

1. Introduction

1.1 The growing focus on tertiary education

Tertiary education policy is increasingly important on national agendas. The widespread recognition that tertiary education is a major driver of economic competitiveness in an increasingly knowledge-driven global economy has made high-quality tertiary education more important than ever before. The imperative for countries is to raise higher-level employment skills, to sustain a globally competitive research base and to improve knowledge dissemination to the benefit of society.

Tertiary education contributes to social and economic development through four major missions:

- The formation of human capital (primarily through teaching);
- The building of knowledge bases (primarily through research);
- The dissemination and use of knowledge (primarily through interactions with knowledge users); and
- The maintenance of knowledge (inter-generational storage and transmission of knowledge).

The scope and importance of tertiary education have changed significantly. Over 40 years ago tertiary education, which was more commonly referred to as higher education, was what happened in universities. This largely covered teaching and learning requiring high level conceptual and intellectual skills in the humanities, sciences and social sciences, the preparation of students for entry to a limited number of professions such as medicine, engineering and law, and disinterested advanced research and scholarship. These days, tertiary education is much more diversified and encompasses new types of tertiary education institutions (TEIs) such as polytechnics, university colleges, or technological institutes. These have been created for a number of reasons: to develop a closer relationship between tertiary education and the external world, including greater responsiveness to labour market needs; to enhance social and geographical access to tertiary education; to provide high-level occupational preparation in a more applied and less theoretical way; and to accommodate the growing diversity of qualifications and expectations of school graduates.

As participation in tertiary education has expanded, TEIs have assumed responsibility for a far wider range of occupational preparation than in the past. As the result of a combination of the increased knowledge base of many occupations and individual's aspirations, not only doctors, engineers and lawyers but also nurses, accountants, computer programmers, teachers, pharmacists, speech therapists, and business managers now receive their principal occupational qualifications from a TEI. Furthermore, TEIs are now involved in a wider range of teaching than their traditional degree-level courses.

While the extent of such teaching is not large, many examples can be found of TEIs that offer adult education and leisure courses, upper secondary courses to prepare students for tertiary-level study, and short specific occupational preparation at sub-degree level. In addition, it has become more common for TEIs not only to engage in teaching and research, but also to provide consultancy services to industry and government and to contribute to national and regional economic and social development.

Substantial reforms are taking place in tertiary education systems mainly aimed at encouraging institutions to be more responsive to the needs of society and the economy. This has involved a reappraisal of the purposes of tertiary education and the setting by governments of new strategies for the future. It has also involved more room for manoeuvre for institutions but with clearer accountability for the institutions to society. The tertiary sector is expected to contribute to equity, ensure quality and operate efficiently. This has been taken up at a meeting of OECD Education Ministers held in Athens in June 2006. Ministers committed their countries to the goal of raising the quality of tertiary education:

“At our meeting, we agreed on a new task: to go beyond growth, by making higher education not just bigger but also better” (Giannakou, 2006).

Pressures for continued change are unlikely to abate. There is competition among providers of tertiary education and greater sophistication in demand. Fiscal pressures continue. Global competition for highly skilled graduate students and academics will not diminish in the years ahead. New generations of students, more concerned about the link between their studies and working life and newly empowered by a shifting balance of demand and supply may press TEIs for wider flexibility in provision and greater relevance in teaching than they have heretofore. And, various stakeholders within tertiary systems appear to expect continued movement in the direction of greater agility, openness, and resourcefulness from TEIs. The need for continued change was recognised at the meeting of OECD Education Ministers held in Athens in June 2006. Ministers noted that

“We all agreed that higher education cannot escape major change. Sometimes change will be difficult. Our meeting here, and these conclusions, represent a clear signal of our determination to lead the necessary changes rather than be driven by them” (Giannakou, 2006).

1.2 Methodology

This report is concerned with tertiary education policies that can help countries achieve their economic and social objectives. It draws on a major study, the *OECD Thematic Review of Tertiary Education*,¹ conducted in collaboration with 24 countries around the world. The fact that so many countries took part indicates that tertiary education issues are a priority for public policy, and likely to become even more so in future years.

The Review was based on volunteer countries working collaboratively with each other and with the OECD Secretariat. It involved examining country-specific issues and policy responses in strengthening the contribution of tertiary systems to socio-economic development, and placing these experiences within a broader framework to generate

1. Box 1.1 defines what is meant by “tertiary education” in this report.

insights and findings relevant to OECD countries as a whole. Appendix A details the processes involved, the country reports and other documents that have been produced and the large number of organisations and people who contributed to the Review and to the preparation of this report.²

The project involved two complementary approaches: an *Analytical Review* strand; and a *Country Review* strand. The *Analytical Review* strand used a variety of means – country background reports, literature reviews, data analysis and commissioned papers – to analyse tertiary education policy. All participating countries were involved in this strand and prepared a detailed background report following a standard set of guidelines. They were encouraged to establish a national steering committee of relevant stakeholders to manage this process. Additionally, some countries have chosen to take part in a *Country Review*. This involved an external review team undertaking a country visit. The panel produced a Country Note containing an analysis of national tertiary education policies and policy recommendations.³

Box 1.1. Definition of “tertiary education”

The term *tertiary education* is a relatively recent one. Previously the more common term was *higher education*, but tertiary education was adopted by the Review in order to reflect the growing diversity of institutions and programmes. *Post-secondary education* is another term used to describe the full range of programmes and institutions available after the completion of upper secondary education. However it is too broad for the Review’s purposes, encompassing a far wider range of occupational preparation programmes than is intended to be the focus of the Review, as well as a range of adult education programmes that are also not the primary focus of the Review.

The OECD Thematic Review of Tertiary Education encompasses the full range of tertiary programmes and institutions. International statistical conventions define tertiary education in terms of programme levels: those programmes at ISCED¹ levels 5B, 5A and 6 are treated as tertiary education, and programmes below ISCED level 5B are not.

Programmes at level 5 must have a cumulative theoretical duration of at least 2 years from the beginning of level 5 and do not lead directly to the award of an advanced research qualification (those programmes are at level 6). Programmes are subdivided into 5A, programmes that are largely theoretically based and are intended to provide sufficient qualifications for gaining entry into advanced research programmes and professions with high skills requirements, and into 5B, programmes that are generally more practical/technical/occupationally specific than ISCED 5A programmes. Programmes at level 6 lead directly to the award of an advanced research qualification. The theoretical duration of these programmes is 3 years full-time in most countries (*e.g.* Doctoral programme), although the actual enrolment time is typically longer. These programmes are devoted to advanced study and original research.

In some countries the term higher education is used more commonly than tertiary education, at times to refer to all programmes at levels 5B, 5A and 6, at times to refer only to those programmes at levels 5A and 6. An additional complication is presented by the practice, in some countries, of defining higher education or tertiary education in terms of the institution, rather than the programme. For example it is common to use higher education to refer to programmes offered by universities, and tertiary education to refer to programmes offered by institutions that extend beyond universities. The OECD Thematic Review of Tertiary Education follows standard international conventions in using tertiary education to refer to all programmes at ISCED levels 5B, 5A and 6, regardless of the institutions in which they are offered. For further details see OECD (2004b).

1. The International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED) provides the foundation for internationally comparative education statistics and sets out the definitions and classifications that apply to educational programmes within it.

2. The project’s purposes, analytical framework and methodology are detailed in OECD (2004a).
3. The Country Notes were released as the publication series *OECD Reviews of Tertiary Education*.

Twenty four countries took part in the Review. They ranged widely in their economic and social characteristics, as well as their approaches to tertiary education. Together they permitted a comprehensive analysis of key policy issues in a comparative perspective. The countries participating in the Thematic Review were:⁴

- *Analytical Review* strand (24 countries): Australia, Belgium (Flemish Community), Chile, China, Croatia, Czech Republic, Estonia, Finland, France, Greece, Iceland, Japan, Korea, Mexico, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Russian Federation, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, and the United Kingdom.
- *Country Review* strand (14 countries): China, Croatia, Czech Republic, Estonia, Finland, Iceland, Japan, Korea, Mexico, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Poland and Spain.

There are some striking differences among countries in regard to their tertiary education systems, as illustrated by:

Participation: in Australia, Finland, Iceland, New Zealand, Norway, Poland and Sweden over 70% of a single age cohort can expect to enter a tertiary-type A programme at some point in their lives whereas less than 30% can expect so in Mexico and Turkey (OECD, 2007a).

Private Provision: in Chile, Japan and Korea, the proportion of tertiary education students enrolled in independent private institutions in tertiary-type B programmes exceeds 80% whereas it is less than 2% in Australia, New Zealand and the Slovak Republic (OECD, 2007a).

Gender gap: in Estonia, Iceland, New Zealand, Norway and Sweden the gender gap in participation in tertiary-type A programmes is favourable to females by at least 25 percentage points while such participation is favourable to males in Japan, Korea and Turkey (OECD, 2007a).

Performed R&D: in Canada, Greece, Portugal and Turkey over 35% of gross domestic expenditure on R&D is performed by the higher education sector whereas in China, Korea and the Russian Federation less than 10% is so (OECD, 2007b).

Internationalisation: in Australia, New Zealand, Switzerland and the United Kingdom more than one out of 8 students originates from a different country whereas international enrolments represent less than 2% of student bodies in Estonia, Greece, Norway and Spain (OECD, 2007a).

By documenting such differences among countries, and trying to understand their causes and consequences, comparative analysis can help to raise questions about long-established practices, as well as help accumulate evidence on the impact of different policy approaches.

4. However, to the extent they are covered by the OECD Education Database, OECD countries which did not take part in the Review are still considered in the analysis and feature in the report's figures and tables.

1.3 Organisation of the report

This report is intended to add value to the wide range of materials produced through the Review by drawing out its key findings and policy messages. This report seeks to:

- provide an international comparative analysis of tertiary education policy;
- integrate the main themes and findings from the Review;
- draw attention to effective policy initiatives in participating countries;
- develop a comprehensive framework to guide tertiary education policy development;
- help further disseminate the country and other documents produced through the Review;
- identify priorities for follow-up work at national, regional and international levels; and
- propose options for future policy development.

The contexts within which tertiary education policy making operates can vary markedly across countries depending upon their historical traditions, social structures and economic conditions. Policy initiatives that work well in one national context are not necessarily transferable. The Review has attempted to be sensitive to this through an approach that analyses tertiary education policies in relation to the values, vision and organisation of tertiary education systems in different countries as well as the broader economic, social and political contexts in which they operate.

The report has ten further Chapters. Chapter 2 provides an overview of the impact, trends and challenges of tertiary education. Chapters 3-10 are concerned with the key substantive issues driving the project: steering tertiary systems (Chapter 3); matching funding strategies with national priorities (Chapter 4); assuring and improving quality (Chapter 5); achieving equity (Chapter 6); enhancing the role of tertiary education in research and innovation (Chapter 7); the academic career (Chapter 8); strengthening ties with the labour market (Chapter 9); and shaping internationalisation strategies (Chapter 10). Each of these Chapters discusses the trends and developments that are giving rise to policy concerns, the main factors involved, examples of innovative policy responses, and identifies policy options for countries to consider. Chapter 11 focuses on the challenges of policy implementation, with special emphasis upon issues of social acceptance and political feasibility. Appendix A details the process by which the project was conducted, and the range of outputs in addition to this report. Appendix B depicts the structure of the tertiary education system in each country participating in the Review. Appendix C discusses ways of improving the knowledge base to support tertiary education policy. Finally, Appendix D provides a summary of the policy options offered in this report.

The following Chapters provide many examples of country initiatives in tertiary education policies and programmes. A number of particularly innovative and promising initiatives are highlighted in self-contained boxes that provide more detail on the reforms. Nevertheless, due to space constraints, it has not been possible to provide all of the necessary detail, and readers are encouraged to consult the relevant Country Background Reports, Country Review reports, and research studies. All the documents produced

through the project are available from www.oecd.org/edu/tertiary/review. It should be noted that country-specific information given in this report with no associated source or reference is taken from *Country Background Reports* and *Country Review* reports (or *Country Notes*) produced through the Review.

References

- Giannakou, M. (2006), Chair's Summary, Meeting of OECD Education Ministers: *Higher Education – Quality, Equity and Efficiency*, Athens, Greece.
www.oecd.org/edumin2006
- OECD (2004a), *OECD Thematic review of tertiary education: Guidelines for country participation in the review*, OECD Publishing, Paris.
www.oecd.org/edu/tertiary/review
- OECD (2004b), *OECD Handbook for internationally comparative education statistics: Concepts, standards, definitions and classifications*, OECD Publishing, Paris.
- OECD (2007a), *Education at a Glance: OECD Indicators 2007*, OECD Publishing, Paris.
- OECD (2007b), Main Science and Technology Indicators Database, OECD, Paris.

Table of contents

| | |
|---|----|
| <i>Executive Summary</i> | 13 |
| <i>1. Introduction</i> | 23 |
| 1.1 The growing focus on tertiary education..... | 23 |
| 1.2 Methodology | 24 |
| 1.3 Organisation of the report..... | 27 |
| <i>References</i> | 28 |
| <i>2. Setting the Stage: Impact, Trends and Challenges of Tertiary Education</i> | 29 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 29 |
| 2.2 The impact of tertiary education | 29 |
| 2.2.1 Private benefits of tertiary education..... | 29 |
| 2.2.2 External (non-private) benefits of tertiary education..... | 36 |
| 2.2.3 Social rates of return | 38 |
| 2.2.4 Impact of tertiary education on economic growth | 39 |
| 2.3 Trends and contextual developments in tertiary education | 41 |
| 2.3.1 Trends in tertiary education | 41 |
| 2.3.2 Contextual developments..... | 51 |
| 2.4 Challenges in tertiary education | 57 |
| <i>References</i> | 61 |
| <i>3. Setting the Right Course: Steering Tertiary Education</i> | 67 |
| 3.1 Introduction | 67 |
| 3.2 Governance of tertiary education: concepts and dimensions | 67 |
| 3.2.1 The nature of governance systems in tertiary education..... | 67 |
| 3.2.2 The challenge of serving public interest | 70 |
| 3.2.3 The roles of the State | 71 |
| 3.2.4 System design | 75 |
| 3.2.5 Level of institutional autonomy | 80 |
| 3.2.6 Market-type mechanisms in tertiary education..... | 83 |
| 3.2.7 Accountability..... | 89 |
| 3.3 Steering TEIs: practices, trends, and drivers of change | 89 |
| 3.3.1 Pattern one: reducing State control and widening institutional autonomy | 91 |
| 3.3.2 Pattern two: from subsidy to steering | 94 |
| 3.4 Diversifying tertiary education systems: practices, trends, and drivers of change..... | 96 |
| 3.4.1 Pattern one: creating more vocationally-oriented institutions | 97 |
| 3.4.2 Pattern two: encouraging wider differentiation within a single institutional type through competition among institutions | 98 |
| 3.5 System linkages..... | 99 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| 3.5.1 Linking tertiary education up and downstream with secondary education and working life | 99 |
| 3.5.2 Linkages with surrounding regions and communities | 108 |
| 3.5.3 Linkages within the tertiary system..... | 116 |
| 3.6 Implications of system steering models for institutional governance | 120 |
| 3.6.1 Conceptual models of institutional governance..... | 121 |
| 3.6.2 Enhanced institutional strategic leadership within TEIs..... | 122 |
| 3.6.3 Enhanced accountability to external stakeholders | 129 |
| 3.7 Development of tertiary education policy | 132 |
| 3.7.1 Policy design..... | 132 |
| 3.7.2 Consultative processes and consensus building over tertiary education policy..... | 139 |
| 3.8 Pointers for future policy development | 143 |
| <i>References</i> | 151 |
| | |
| <i>4. Matching Funding Strategies with National Priorities.....</i> | <i>163</i> |
| 4.1 Introduction | 163 |
| 4.2 Trends in funding tertiary education | 163 |
| 4.3 Why do governments intervene in and subsidise tertiary education? | 168 |
| 4.3.1 Efficiency concerns | 168 |
| 4.3.2 Equity concerns | 170 |
| 4.3.3 Other objectives | 171 |
| 4.4 Why should students (or graduates) contribute to the costs of tertiary education?..... | 171 |
| 4.4.1 Forms of and trends in cost-sharing in countries | 171 |
| 4.4.2 The case for cost-sharing | 173 |
| 4.4.3 Practical issues with and arguments against cost-sharing..... | 179 |
| 4.4.4 Impact of cost-sharing | 181 |
| 4.5 Overall country approaches to funding tertiary education | 185 |
| 4.6 Tuition fees..... | 189 |
| 4.7 Allocation of public subsidies to institutions | 197 |
| 4.7.1 Country mechanisms to allocate public subsidies to institutions..... | 197 |
| 4.7.2 Funding institutional infrastructure | 206 |
| 4.7.3 Public funding of private institutions..... | 207 |
| 4.7.4 Intermediate funding agencies | 208 |
| 4.8 External sources of institutional funding..... | 208 |
| 4.9 Impact of funding approaches on institutional behaviour | 210 |
| 4.10 Funding for students..... | 213 |
| 4.10.1 Overall strategies for assisting students..... | 213 |
| 4.10.2 Non-repayable type of assistance | 218 |
| 4.10.3 Repayable type of assistance | 223 |
| 4.10.4 Other support for students | 232 |
| 4.10.5 Impact of approaches to student support | 233 |
| 4.11 Efficiency of funds use..... | 235 |
| 4.11.1 Inefficiencies in tertiary education systems..... | 236 |
| 4.11.2 Analysing the cost-efficiency of institutions | 238 |
| 4.11.3 Determinants of institutional efficiency | 239 |
| 4.12 Pointers for future policy development | 242 |
| <i>References</i> | 251 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 5. Assuring and Improving Quality..... | 259 |
| 5.1 Introduction | 259 |
| 5.2 Definition and diversity of approaches | 259 |
| 5.2.1 What is quality assurance and why does it matter? | 259 |
| 5.2.2 Diversity of approaches to quality assurance | 263 |
| 5.2.3 Ambivalence of purposes | 264 |
| 5.3 Current practices in tertiary quality assurance systems..... | 265 |
| 5.3.1 Approaches to quality assurance..... | 265 |
| 5.3.2 Key agencies and stakeholders involved in quality assurance..... | 278 |
| 5.3.3 Methods and instruments..... | 283 |
| 5.3.4 Outcomes | 288 |
| 5.4 Issues at stake and related policy challenges..... | 292 |
| 5.4.1 Designing a framework that combines accountability and improvement functions effectively... 292 | |
| 5.4.2 Building consensus and trust among various stakeholders..... | 294 |
| 5.4.3 Enhancing the cost effectiveness of the quality assurance system | 297 |
| 5.4.4 Addressing the implications of internationalisation for quality assurance | 303 |
| 5.4.5 Maximising the impact of the quality assurance system | 305 |
| 5.5 Pointers for future policy development | 309 |
| References..... | 317 |

Boxes

| | | |
|----------|--|-----|
| Box 1.1. | Definition of “tertiary education” | 25 |
| Box 3.1. | Mergers in the Russian Federation with the creation of <i>National Universities</i> | 80 |
| Box 3.2. | National students survey in the United Kingdom | 88 |
| Box 3.3. | National and public university incorporations in Japan | 92 |
| Box 3.4. | Contractualisation in universities in France | 93 |
| Box 3.5. | Governance, steering and planning (investment planning) in New Zealand..... | 95 |
| Box 3.6. | Multiple facets of TEIs’ regional engagement: Australia, Korea, Mexico, the Netherlands, Spain and the United Kingdom | 111 |
| Box 3.7. | Formal requirement for tertiary institutions’ regional engagement in Finland | 114 |
| Box 4.1. | Targeted funding in Mexico and New Zealand..... | 201 |
| Box 4.2. | Targeted funds for regional engagement in Korea..... | 210 |
| Box 4.3. | A comprehensive student support system in Sweden | 217 |
| Box 4.4. | Income-contingent loans for domestic students in Australia | 231 |
| Box 5.1. | The joint accreditation organisation of the Netherlands and Belgium (Flemish Community)..... | 275 |
| Box 5.2. | Assessments of tertiary education learning outcomes..... | 280 |
| Box 5.3. | Code of practice for the assurance of academic quality and standards in the UK | 285 |
| Box 5.4. | Dissemination of reports in Poland and the United Kingdom..... | 289 |

Tables

| | | |
|------------|--|-----|
| Table 1. | Main challenges in tertiary education | 16 |
| Table 2. | Main Policy Directions | 17 |
| Table 2.1. | Key characteristics of Mode 1 and Mode 2 knowledge production | 54 |
| Table 3.1. | Governing boards in public tertiary education institutions, 2007 | 126 |
| Table 4.1. | Approaches to funding tertiary education, 2007 | 185 |
| Table 4.2. | Tuition fees for domestic students in publicly-funded tertiary education institutions, 2007... .. | 190 |
| Table 4.3. | Mechanisms to allocate public funds to tertiary education institutions for teaching and learning activities, 2007 | 198 |
| Table 4.4. | Approaches to student support, 2007 | 213 |
| Table 4.5. | Student support: general grant schemes, 2007 | 220 |
| Table 4.6. | Student support: loan schemes, 2007 | 226 |
| Table 5.1 | Typology of quality assurance approaches | 266 |
| Table 5.2. | Quality assurance of teaching and learning, 2007..... | 267 |
| Table 5.3 | Taxonomy of quality assurance approaches..... | 272 |
| Table 5.4 | Involvement in international cooperation on quality assurance, 2007 | 304 |

Figures

| | | |
|--------------|---|-----|
| Figure 2.1. | Gross and net wage premia of tertiary graduates | 31 |
| Figure 2.2. | Estimates of the Internal Rates of Return to Tertiary Education, 2001..... | 33 |
| Figure 2.3. | Change in the number of students in tertiary education between 1995 and 2004 | 42 |
| Figure 2.4. | Net entry rates in tertiary-type A programmes, 1995-2005 | 43 |
| Figure 2.5. | Proportion of tertiary education students enrolled in independent private institutions | 46 |
| Figure 2.6. | Difference between the percentage of females and the percentage of males who have attained at least tertiary education, by age group, 2005..... | 48 |
| Figure 2.7. | Ratio of the population aged 65 and over to the total population | 55 |
| Figure 2.8. | Expected demographic changes within the population aged 20-29 between 2005 and 2015..... | 56 |
| Figure 3.1. | Clark's triangle of co-ordination | 68 |
| Figure 3.2. | Aspects of institutional autonomy..... | 81 |
| Figure 4.1. | Annual expenditure on TEIs per student, 2004..... | 164 |
| Figure 4.2. | Expenditure on TEIs as a percentage of GDP, 1995, 2000 and 2004 | 164 |
| Figure 4.3. | Change in expenditure per student on TEIs between 1995 and 2004, public and private sources..... | 165 |
| Figure 4.4. | Change in expenditure per student on TEIs between 1995 and 2004, public sources only..... | 166 |
| Figure 4.5. | Relative proportion of private expenditure on TEIs, 1995 and 2004 | 167 |
| Figure 4.6. | Relative proportion of private household expenditure on TEIs, 1995 and 2004..... | 172 |
| Figure 4.7. | Annual public expenditure per student on TEIs relative to that on pre-tertiary institutions, 1995 and 2004..... | 175 |
| Figure 4.8. | Public expenditure on education as a percentage of total public expenditure..... | 176 |
| Figure 4.9. | New tertiary female graduates as a share of the 20-29 female population and relative proportion of private expenditure on TEIs, 2004 | 187 |
| Figure 4.10. | Average annual tuition fees charged by tertiary-type A public institutions for full-time national students, in USD converted using PPPs (academic year 2004/2005)..... | 196 |

| | | |
|--------------|---|-----|
| Figure 4.11. | Relative proportion of expenditure by private entities other than households on TEIs, 1995 and 2004 | 209 |
| Figure 4.12. | Public subsidies for financial aid to students as a percentage of total public expenditure on tertiary education, 2004..... | 214 |
| Figure 4.13. | Public subsidies for financial aid to students as a percentage of total public expenditure on tertiary education, 1998..... | 215 |
| Figure 4.14. | Costs of education relative to available individual funding, 2006..... | 216 |
| Figure 4.15. | Proportion of loan-based aid among public subsidies for financial aid to students in tertiary education, 1998 and 2004..... | 224 |

Table of contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 6. Achieving Equity | 13 |
| 6.1 Introduction | 13 |
| 6.2 Defining equity in tertiary education..... | 13 |
| 6.3 Equity <i>through</i> tertiary education..... | 15 |
| 6.3.1 Role in intergenerational income mobility | 15 |
| 6.3.2 Role in reducing earnings disparities across groups | 17 |
| 6.4 Contextual developments affecting equity in tertiary education..... | 17 |
| 6.5 Trends in equity in tertiary education..... | 21 |
| 6.6 Factors affecting equity in tertiary education and country policy responses | 36 |
| 6.6.1 Funding-related factors | 36 |
| 6.6.2 Family background | 36 |
| 6.6.3 School factors | 37 |
| 6.6.4 Peer effects..... | 39 |
| 6.6.5 Articulation between secondary and tertiary education..... | 39 |
| 6.6.6 Organisation of tertiary education | 40 |
| 6.6.7 Selection procedures | 51 |
| 6.6.8 Factors impacting on the participation of students with disabilities..... | 57 |
| 6.7 Pointers for future policy development | 59 |
| <i>References</i> | 66 |
| 7. Enhancing the Role of Tertiary Education in Research and Innovation | 73 |
| 7.1 Introduction | 73 |
| 7.2 The role(s) of the tertiary education sector in the research and innovation system | 73 |
| 7.2.1 Building knowledge-bases..... | 74 |
| 7.2.2 Developing human capital | 75 |
| 7.2.3 Knowledge diffusion and use | 75 |
| 7.2.4 Knowledge maintenance..... | 75 |
| 7.3 The tertiary education research and innovation environment: The empirical perspective..... | 76 |
| 7.3.1 R&D trends and scientific and technological output..... | 76 |
| 7.3.2 Human resources for science and technology..... | 84 |
| 7.3.3 Maintaining and expanding HRST capabilities | 94 |
| 7.3.4 Collaboration, IPRs and commercialisation | 96 |
| 7.4 The governance of TEI research: Systems in transition..... | 105 |
| 7.4.1 The research and innovation policy framework..... | 105 |
| 7.4.2 Priority setting | 108 |
| 7.4.3 Funding of research | 109 |
| 7.4.4 Evaluation and the quality assessment of research..... | 116 |
| 7.4.5 Creating critical mass – centres of excellence | 119 |
| 7.5 Pointers for future policy development | 120 |
| <i>References</i> | 125 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 8. The Academic Career: Adapting to Change | 131 |
| 8.1 Introduction | 131 |
| 8.2 Developments within the academic workforce | 131 |
| 8.2.1 Demographic composition..... | 131 |
| 8.2.2 Challenges in the recruitment of academics | 134 |
| 8.2.3 Mobility and internationalisation..... | 135 |
| 8.3 The changing roles of academics | 137 |
| 8.3.1 The nature of academic work has been affected by a number of trends in tertiary education..... | 137 |
| 8.3.2 New expectations and demands on academics | 139 |
| 8.3.3 Job satisfaction and the attractiveness of the academic profession | 145 |
| 8.4 Features of the academic profession | 147 |
| 8.4.1 Responsibility for the management of the academic career and employment status of academics..... | 147 |
| 8.4.2 Employment conditions and career structure..... | 151 |
| 8.4.3 Compensation and rewards..... | 162 |
| 8.4.4 Range of tasks performed by academics..... | 168 |
| 8.4.5 Career management | 170 |
| 8.5 Pointers for future policy development | 173 |
| <i>References</i> | <i>182</i> |
| 9. Strengthening Ties with the Labour Market | 189 |
| 9.1 Introduction | 189 |
| 9.2 Labour market outcomes of tertiary graduates..... | 189 |
| 9.3 Skills and abilities of graduates..... | 198 |
| 9.4 Aligning tertiary education supply with labour market demand | 205 |
| 9.4.1 Student demand | 205 |
| 9.4.2 Steering by public authorities | 214 |
| 9.4.3 The role of other actors in tertiary education..... | 219 |
| 9.4.4 National qualifications frameworks and quality assurance systems..... | 224 |
| 9.5 Pointers for future policy development | 225 |
| <i>References</i> | <i>230</i> |
| 10. Internationalisation: Shaping Strategies in the National Context | 235 |
| 10.1 Introduction | 235 |
| 10.2 Definition and forms of internationalisation | 235 |
| 10.2.1 What is internationalisation and why does it matter? | 235 |
| 10.2.2 The different forms of internationalisation..... | 238 |
| 10.3 Trends in internationalisation of tertiary education..... | 242 |
| 10.3.1 Student and academics' mobility | 243 |
| 10.3.2 Other emerging forms of internationalisation..... | 257 |
| 10.4 Issues at stake and related policy challenges..... | 262 |
| 10.4.1 Optimising mobility flows..... | 262 |
| 10.4.2 Preserving access and ensuring equity..... | 281 |
| 10.4.3 Ensuring quality and protecting customers..... | 283 |
| 10.4.4 Brain gain or drain..... | 288 |
| 10.5 Pointers for future policy development | 293 |
| <i>References</i> | <i>300</i> |

| | |
|---|---------|
| <i>11. What Next? The Challenges of Policy Implementation</i> | 311 |
| 11.1 Introduction | 311 |
| 11.2 The complexity of policy implementation | 312 |
| 11.2.1 Wide range of stakeholders and views on tertiary education policy..... | 312 |
| 11.2.2 Difficult consensus-building over policy initiatives | 313 |
| 11.2.3 Diverse forms of policy failure..... | 313 |
| 11.3 Lessons from success stories | 315 |
| 11.3.1 Context for policy reform | 315 |
| 11.3.2 Clear objectives/purposes of policy reform | 317 |
| 11.3.3 Process of policy development | 318 |
| 11.4 Understanding failure and overcoming obstacles to tertiary education reform..... | 323 |
| 11.4.1 Rational behaviour: political economy of reform..... | 323 |
| 11.4.2 Information imperfection and asymmetries | 327 |
| 11.4.3 Psychological factors: insufficient ownership and social acceptance..... | 328 |
| 11.4.4 Overcoming obstacles to maximise impact | 329 |
| 11.5 Implications for policy implementation | 333 |
| <i>References</i> | 337 |
| <i>Appendix A – How the Review was Conducted</i> | 341 |
| A.1 Background to the OECD Review | 341 |
| A.2 Purposes of the OECD Review | 341 |
| A.3 Methodology and country participation | 342 |
| <i>References</i> | 350 |
| <i>Appendix B – Structure of Tertiary Education Systems</i> | 351 |
| <i>Appendix C – Improving the Knowledge Base</i> | 377 |
| C.1 Major gaps in the information base | 377 |
| C.1.1 Tertiary education supply and demand | 377 |
| C.1.2 Access to and participation in tertiary education | 378 |
| C.1.3 Human and financial resources invested in tertiary education..... | 379 |
| C.1.4 Outcomes of and returns to tertiary education activities..... | 380 |
| C.2 The challenge of addressing information gaps | 382 |
| <i>References</i> | 384 |
| <i>Appendix D – Summary of Policy Directions</i> | 385 |

Boxes

| | | |
|-----------|--|-----|
| Box 6.1. | Higher Education Equity Programmes in Australia | 44 |
| Box 6.2. | Distance learning and lifelong learning centres in Estonia, Iceland and Switzerland..... | 47 |
| Box 6.3. | Indigenous TEIs in Australia, Mexico, Norway and New Zealand | 48 |
| Box 6.4. | Institutional say in selection procedures in Croatia..... | 55 |
| Box 6.5. | Special initiatives to promote the participation of disabled students in Australia and Sweden.. | 59 |
| Box 7.1. | Types of R&D..... | 74 |
| Box 7.2. | Engaging polytechnics in New Zealand..... | 88 |
| Box 7.3. | Promoting linkages in Australia, the Netherlands, Norway and Portugal..... | 97 |
| Box 7.4. | The role of TEIs in regional innovation | 106 |
| Box 7.5. | Examples of national R&D priorities..... | 109 |
| Box 8.1. | The Learning and Teaching Performance Fund in Australia | 169 |
| Box 8.2. | Promotion of good practice in teaching and learning in England | 172 |
| Box 8.3. | Comprehensive policies to improve the quality of academic bodies in China..... | 173 |
| Box 9.1. | Information on labour market outcomes in Chile, Mexico and Portugal | 215 |
| Box 9.2. | Universities of applied science in the Netherlands | 219 |
| Box 9.3. | Advanced Vocational Education (AVE) in Sweden | 223 |
| Box 10.1. | Virtual universities as an instrument of internationalisation? | 261 |
| Box 10.2. | Promoting tertiary education through offices abroad: New Zealand, the Netherlands and Australia..... | 266 |
| Box 10.3. | OECD/UNESCO Guidelines for Quality Provision in Cross-border Higher Education..... | 288 |
| Box 10.4. | Attracting talent back from abroad: Switzerland and China | 293 |

Figures

| | | |
|--------------|--|----|
| Figure 6.1. | Occupational status of students' fathers..... | 22 |
| Figure 6.2. | Educational status of students' fathers | 23 |
| Figure 6.3. | Access to and participation in tertiary education by students' socio-economic background in selected countries..... | 24 |
| Figure 6.4. | Aspirations for tertiary studies of 15-year-olds..... | 25 |
| Figure 6.5. | Net entry rates in tertiary programmes by gender, 2005..... | 28 |
| Figure 6.6. | Percentage of tertiary-type A and advanced research qualifications awarded to females in selected fields of study, 2005..... | 30 |
| Figure 7.1. | Percentage of GERD performed by the higher education sector | 77 |
| Figure 7.2. | HERD as a percentage of GDP | 78 |
| Figure 7.3. | Higher education expenditure on R&D..... | 79 |
| Figure 7.4. | Share of basic research performed by the higher education sector | 80 |
| Figure 7.5. | Higher education R&D expenditure by field of study | 82 |
| Figure 7.6. | Scientific publications by sector | 83 |
| Figure 7.7. | Share of European Patent Office patent applications owned by universities | 84 |
| Figure 7.8. | Science and engineering degrees, 2005..... | 87 |
| Figure 7.9. | Skill composition of employment in services and manufacturing, 2005 | 89 |
| Figure 7.10. | Firms engaged in technological and non-technological innovation | 90 |
| Figure 7.11. | Higher education R&D personnel..... | 92 |
| Figure 7.12. | Higher education researchers as a percentage of national total..... | 93 |

| | | |
|--------------|---|-----|
| Figure 7.13. | Percentage of Higher Education R&D financed by industry | 98 |
| Figure 7.14. | Innovating firms co-operation in innovation..... | 99 |
| Figure 7.15. | Innovating firms co-operation in innovation with TEIs by firm size | 101 |
| Figure 7.16. | Expenditure on R&D instruments and equipment | 115 |
| Figure 8.1. | Contextual trends affecting academic work and potential challenges..... | 138 |
| Figure 8.2. | Ratio of students to teaching staff in tertiary education institutions | 140 |
| Figure 9.1. | Employment rates differentials between the tertiary and the upper secondary educated..... | 190 |
| Figure 9.2. | Gender gap in employment rates for the tertiary educated, 2005..... | 191 |
| Figure 9.3. | Unemployment rates differentials between the tertiary and the upper secondary educated... | 192 |
| Figure 9.4. | Differences in earnings between females and males, 2005 (or latest available year) | 194 |
| Figure 9.5. | Change in relative earnings of the tertiary educated, 1998 and 2005 | 195 |
| Figure 9.6. | Incidence of self-employment by educational attainment, 2005..... | 196 |
| Figure 9.7. | Incidence of part-time employment among the tertiary educated, 2005 | 197 |
| Figure 9.8. | Tertiary graduates by field of education, 2005..... | 207 |
| Figure 10.1. | Three decades of growth in student mobility | 243 |
| Figure 10.2. | Destinations of international students over time: changes in market shares | 244 |
| Figure 10.3. | Annual average tuition fees charged to international students by public TEIs | 250 |
| Figure 10.4. | Language of instruction: a hindrance to mobility if alternative options exist..... | 253 |
| Figure 10.5. | The rush for English-language instruction: a dominant phenomenon... outside Europe..... | 254 |
| Figure 10.6. | Emigration rate of tertiary educated population, by country of birth, 2000..... | 291 |

Tables

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Table 6.1. | Equity in tertiary education: measures targeted at under-represented groups..... | 42 |
| Table 6.2. | Student entrance procedures, under-graduate programmes, 2007..... | 54 |
| Table 7.1. | Human Resources for Science and Technology (HRST) in selected countries | 85 |
| Table 7.2. | Commercialising public research, 2007 | 104 |
| Table 7.3. | Mechanisms to allocate public funds to tertiary education institutions for research activities, 2007 | 111 |
| Table 7.4. | Evaluation of research quality, 2007..... | 118 |
| Table 8.1. | Employment of academic staff, public institutions, 2007 | 148 |
| Table 8.2. | Academic career structure, public institutions, 2007 | 157 |
| Table 8.3. | Academic salaries, public institutions, 2007 | 164 |
| Table 10.1. | Forms of internationalisation permitted by the national policy framework, 2007 | 246 |
| Table 10.2. | Teacher mobility under the EU Socrates programme | 247 |
| Table 10.3. | Internationalisation policies, 2007 | 267 |
| Table 10.4. | Possibilities for international students to work: legal framework..... | 272 |



From:
Tertiary Education for the Knowledge Society
Volume 1 and Volume 2

Access the complete publication at:
<https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264046535-en>

Please cite this chapter as:

OECD (2008), "Introduction", in *Tertiary Education for the Knowledge Society: Volume 1 and Volume 2*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264046535-4-en>

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document and any map included herein are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area.

You can copy, download or print OECD content for your own use, and you can include excerpts from OECD publications, databases and multimedia products in your own documents, presentations, blogs, websites and teaching materials, provided that suitable acknowledgment of OECD as source and copyright owner is given. All requests for public or commercial use and translation rights should be submitted to rights@oecd.org. Requests for permission to photocopy portions of this material for public or commercial use shall be addressed directly to the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) at info@copyright.com or the Centre français d'exploitation du droit de copie (CFC) at contact@cfcopies.com.