such a "team";
- publish documents relating to the adoption of GLP Principles within their territories;
- publish documents providing details of the (National) GLP Compliance Programme, including information on the legal or administrative framework within which the programme operates and references to published acts, normative documents (*e.g.* regulations, codes of practice), inspection manuals, guidance notes, periodicity of inspections and/or criteria for inspection schedules, etc.;
- maintain records of test facilities inspected (and their GLP Compliance Status) and of studies audited for both national and international purposes.

#### Confidentiality

(National) GLP Monitoring Authorities will have access to commercially valuable information and, on occasion, may even need to remove commercially sensitive documents from a test facility or refer to them in detail in their reports.

Member countries should:

- make provision for the maintenance of confidentiality, not only by Inspectors but also by any other persons who gain access to confidential information as a result of GLP Compliance Monitoring activities;
- ensure that, unless all commercially sensitive and confidential information has been excised, reports of Test Facility Inspections and Study Audits are made available only to Regulatory Authorities and, where appropriate, to the test facilities inspected or concerned with Study Audits and/or to study sponsors.

## Personnel and training

(National) GLP Monitoring Authorities should:

• ensure that an adequate number of Inspectors is available

The number of Inspectors required will depend upon:

- i) the number of test facilities involved in the (National) GLP Compliance Programme;
- ii) the frequency with which the GLP Compliance Status of the test facilities is to be assessed;
- iii) the number and complexity of the studies undertaken by those test facilities;
- iv) the number of special inspections or audits requested by Regulatory Authorities.
- ensure that Inspectors are adequately qualified and trained

Inspectors should have qualifications and practical experience in the range of scientific disciplines relevant to the testing of chemicals. (National) GLP Monitoring Authorities should:

- i) ensure that arrangements are made for the appropriate training of GLP Inspectors, having regard to their individual qualifications and experience;
- ii) encourage consultations, including joint training activities where necessary, with the staff of (National) GLP Monitoring Authorities in other member countries in order to promote international harmonisation in the interpretation and application of GLP Principles, and in the monitoring of compliance with such Principles.
- ensure that inspectorate personnel, including experts under contract, have no financial or other interests in the test facilities inspected, the studies audited or the firms sponsoring such studies;
- provide Inspectors with a suitable means of identification (e.g. an identity card).
  - Inspectors may be:
- on the permanent staff of the (National) GLP Monitoring Authority;
- on the permanent staff of a body separate from the (National) GLP Monitoring Authority; or
- employed on contract, or in another way, by the (National) GLP Monitoring Authority to perform Test Facility Inspections or Study Audits.

In the latter two cases, the (National) GLP Monitoring Authority should have ultimate responsibility for determining the GLP Compliance Status of test facilities and the quality/ acceptability of a Study Audit, and for taking any action based on the results of Test Facility Inspections or Study Audits which may be necessary.

## (National) GLP Compliance Programmes

GLP Compliance Monitoring is intended to ascertain whether test facilities have implemented GLP Principles for the conduct of studies and are capable of assuring that the resulting data are of adequate quality. As indicated above, member countries should publish the details of their (National) GLP Compliance Programmes. Such information should, inter alia:

• define the scope and extent of the Programme

A (National) GLP Compliance Programme may cover only a limited range of chemicals, *e.g.* industrial chemicals, pesticides, pharmaceuticals, etc., or may include all chemicals. The scope of the monitoring for compliance should be defined, both with respect to the categories of chemicals and to the types of tests subject to it, *e.g.* physical, chemical, toxicological and/or ecotoxicological.

• provide an indication as to the mechanism whereby test facilities enter the Programme

The application of GLP Principles to health and environmental safety data generated for regulatory purposes may be mandatory. A mechanism should be available whereby test facilities may have their compliance with GLP Principles monitored by the appropriate (National) GLP Monitoring Authority.

provide information on categories of Test Facility Inspections/Study Audits

A (National) GLP Compliance Programme should include:

- i) provision for Test Facility Inspections. These inspections include both a general Test Facility Inspection and a Study Audit of one or more on-going or completed studies;
- ii) provision for special Test Facility Inspections/Study Audits at the request of a Regulatory Authority – *e.g.* prompted by a query arising from the submission of data to a Regulatory Authority.
- define the powers of Inspectors for entry into test facilities and their access to data held by test facilities (including specimens, SOP's, other documentation, etc.)

While Inspectors will not normally wish to enter test facilities against the will of the facility's management, circumstances may arise where test facility entry and access to data are essential to protect public health or the environment. The powers available to the (National) GLP Monitoring Authority in such cases should be defined.

 describe the Test Facility Inspection and Study Audit procedures for verification of GLP compliance The documentation should indicate the procedures which will be used to examine both the organisational processes and the conditions under which studies are planned, performed, monitored and recorded. Guidance for such procedures is available in Guidance for the Conduct of Test Facility Inspections and Study Audits (No. 3 in the OECD series on Principles of GLP and Compliance Monitoring).

• describe actions that may be taken as follow-up to Test Facility Inspections and Study Audits.

## Follow-up to Test Facility Inspections and Study Audits

When a Test Facility Inspection or Study Audit has been completed, the Inspector should prepare a written report of the findings.

Member countries should take action where deviations from GLP Principles are found during or after a Test Facility Inspection or Study Audit. The appropriate actions should be described in documents from the (National) GLP Monitoring Authority. If a Test Facility Inspection or Study Audit reveals only minor deviations from GLP Principles, the facility should be required to correct such minor deviations. The Inspector may need, at an appropriate time, to return to the facility to verify that corrections have been introduced.

Where no or where only minor deviations have been found, the (National) GLP Monitoring Authority may:

• issue a statement that the test facility has been inspected and found to be operating in compliance with GLP Principles. The date of the inspections and, if appropriate, the categories of test inspected in the test facility at that time should be included. Such statements may be used to provide information to (National) GLP Monitoring Authorities in other member countries;

and/or

 provide the Regulatory Authority which requested a Study Audit with a detailed report of the findings.

Where serious deviations are found, the action taken by (National) GLP Monitoring Authorities will depend upon the particular circumstances of each case and the legal or administrative provisions under which GLP Compliance Monitoring has been established within their countries. Actions which may be taken include, but are not limited to, the following:

- issuance of a statement, giving details of the inadequacies or faults found which might affect the validity of studies conducted in the test facility;
- issuance of a recommendation to a Regulatory Authority that a study be rejected;
- suspension of Test Facility Inspections or Study Audits of a test facility and, for example and where administratively possible, removal of the test facility from the (National) GLP Compliance Programme or from any existing list or register of test facilities subject to GLP Test Facility Inspections;
- requiring that a statement detailing the deviations be attached to specific study reports;
- action through the courts, where warranted by circumstances and where legal/ administrative procedures so permit.

#### **Appeals procedures**

Problems, or differences of opinion, between Inspectors and test facility management will normally be resolved during the course of a Test Facility Inspection or Study Audit. However, it may not always be possible for agreement to be reached. A procedure should exist whereby a test facility may make representations relating to the outcome of a Test Facility Inspection or Study Audit for GLP Compliance Monitoring and/or relating to the action the GLP Monitoring Authority proposes to take thereon.

# 2. GUIDANCE FOR THE CONDUCT OF TEST FACILITY INSPECTIONS AND STUDY AUDITS\*

#### History

The 1981 Council Decision on Mutual Acceptance of Data [C(81)30(Final)], of which the OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice are an integral part, includes an instruction for OECD to undertake activities "to facilitate internationally-harmonised approaches to assuring compliance" with the GLP Principles. Consequently, in order to promote the implementation of comparable compliance monitoring procedures and international acceptance among member countries, the Council adopted in 1983 the Recommendation concerning the Mutual Recognition of Compliance with Good Laboratory Practice [C(83)95(Final)], which set out basic characteristics of the procedures for monitoring compliance.

A Working Group on Mutual Recognition of Compliance with GLP was established in 1985 under the chairmanship of Professor V. Silano (Italy) to facilitate the practical implementation of the Council acts on GLP, develop common approaches to the technical and administrative problems related to GLP compliance and its monitoring, and develop arrangements for the mutual recognition of compliance monitoring procedures. The following countries and organisations participated in the Working Group: Australia, Belgium, Canada, Denmark, the Federal Republic of Germany, Finland, France, Italy, Japan, Norway, the Netherlands, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, the United Kingdom, the United States, the Commission of the European Communities, the International Organisation for Standardisation, the Pharmaceutical Inspection Convention, and the World Health Organization.

The Working Group developed, inter alia, Guidance for the Conduct of Laboratory Inspections and Study Audits. The Guidance was based on a text developed by the Expert Group on GLP and presented as part of its Final Report in 1982 ("Good Laboratory Practice in the Testing of Chemicals", OECD, 1982, out of print). The current Guidance was first published in 1988 in the "Final Report of the Working Group on Mutual Recognition of Compliance with Good Laboratory Practice" ("OECD Environment Monograph No. 15", March 1988, out of print). A slightly abridged version was annexed to the 1989 Council Decision-Recommendation on Compliance with Principles of Good Laboratory Practice [C(89)87(Final)], which superseded and replaced the 1983 Council Act.

In adopting that Decision-Recommendation, the Council in Part III.1 instructed the Environment Committee and the Management Committee of the Special Programme on the Control of Chemicals to ensure that the "Guides for Compliance Monitoring Procedures for Good Laboratory Practice" and the "Guidance for the Conduct of Laboratory Inspections and Study Audits" set out in Annexes I and II thereto were updated and expanded, as

\* No. 3 in the OECD Series on Principles of Good Laboratory Practice and Compliance Monitoring.

necessary, in light of developments and experience of member countries and relevant work in other international organisations.

The OECD Panel on Good Laboratory Practice developed proposals for amendments to these Annexes. These revised Annexes were approved by the Council in a Decision Amending the Annexes to the Council Decision-Recommendation on Compliance with Principles of Good Laboratory Practice on 9 March 1995 [C(95)8(Final)].

The "Revised Guidance for the Conduct of Laboratory Inspections and Study Audits" is contained in the revision of Annex II to the Council Decision-Recommendation on Compliance with Principles of Good Laboratory Practice [C(89)87(Final)], [C(95)8(Final) adopted by the Council on 9 March 1995]. [For the text of C(89)87(Final), see the Annex, Section 2, of this publication.]

## Introduction

The purpose of this document is to provide guidance for the conduct of Test Facility Inspections and Study Audits which would be mutually acceptable to OECD member countries. It is principally concerned with Test Facility Inspections, an activity which occupies much of the time of GLP Inspectors. A Test Facility Inspection will usually include a Study Audit or "review" as a part of the inspection, but Study Audits will also have to be conducted from time to time at the request, for example, of a Regulatory Authority. General guidance for the conduct of Study Audits will be found at the end of this document.

Test Facility Inspections are conducted to determine the degree of conformity of test facilities and studies with GLP Principles and to determine the integrity of data to assure that resulting data are of adequate quality for assessment and decision-making by national Regulatory Authorities. They result in reports which describe the degree of adherence of a test facility to the GLP Principles. Test Facility Inspections should be conducted on a regular, routine basis to establish and maintain records of the GLP compliance status of test facilities.

Further clarification of many of the points in this document may be obtained by referring to the OECD Consensus Documents on GLP (on, *e.g.*, the role and responsibilities of the Study Director).

## **Definitions of terms**

The definitions of terms in the OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice [Annex II to Council Decision C(81)30(Final)] and in the "Guides for Compliance Monitoring Procedures for Good Laboratory Practice" (Part III, chapter 1 of this publication) [Annex I to Council Decision-Recommendation C(89)87(Final)/revised in C(95)8(Final)] are applicable to this document.

#### **Test Facility Inspections**

Inspections for compliance with GLP Principles may take place in any test facility generating health or environmental safety data for regulatory purposes. Inspectors may be required to audit data relating to the physical, chemical, toxicological or ecotoxicological properties of a substance or preparation. In some cases, Inspectors may need assistance from experts in particular disciplines.

The wide diversity of facilities (in terms both of physical layout and management structure), together with the variety of types of studies encountered by Inspectors, means that the Inspectors must use their own judgment to assess the degree and extent of compliance with GLP Principles. Nevertheless, Inspectors should strive for a consistent approach in evaluating whether, in the case of a particular test facility or study, an adequate level of compliance with each GLP Principle has been achieved.

In the following sections, guidance is provided on the various aspects of the testing facility, including its personnel and procedures, which are likely to be examined by Inspectors. In each section, there is a statement of purpose, as well as an illustrative list of specific items which could be considered during the course of a Test Facility Inspection. These lists are not meant to be comprehensive and should not be taken as such.

Inspectors should not concern themselves with the scientific design of the study or the interpretation of the findings of studies with respect to risks for human health or the environment. These aspects are the responsibility of those Regulatory Authorities to which the data are submitted for regulatory purposes.

Test Facility Inspections and Study Audits inevitably disturb the normal work in a facility. Inspectors should therefore carry out their work in a carefully planned way and, so far as practicable, respect the wishes of the management of the test facility as to the timing of visits to certain sections of the facility.

Inspectors will, while conducting Test Facility Inspections and Study Audits, have access to confidential, commercially valuable information. It is essential that they ensure that such information is seen by authorised personnel only. Their responsibilities in this respect will have been established within their (National) GLP Compliance Monitoring Programme.

#### Inspection procedures

#### **Pre-inspection**

PURPOSE: To familiarise the Inspector with the facility which is about to be inspected in respect of management structure, physical layout of buildings and range of studies.

Prior to conducting a Test Facility Inspection or Study Audit, Inspectors should familiarise themselves with the facility which is to be visited. Any existing information on the facility should be reviewed. This may include previous inspection reports, the layout of the facility, organisation charts, study reports, protocols and curricula vitae (CVs) of personnel. Such documents would provide information on:

- the type, size and layout of the facility;
- the range of studies likely to be encountered during the inspection;
- the management structure of the facility.

Inspectors should note, in particular, any deficiencies from previous Test Facility Inspections. Where no previous Test Facility Inspections have been conducted, a preinspection visit can be made to obtain relevant information.

Test Facilities may be informed of the date and time of Inspector's arrival, the objective of their visit and the length of time they expect to be on the premises. This could allow the test facility to ensure that the appropriate personnel and documentation are available. In cases where particular documents or records are to be examined, it may be useful to identify these to the test facility in advance of the visit, so that they will be immediately available during the Test Facility Inspection.

#### Starting conference

PURPOSE: To inform the management and staff of the facility of the reason for the Test Facility Inspection or Study Audit that is about to take place, and to identify the facility areas, study(ies) selected for audit, documents and personnel likely to be involved.

The administrative and practical details of a Test Facility Inspection or Study Audit should be discussed with the management of the facility at the start of the visit. At the starting conference, Inspectors should:

- outline the purpose and scope of the visit;
- describe the documentation which will be required for the Test Facility Inspection, such as lists of on-going and completed studies, study plans, standard operating procedures, study reports, etc. Access to and, if necessary, arrangements for the copying of relevant documents should be agreed upon at this time;
- clarify or request information as to the management structure (organisation) and personnel of the facility;
- request information as to the conduct of studies not subject to GLP Principles in the areas of the test facility where GLP studies are being conducted;
- make an initial determination as to the parts of the facility to be covered during the Test Facility Inspection;
- describe the documents and specimens that will be needed for on-going or completed study(ies) selected for Study Audit;
- indicate that a closing conference will be held at the completion of the inspection.

Before proceeding further with a Test Facility Inspection, it is advisable for the Inspector(s) to establish contact with the facility's Quality Assurance (QA) unit.

As a general rule, when inspecting a facility, Inspectors will find it helpful to be accompanied by a member of the QA unit.

Inspectors may wish to request that a room be set aside for examination of documents and other activities.

#### Organisation and personnel

PURPOSE: To determine whether: the test facility has sufficient qualified personnel, staff resources and support services for the variety and number of studies undertaken; the organisational structure is appropriate; and management has established a policy regarding training and staff health surveillance appropriate to the studies undertaken in the facility.

The management should be asked to produce certain documents, such as:

- floor plans;
- facility management and scientific organisation charts;
- CVs of personnel involved in the type(s) of studies selected for the Study Audit;
- list(s) of on-going and completed studies with information on the type of study, initiation/completion dates, test system, method of application of test substance and name of Study Director;
- staff health surveillance policies;
- staff job descriptions and staff training programmes and records;

- an index to the facility's Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs);
- specific SOPs as related to the studies or procedures being inspected or audited;
- list(s) of the Study Directors and sponsors associated with the study(ies) being audited. The Inspector should check, in particular:
- lists of on-going and completed studies to ascertain the level of work being undertaken by the test facility;
- the identity and qualifications of the Study Director(s), the head of the Quality Assurance unit and other personnel;
- existence of SOPs for all relevant areas of testing.

#### **Quality Assurance Programme**

PURPOSE: To determine whether the mechanisms used to assure management that studies are conducted in accordance with GLP Principles are adequate.

The head of the Quality Assurance (QA) unit should be asked to demonstrate the systems and methods for QA inspection and monitoring of studies, and the system for recording observations made during QA monitoring. Inspectors should check:

- the qualifications of the head of QA, and of all QA staff;
- that the QA unit functions independently from the staff involved in the studies;
- how the QA unit schedules and conducts inspections, how it monitors identified critical phases in a study, and what resources are available for QA inspections and monitoring activities;
- that, where studies are of such short duration that monitoring of each study is impracticable, arrangements exist for monitoring on a sample basis;
- the extent and depth of QA monitoring during the practical phases of the study;
- the extent and depth of QA monitoring of routine test facility operation;
- the QA procedures for checking the final report to ensure its agreement with the raw data;
- that management receives reports from QA concerning problems likely to affect the quality or integrity of a study;
- the actions taken by QA when deviations are found;
- the QA role, if any, if studies or parts of studies are done in contract laboratories;
- the part played, if any, by QA in the review, revision and updating of SOPs.

#### Facilities

PURPOSE: To determine if the test facility, whether indoor or outdoor, is of suitable size, design and location to meet the demands of the studies being undertaken.

The Inspector should check that:

- the design enables an adequate degree of separation so that, *e.g.*, test substances, animals, diets, pathological specimens, etc. of one study cannot be confused with those of another;
- environmental control and monitoring procedures exist and function adequately in critical areas, *e.g.* animal and other biological test systems rooms, test substance storage areas, laboratory areas;

• the general housekeeping is adequate for the various facilities and that there are, if necessary, pest control procedures.

#### Care, housing and containment of biological test systems

PURPOSE: To determine whether the test facility, if engaged in studies using animals or other biological test systems, has support facilities and conditions for their care, housing and containment, adequate to prevent stress and other problems which could affect the test system and hence the quality of data.

A test facility may be carrying out studies which require a diversity of animal or plant species, as well as microbial or other cellular or sub-cellular systems. The type of test systems being used will determine the aspects relating to care, housing or containment that the Inspector will monitor. Using his judgment, the Inspector will check, according to the test systems, that:

- there are facilities adequate for the test systems used and for testing needs;
- there are arrangements to quarantine animals and plants being introduced into the facility and that these arrangements are working satisfactorily;
- there are arrangements to isolate animals (or other elements of a test system, if necessary) known to be, or suspected of being, diseased or carriers of disease;
- there is adequate monitoring and record-keeping of health, behaviour or other aspects, as appropriate to the test system;
- the equipment for maintaining the environmental conditions required for each test system is adequate, well maintained, and effective;
- animal cages, racks, tanks and other containers, as well as accessory equipment, are kept sufficiently clean;
- analyses to check environmental conditions and support systems are carried out as required;
- facilities exist for removal and disposal of animal waste and refuse from the test systems and that these are operated so as to minimise vermin infestation, odours, disease hazards and environmental contamination;
- storage areas are provided for animal feed or equivalent materials for all test systems; that these areas are not used for the storage of other materials such as test substances, pest control chemicals or disinfectants, and that they are separate from areas in which animals are housed or other biological test systems are kept;
- stored feed and bedding are protected from deterioration by adverse environmental conditions, infestation or contamination.

#### Apparatus, materials, reagents and specimens

PURPOSE: To determine whether the test facility has suitably located, operational apparatus in sufficient quantity and of adequate capacity to meet the requirements of the tests being conducted in the facility and that the materials, reagents and specimens are properly labelled, used and stored.

The Inspector should check that:

- apparatus is clean and in good working order;
- records have been kept of operation, maintenance, verification, calibration and validation of measuring equipment and apparatus (including computerised systems);

- materials and chemical reagents are properly labelled and stored at appropriate temperatures and that expiry dates are not being ignored. Labels for reagents should indicate their source, identity and concentration and/or other pertinent information;
- specimens are well identified by test system, study, nature and date of collection;
- apparatus and materials used do not alter to any appreciable extent the test systems.

#### **Test systems**

PURPOSE: To determine whether adequate procedures exist for the handling and control of the variety of test systems required by the studies undertaken in the facility, *e.g.* chemical and physical systems, cellular and microbic systems, plants or animals.

#### Physical and chemical systems

The Inspector should check that:

- where required by study plans, the stability of test and reference substances was determined and that the reference substances specified in test plans were used;
- in automated systems, data generated as graphs, recorder traces or computer print-outs are documented as raw data and archived.

#### **Biological test systems**

Taking account of the relevant aspects referred to above relating to care, housing or containment of biological test systems, the Inspector should check that:

- test systems are as specified in study plans;
- test systems are adequately and, if necessary and appropriate, uniquely identified throughout the study; and that records exist regarding receipt of the test systems and document fully the number of test systems received, used, replaced or discarded;
- housing or containers of test systems are properly identified with all the necessary information;
- there is an adequate separation of studies being conducted on the same animal species (or the same biological test systems), but with different substances;
- there is an adequate separation of animal species (and other biological test systems), either in space or in time;
- the biological test system environment is as specified in the study plan or in SOPs for aspects such as temperature, or light/dark cycles;
- the recording of the receipt, handling, housing or containment, care and health evaluation is appropriate to the test systems;
- written records are kept of examination, quarantine, morbidity, mortality, behaviour, diagnosis and treatment of animal and plant test systems or other similar aspects as appropriate to each biological test system;
- there are provisions for the appropriate disposal of test systems at the end of tests.

#### Test and reference substances

PURPOSE: To determine whether the test facility has procedures designed i) to ensure that the identify, potency, quantity and composition of test and reference substances are in

accordance with their specifications, and ii) to properly receive and store test and reference substances.

The Inspector should check that:

- there are written records on the receipt (including identification of the person responsible), and for the handling, sampling, usage and storage of tests and reference substances;
- test and reference substances containers are properly labelled;
- storage conditions are appropriate to preserve the concentration, purity and stability of the test and reference substances;
- there are written records on the determination of identity, purity, composition, stability, and for the prevention of contamination of test and reference substances, where applicable;
- there are procedures for the determination of the homogeneity and stability of mixtures containing test and reference substances, where applicable;
- containers holding mixtures (or dilutions) of the test and reference substances are labelled and that records are kept of the homogeneity and stability of their contents, where applicable;
- when the test is of longer than four weeks' duration, samples from each batch of test and reference substances have been taken for analytical purposes and that they have been retained for an appropriate time;
- procedures for mixing substances are designed to prevent errors in identification or cross-contamination.

#### Standard operating procedures

PURPOSE: To determine whether the test facility has written SOPs relating to all the important aspects of its operations, considering that one of the most important management techniques for controlling facility operations is the use of written SOPs. These relate directly to the routine elements of tests conducted by the test facility.

The Inspector should check that:

- each test facility area has immediately available relevant, authorised copies of SOPs;
- procedures exist for revision and updating of SOPs;
- any amendments or changes to SOPs have been authorised and dated;
- historical files of SOPs are maintained;
- SOPs are available for, but not necessarily limited to, the following activities:
  - i) receipt; determination of identity, purity, composition and stability; labelling; handling; sampling; usage; and storage of test and reference substances;
  - ii) use, maintenance, cleaning, calibration and validation of measuring apparatus, computerised systems and environmental control equipment;
  - iii) preparation of reagents and dosing formulations;
  - iv) record-keeping, reporting, storage and retrieval of records and reports;
  - v) preparation and environmental control of areas containing the test systems;
  - vi) receipt, transfer, location, characterisation, identification and care of test systems;

- vii) handling of the test systems before, during and at the termination of the study;
- viii) disposal of test systems;
- ix) use of pest control and cleaning agents;
- x) Quality Assurance Programme operations.

#### Performance of the study

PURPOSE: To verify that written study plans exist and that the plans and the conduct of the study are in accordance with GLP Principles.

The Inspector should check that:

- the study plan was signed by the Study Director;
- any amendments to the study plan were signed and dated by the Study Director;
- the date of the agreement to the study plan by the sponsor was recorded (where applicable);
- measurements, observations and examinations were in accordance with the study plan and relevant SOPs;
- the results of these measurements, observations and examinations were recorded directly, promptly, accurately and legibly and were signed (or initialled) and dated;
- any changes in the raw data, including data stored in computers, did not obscure previous entries, included the reason for the change and identified the person responsible for the change and the date it was made;
- computer-generated or stored data have been identified and that the procedures to protect them against unauthorised amendments or loss are adequate;
- the computerised systems used within the study are reliable, accurate and have been validated;
- any unforeseen events recorded in the raw data have been investigated and evaluated;
- the results presented in the reports of the study (interim or final) are consistent and complete and that they correctly reflect the raw data.

#### Reporting of study results

PURPOSE: To determine whether final reports are prepared in accordance with GLP Principles.

When examining a final report, the Inspector should check that:

- it is signed and dated by the Study Director to indicate acceptance of responsibility for the validity of the study and confirming that the study was conducted in accordance with GLP Principles;
- it is signed and dated by other principal scientists, if reports from co-operating disciplines are included;
- a Quality Assurance statement is included in the report and that it is signed and dated;
- any amendments were made by the responsible personnel;
- it lists the archive location of all samples, specimens and raw data.

#### Storage and retention of records

PURPOSE: To determine whether the facility has generated adequate records and reports and whether adequate provision has been made for the safe storage and retention of records and materials;

The Inspector should check:

- that a person has been identified as responsible for the archive;
- the archive facilities for the storage of study plans, raw data (including that from discontinued GLP Studies), final reports, samples and specimens and records of education and training of personnel;
- the procedures for retrieval of archived materials;
- the procedures whereby access to the archives is limited to authorised personnel and records are kept of personnel given access to raw data, slides, etc.;
- that an inventory is maintained of materials removed from, and returned to, the archives;
- that records and materials are retained for the required or appropriate period of time and are protected from loss or damage by fire, adverse environmental conditions, etc.

## **Study Audits**

Test Facility Inspections will generally include, *inter alia*, Study Audits, which review on-going or completed studies. Specific Study Audits are also often requested by Regulatory Authorities, and can be conducted independently of Test Facility Inspections. Because of the wide variation in the types of studies which might be audited, only general guidance is appropriate, and Inspectors and others taking part in Study Audits will always need to exercise judgment as to the nature and extent of their examinations. The objective should be to reconstruct the study by comparing the final report with the study plan, relevant SOPs, raw data and other archived material.

In some cases, Inspectors may need assistance from other experts in order to conduct an effective Study Audit, *e.g.* where there is a need to examine tissue sections under the microscope.

When conducting a Study Audit, the Inspector should:

- obtain names, job descriptions and summaries of training and experience for selected personnel engaged in the study(ies) such as the Study Director and principal scientists;
- check that there is sufficient staff trained in relevant areas for the study(ies) undertaken;
- identify individual items of apparatus or special equipment used in the study and examine the calibration, maintenance and service records for the equipment;
- review the records relating to the stability of the test substances, analyses of test substance and formulations, analyses of feed, etc.;
- attempt to determine, through the interview process if possible, the work assignments of selected individuals participating in the study to ascertain if these individuals had the time to accomplish the tasks specified in the study plan or report;
- obtain copies of all documentation concerning control procedures or forming integral parts of the study, including:
  - i) the study plan;
  - ii) OPs in use at the time the study was done;

- iii) log books, laboratory notebooks, files, worksheets, print-outs of computer-stored data, etc.; check calculations, where appropriate;
- iv) the final report.

In studies in which animals (i.e., rodents and other mammals) are used, the Inspectors should follow a certain percentage of individual animals from their arrival at the test facility to autopsy. They should pay particular attention to the records relating to:

- animal body weight, food/water intake, dose formulation and administration, etc.;
- clinical observations and autopsy findings;
- clinical chemistry;
- pathology.

#### **Completion of Inspection or Study Audit**

When a Test Facility Inspection or Study Audit has been completed, the Inspector should be prepared to discuss his findings with representatives of the test facility at a Closing Conference and should prepare a written report, *i.e.* the Inspection Report.

A Test Facility Inspection of any large facility is likely to reveal a number of minor deviations from GLP Principles, but, normally, these will not be sufficiently serious to affect the validity of studies emanating from that test facility. In such cases, it is reasonable for an Inspector to report that the facility is operating in compliance with GLP Principles according to the criteria established by the (National) GLP Monitoring Authority. Nevertheless, details of the inadequacies or faults detected should be provided to the test facility and assurances sought from its senior management that action will be taken to remedy them. The Inspector may need to revisit the facility after a period of time to verify that necessary action has been taken.

If a serious deviation from the GLP Principles is identified during a Test Facility Inspection or Study Audit which, in the opinion of the Inspector, may have affected the validity of that study, or of other studies performed at the facility, the Inspector should report back to the (National) GLP Monitoring Authority. The action taken by that authority and/or the regulatory authority, as appropriate, will depend upon the nature and extent of the non-compliance and the legal and/or administrative provisions within the GLP Compliance Programme.

Where a Study Audit has been conducted at the request of a Regulatory Authority, a full report of the findings should be prepared and sent via the relevant (National) GLP Monitoring Authority to the Regulatory Authority concerned.

## 3. GUIDANCE FOR THE PREPARATION OF GLP INSPECTION REPORTS\*

#### History

Under the auspices of the OECD Panel on Good Laboratory Practice, a working group met in Rockville, Maryland, from 21 through 23 September 1994, to develop harmonised guidance for the preparation of GLP inspection reports. The working group was chaired by Mr. Paul Lepore of the United States Food and Drug Administration. Participants were from National GLP Compliance Monitoring Authorities in the following countries: Canada, France, Germany, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland and the USA. The working group reached consensus on a draft document aimed at providing guidance for GLP monitoring authorities on the information on specific Test Facility Inspections to be exchanged with their colleagues in other GLP monitoring authorities.

The Panel on GLP reviewed and amended the draft document prepared by the working group and subsequently forwarded the document to the Joint Meeting of the Chemicals Group and Management Committee of the Special Programme on the Control of Chemicals for endorsement in 1995. It is derestricted under the authority of the Secretary-General.

## Introduction

One of the goals of the work of the OECD Panel on Good Laboratory Practice is to facilitate the sharing of information from GLP compliance monitoring programmes conducted by member countries. This goal requires more than the promulgation of enforceable principles of GLP and the conduct of an inspection programme by the national monitoring authority. It is also necessary to have the reports of the inspections prepared in a useful and consistent manner. The Guidance for the Preparation of GLP Inspection Reports developed by the Panel on GLP set forth below suggests elements and/ or concepts that can contribute to a useful report of a GLP inspection and study audit. It may be used by member countries as a component of their compliance monitoring programme.

#### **Report headings**

There are many acceptable ways to organise an inspection report, but the key is to make sure that it contains the required information and meets the requirements of the regulatory authority. Generally, report headings include a Summary, an Introduction, a Narrative, a Summary of the Exit Discussion, and Annexes. All of the information presented under these headings should portray an accurate picture of the adherence of the testing facility to the Principles of GLP and the quality of any study report that may have been audited.

<sup>\*</sup> No. 9 in the OECD Series on Principles of Good Laboratory Practice and Compliance Monitoring.

The narrative headings may contain information as follows:

## 1. Summary

The summary section of the report should be presented first and should provide background information on the test facility, the type of inspection that was conducted, the deviations from the GLP Principles that were noted, and the responses of the test facility to the presented deviations. In accord with national practice, the report may include the compliance designation of the laboratory that was assigned by the inspectors.

## 2. Introduction

The introductory section should include some or all of the following elements:

- 2.1.The purpose and general description of the inspection, including the legal authority of the inspectors and the quality standards serving as the basis for the inspection.
- 2.2.An identification of the inspectors and the dates of inspection.
- 2.3.A description of the type of inspection (facility, study audit, etc.).
- 2.4.An identification of the test facility, including corporate identity, postal address, and contact person(s) [with telephone and telefax number(s)].
- 2.5.A description of the test facility identifying the categories of test substances and testing that is done and presenting information on the physical layout and the personnel.
- 2.6.The date of the previous GLP inspection, resulting GLP compliance status, and any relevant changes made by the test facility since that inspection.

## 3. Narrative

The Narrative portion of the report should contain a complete and factual description of the observations made and activities undertaken during the course of the inspection. Generally, the information recorded in this section should be reflected under the headings in the GLP Principles, as listed below:

- 3.1.Organisation and personnel.
- 3.2.Quality Assurance Programme.
- 3.3.Facilities.
- 3.4. Apparatus, materials, reagents and specimens.
- 3.5.Test systems.
- 3.6.Test and reference substances.
- 3.7.Standard operating procedures.
- 3.8.Performance of the study.
- 3.9.Reporting of study results.

3.10.Storage and retention of records.

Deviations from the GLP Principles should be supported by documentation (i.e. photocopies, photographs, test samples, etc.). All such documentation should be referenced and discussed in the Narrative and attached in the Annexes.

When a study has been selected for audit, the inspection report should describe the procedure for conducting the audit, including a description of the portion of the data

or study that was actually examined. Any findings during the audit should be described in the Narrative and documented in the Annexes.

## 4. Exit discussion

At the end of an inspection/study audit, an Exit Conference should be held between the inspection team and the responsible management of the test facility, at which GLP deviations found during the inspection/study audit may be discussed. During this Exit Conference, if allowed by national policy, a written list of observations should be presented describing the GLP deviations if any have been observed. The exit discussion should be summarized in this section.

The report should note the date and time of the Exit Conference; the names of attendees (inspection team, facility and others), with their affiliations. It should also give a brief summary of GLP deviations noted by the inspection team during the facility inspection and/or Study Audits. Responses of facility representatives to the inspection team's remarks should also be described.

In the case where a written list of observations has been made available, the test facility should acknowledge the inspectors' findings and make a commitment to take corrective action.

If a receipt of documents taken by the inspection team was prepared and signed by facility management, the person to whom the receipt for documents was provided should be identified. A copy of the receipt should be included in the Annexes.

## 5. Annexes

The Annexes should contain copies of documents that have been referenced in the report. Such documents may include:

- Organisational charts of the facility.
- The agenda for the inspection.
- A listing of SOPs that have been demonstrated during the inspection.
- A listing of deviations that have been observed.
- Photocopies that document observed deviations.

## **Other information**

In addition to the information described above, reports may contain other headings and information, as appropriate or as required by a member country's compliance monitoring programme. For example, the inspection report may address correction of deficiencies noted during previous inspections or any corrective action taken during the current inspection. Others may include a cover page, which contains descriptive information that briefly identifies the inspection. Others find it useful to use a table of contents, especially when the inspection is of a large, complex facility to categorise, index, and identify information in the report. Some reports include a "conclusion" section which notifies the testing facility of the compliance status classification as judged by the inspection. Any, or all of these, are acceptable.

## Approval

Reports should be signed and dated by the lead inspector and by other inspectors in accordance with their responsibilities.

## Table of Contents

#### Part I

## The OECD Principles of Good Laboratory Practice

Section I – Introduction	9
Section II – Good Laboratory Practice Principles	13

#### Part II

## Consensus and Advisory Documents on the Application of the Principles of Good Laboratory Practice

Chapter	1. Consensus Documents	27
1.	Quality Assurance and Good Laboratory Practice	28
2.	Compliance of laboratory suppliers with Good Laboratory	
	Practice Principles	35
3.	The application of the Good Laboratory Practice Principles	
	to field studies	39
4.	The application of the Good Laboratory Practice Principles	
	to short-term studies	48
5.	The role and responsibilities of the Study Director in Good Laboratory	
	Pratice studies	56
6.	The application of the Principles of GLP to computerised systems	63
7.	The application of the OECD Principles of GLP to the organisation	
	and management of multi-site studies	73
Chapter	2. Advisory Documents of the Working Group on GLP	83
8.	The role and responsibilities of the sponsor in the application	
	of the Principles of the Laboratory Practice	84
9.	The application of the OECD Principles of GLP to in vitro studies	87

## Part III

## Guidance and Advisory Documents for Good Laboratory Practice Compliance Monitoring Authorities

oter	3. Guidance for GLP Monitoring Authorities	101
1.	Guides for Compliance Monitoring Procedures for Good Laboratory	
	Practice	102
2.	Guidance for the conduct of test facility Inspections and study audits	108
3.	Guidance for the preparation of GLP inspection reports	119
	1. 2.	<ol> <li>Guidance for GLP Monitoring Authorities</li></ol>

Chapter 4. Advisory Document of the Working Group on GLP	123
1. Requesting and carrying out inspections and study audits	
in another country	124
Annex: OECD Council Acts Related to the Mutual Acceptance of Data	107
-	127
Introduction	127
1. Decision of the Council concerning the mutual acceptance of data	
in the assessment of chemicals [C(81)30(Final)]	128
2. Council Decision-Recommendation on compliance with Principles	
of Good Laboratory Practice [C(89)87(Final)]	130
3. Council Decision concerning the adherence of non-member countries to	
the Council Acts related to the mutual acceptance of data in the assessment	
of chemicals [C(81)30(Final) AND C(89)87(Final)] [C(97)114/Final]	137



## From: Good Laboratory Practice OECD Principles and Guidance for Compliance Monitoring

Access the complete publication at: https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264012837-en

## Please cite this chapter as:

OECD (2006), "Guidance for GLP Monitoring Authorities", in *Good Laboratory Practice: OECD Principles* and *Guidance for Compliance Monitoring*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264012837-5-en

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document and any map included herein are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area.

You can copy, download or print OECD content for your own use, and you can include excerpts from OECD publications, databases and multimedia products in your own documents, presentations, blogs, websites and teaching materials, provided that suitable acknowledgment of OECD as source and copyright owner is given. All requests for public or commercial use and translation rights should be submitted to rights@oecd.org. Requests for permission to photocopy portions of this material for public or commercial use shall be addressed directly to the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) at info@copyright.com or the Centre français d'exploitation du droit de copie (CFC) at contact@cfcopies.com.

