


# Annex B. OECD/DAC standard suite of tables

## Table B.1. Total financial flows

USD million at current prices and exchange rates.

Austria	Net disbursements						
	2003-07	2008-12	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
<b>Total official flows</b>	<b>1 327</b>	<b>1 269</b>	<b>1 373</b>	<b>1 334</b>	<b>1 350</b>	<b>1 780</b>	<b>1 303</b>
Official development assistance	<b>1 213</b>	<b>1 256</b>	<b>1 171</b>	<b>1 235</b>	<b>1 324</b>	<b>1 635</b>	<b>1 251</b>
Bilateral	846	676	543	637	783	986	600
Grants	856	677	521	628	777	986	590
Non-grants	- 10	- 1	22	9	5	1	11
Multilateral	367	580	628	598	541	649	651
Other official flows	<b>115</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>99</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>144</b>	<b>52</b>
Bilateral: of which	115	13	202	99	27	144	52
Investment-related transactions	-	-	-	-	-	28	55
Multilateral	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Officially guaranteed export credits</b>	<b>482</b>	<b>- 70</b>	<b>- 9</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>- 28</b>	<b>- 74</b>	<b>- 78</b>
<b>Net Private Grants</b>	<b>108</b>	<b>178</b>	<b>177</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>163</b>	-	-
<b>Private flows at market terms</b>	<b>4 381</b>	<b>5 293</b>	<b>- 498</b>	<b>1 925</b>	<b>3 206</b>	<b>1 389</b>	<b>880</b>
Bilateral: of which	4 381	5 293	- 498	1 925	3 206	1 389	880
Direct investment	4 381	5 233	- 610	1 763	3 206	1 389	880
Multilateral	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Total flows</b>	<b>6 299</b>	<b>6 670</b>	<b>1 044</b>	<b>3 475</b>	<b>4 692</b>	<b>3 094</b>	<b>2 105</b>
<i>for reference:</i>							
ODA (at constant 2017 USD million)	1 318	1 167	1 066	1 100	1 381	1 688	1 251
ODA (as a % of GNI)	0.40	0.32	0.27	0.28	0.35	0.42	0.30
ODA grant equivalent	-	-	-	-	1 207	1 638	1 254
Total flows (as a % of GNI) (a)	2.06	1.70	0.24	0.80	1.25	0.80	0.50
ODA to and channelled through NGOs - In USD million	59	67	54	69	49	66	92
ODA to and channelled through multilaterals - In USD million	388	663	716	681	602	750	759

a. To countries eligible for ODA.




StatLink  <https://doi.org/10.1787/888934084646>


Table B.2. ODA by main categories

Austria	Constant 2017 USD million					Disbursements					Total DAC 2017 %
						Per cent share of gross disbursements					
	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	
<b>Gross Bilateral ODA</b>	<b>499</b>	<b>572</b>	<b>823</b>	<b>1 024</b>	<b>605</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>74</b>
Budget support	9	7	6	3	3	1	1	0	0	0	2
of which: General budget support	2	2	2	-	-	0	0	0	-	-	1
Core contributions & pooled prog. & funds	79	57	66	107	122	7	5	5	6	10	13
of which: Core support to national NGOs	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Core support to international NGOs	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Core support to PPPs	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Project-type interventions	135	121	101	94	114	13	11	7	6	9	39
of which: Investment projects	32	26	20	17	24	3	2	1	1	2	13
Experts and other technical assistance	33	49	32	31	31	3	4	2	2	2	3
Scholarships and student costs in donor countries	104	103	118	125	129	10	9	9	7	10	2
of which: Imputed student costs	93	93	108	114	119	9	8	8	7	9	1
Debt relief grants	41	97	1	8	10	4	9	0	0	1	0
Administrative costs	33	33	33	32	33	3	3	2	2	3	5
Other in-donor expenditures	65	105	467	624	162	6	10	34	37	13	9
of which: refugees in donor countries	58	98	458	615	153	5	9	33	36	12	9
<b>Gross Multilateral ODA</b>	<b>572</b>	<b>533</b>	<b>565</b>	<b>670</b>	<b>651</b>	<b>53</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>26</b>
UN agencies	46	21	22	42	26	4	2	2	2	2	4
EU institutions	272	279	279	341	336	25	25	20	20	27	9
World Bank group	170	167	164	158	187	16	15	12	9	15	5
Regional development banks	63	59	56	94	73	6	5	4	6	6	3
Other multilateral	21	8	43	35	29	2	1	3	2	2	6
<b>Total gross ODA</b>	<b>1 070</b>	<b>1 104</b>	<b>1 388</b>	<b>1 694</b>	<b>1 255</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>
of which: Gross ODA loans	23	10	9	6	14	2	1	1	0	1	14
Bilateral	23	10	9	6	14	2	1	1	0	1	12
Multilateral	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
<b>Repayments and debt cancellation</b>	<b>-4</b>	<b>-4</b>	<b>-7</b>	<b>-7</b>	<b>-4</b>						
<b>Total net ODA</b>	<b>1 066</b>	<b>1 100</b>	<b>1 381</b>	<b>1 688</b>	<b>1 251</b>						
<i>For reference:</i>											
Country programmable aid	83	72	69	71	75						
Free standing technical co-operation	213	207	208	199	211						
Net debt relief	41	97	1	8	10						

Composition of bilateral ODA, 2017, gross bilateral disbursements



Share of ODA channelled to and through the multilateral system, two year average



ODA flows to multilateral agencies, 2017


StatLink  <https://doi.org/10.1787/888934084665>


Table B.3. Bilateral ODA allocable by region and income group

Austria	Constant 2017 USD million					Gross disbursements					Total DAC 2017%
						% share					
	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	
<b>Africa</b>	89	66	62	68	90	25	17	22	21	24	<b>40</b>
Sub-Saharan Africa	77	58	52	57	73	21	15	18	17	19	<b>34</b>
North Africa	6	4	5	6	13	2	1	2	2	3	<b>4</b>
<b>Asia</b>	119	164	61	51	73	33	42	21	16	19	<b>30</b>
South and Central Asia	89	139	33	31	46	24	36	12	10	12	<b>18</b>
Far East	30	22	27	19	25	8	6	9	6	6	<b>11</b>
<b>America</b>	23	26	19	30	29	6	7	7	9	8	<b>9</b>
North and Central America	17	15	11	22	22	5	4	4	7	6	<b>4</b>
South America	4	8	7	7	7	1	2	3	2	2	<b>4</b>
<b>Middle East</b>	20	21	32	47	43	6	5	11	14	11	<b>13</b>
<b>Oceania</b>	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	<b>2</b>
<b>Europe</b>	110	113	112	132	146	30	29	39	40	38	<b>5</b>
<b>Total bilateral allocable by region</b>	<b>361</b>	<b>390</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>330</b>	<b>380</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>Least developed</b>	99	142	46	47	66	33	42	19	17	20	<b>39</b>
<b>Other low-income</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>1</b>
<b>Lower middle-income</b>	84	84	90	96	112	28	25	37	35	34	<b>41</b>
<b>Upper middle-income</b>	113	115	109	132	146	38	34	44	48	45	<b>19</b>
<b>More advanced developing countries</b>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>0</b>
<b>Total bilateral allocable by income</b>	<b>296</b>	<b>342</b>	<b>246</b>	<b>276</b>	<b>325</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>For reference<sup>2</sup>:</b>											
<i>Total bilateral</i>	499	572	823	1 024	605	100	100	100	100	100	<b>100</b>
<i>of which: Unallocated by region</i>	137	182	536	695	225	28	32	65	68	37	<b>32</b>
<i>of which: Unallocated by income</i>	203	229	577	749	280	41	40	70	73	46	<b>39</b>
<i>Fragile and conflict-affected states (as per DCR of each year)</i>	124	181	81	93	118	25	32	10	9	20	<b>35</b>
<i>SIDS (as per data provided to UN)</i>	1	1	2	10	11	0	0	0	1	2	<b>2</b>
<i>Landlocked developing countries (as per data provided to UN)</i>	63	56	62	53	70	13	10	8	5	12	<b>14</b>

1. Each region includes regional amounts which cannot be allocated by sub-region. The sum of the sub-regional amounts may therefore fall short of the regional total.

2. 'Fragile and conflict-affected states' group has overlaps with SDS and Landlocked developing countries and can therefore not be added. For the same reason, these three groups cannot be added to any income group.

#### Gross bilateral ODA by income group, 2012-17



StatLink  <https://doi.org/10.1787/888934084684>

Table B.4. Main recipients of bilateral ODA

Austria	2012-13 average				Memo: DAC countries' average %	2014-15 average				Memo: DAC countries' average %	2016-17 average				Memo: DAC countries' average %
	Current	Constant	%	share		Current	Constant	%	share		Current	Constant	%	share	
	USD million	2017 USD mln				USD million	2017 USD mln				USD million	2017 USD mln			
Côte d'Ivoire	49	47	9		Myanmar	54	48	7		Turkey	41	42	5		
Turkey	37	34	7		Turkey	28	26	4		Bosnia and Herzegovina	20	21	3		
Bosnia and Herzegovina	25	24	5		Bosnia and Herzegovina	24	23	3		Ukraine	14	14	2		
China (People's Republic of)	18	17	3		Albania	12	11	2		Uganda	13	13	2		
Myanmar	17	16	3		Uganda	11	11	2		Serbia	12	12	1		
<b>Top 5 recipients</b>	<b>147</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>Top 5 recipients</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>Top 5 recipients</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>102</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>19</b>	
Uganda	14	13	3		Serbia	11	11	2		Iran	11	11	1		
Kosovo	12	11	2		Ukraine	11	10	1		Ethiopia	10	10	1		
Serbia	11	11	2		Kosovo	10	10	1		Syrian Arab Republic	9	9	1		
Albania	10	9	2		China (People's Republic of)	10	9	1		Cuba	9	9	1		
Ethiopia	10	9	2		Iran	9	9	1		Albania	9	9	1		
<b>Top 10 recipients</b>	<b>204</b>	<b>191</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>Top 10 recipients</b>	<b>179</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>Top 10 recipients</b>	<b>148</b>	<b>151</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>29</b>	
Ukraine	9	9	2		Ethiopia	9	9	1		Kosovo	8	9	1		
Iran	8	8	2		Mozambique	7	6	1		Georgia	8	8	1		
Mozambique	8	7	1		West Bank and Gaza Strip	7	6	1		Iraq	7	7	1		
Burkina Faso	7	7	1		Moldova	6	6	1		China (People's Republic of)	6	6	1		
Bhutan	6	6	1		Viet Nam	5	5	1		West Bank and Gaza Strip	5	6	1		
<b>Top 15 recipients</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>227</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>Top 15 recipients</b>	<b>212</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>Top 15 recipients</b>	<b>182</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>36</b>	
Egypt	6	6	1		Mongolia	5	5	1		Burkina Faso	5	5	1		
West Bank and Gaza Strip	6	5	1		Guatemala	5	5	1		Mozambique	5	5	1		
Guatemala	6	5	1		Burkina Faso	5	4	1		Guatemala	5	5	1		
Moldova	6	5	1		Armenia	4	4	1		Moldova	4	5	1		
Viet Nam	5	5	1		Tajikistan	4	3	1		Kenya	4	4	1		
<b>Top 20 recipients</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>253</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>Top 20 recipients</b>	<b>236</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>Top 20 recipients</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>210</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>40</b>	
<b>Total (116 recipients)</b>	<b>349</b>	<b>326</b>	<b>64</b>		<b>Total (118 recipients)</b>	<b>311</b>	<b>295</b>	<b>43</b>		<b>Total (123 recipients)</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>304</b>	<b>37</b>		
Unallocated	196	183	36	34	Unallocated	405	403	57	43	Unallocated	499	511	63	49	
<b>Total bilateral gross</b>	<b>546</b>	<b>509</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>Total bilateral gross</b>	<b>715</b>	<b>697</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>Total bilateral gross</b>	<b>799</b>	<b>815</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>	

StatLink  <https://doi.org/10.1787/888934084703>

Table B.5. Bilateral ODA by major purposes

Austria	Commitments - Two-year average						DAC 2016-17 %
	2012-13 average		2014-15 average		2016-17 average		
	2017 USD million	%	2017 USD million	%	2017 USD million	%	
<b>Social infrastructure &amp; services</b>	<b>328</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>239</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>281</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>34</b>
Education	157	25	146	20	157	18	7
of which: basic education	1	0	0	0	1	0	2
Health	70	11	33	5	30	3	5
of which: basic health	2	0	14	2	6	1	3
Population & reproductive health	0	0	0	0	2	0	6
Water supply & sanitation	36	6	22	3	18	2	4
Government & civil society	51	8	23	3	34	4	10
of which: Conflict, peace & security	12	2	5	1	5	1	2
Other social infrastructure & services	14	2	14	2	39	4	2
<b>Economic infrastructure &amp; services</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>18</b>
Transport & storage	17	3	5	1	4	0	9
Communications	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
Energy	18	3	23	3	24	3	6
Banking & financial services	9	2	7	1	2	0	2
Business & other services	4	1	3	0	5	1	1
<b>Production sectors</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>
Agriculture, forestry & fishing	27	4	19	3	21	2	4
Industry, mining & construction	23	4	8	1	20	2	1
Trade & tourism	0	0	1	0	1	0	1
<b>Multisector</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Commodity and programme aid</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Action relating to debt</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Humanitarian aid</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Administrative costs of donors</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Refugees in donor countries</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>384</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Total bilateral allocable</b>	<b>629</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>715</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>864</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>
<i>For reference:</i>							
Total bilateral	640	56	729	55	875	56	77
of which: Unallocated	11	1	14	1	11	1	1
Total multilateral	508	44	585	45	692	44	23
<b>Total ODA</b>	<b>1 148</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>1 313</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>1 567</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>100</b>

	Commitments					
	2012-2013		2014-2015		2016-2017	
	Constant	%	Constant	%	Constant	%
	2017 USD million	Bilateral Allocable	2017 USD million	Bilateral Allocable	2017 USD million	Bilateral Allocable
<b>Gender equality</b>	75	20	78	30	107	33
<b>Environment</b>	77	21	75	29	100	31
<b>Rio markers</b>						
Biodiversity	29	8	19	7	21	7
Desertification	16	4	6	2	11	4
Climate change Mitigation only	24	6	30	12	38	12
Climate change Adaptation only	12	3	15	6	15	5
Both climate adaptation and mitigation	15	4	12	5	16	5

StatLink  <https://doi.org/10.1787/888934084722>

Table B.6. Comparative aid performance of DAC members

	Official development assistance			Net disbursements				Commitments	
	2017		2011-12 to 2016-17 Average annual % change in real terms	Share of multilateral aid		2017		Grant element of ODA commitments 2017 % ( a )	Untied aid % of bilateral commitments 2017 ( d )
	USD millior	% of GNI		% of ODA		% of GNI			
			( b )	( c )	( b )	( c )			
Australia	3 036	0.23	-4.2	20.5		0.05		100.0	100.0
Austria	1 251	0.30	7.4	52.0	25.2	0.16	0.08	100.0	50.1
Belgium	2 196	0.45	-0.2	41.0	12.2	0.18	0.05	99.9	95.6
Canada	4 305	0.26	-1.5	27.4		0.07		94.5	93.9
Czech Republic	304	0.15	7.4	73.5	17.1	0.11	0.03	100.0	55.9
Denmark	2 448	0.74	-0.5	29.7	18.2	0.22	0.13	100.0	100.0
Finland	1 084	0.42	-2.9	44.8	21.8	0.19	0.09	100.0	98.3
France	11 331	0.43	-0.7	41.3	20.1	0.18	0.09	81.0	96.1
Germany	25 005	0.67	15.2	20.7	8.8	0.14	0.06	90.2	85.5
Greece	314	0.16	2.7	73.0	12.0	0.11	0.02	100.0	90.6
Hungary	149	0.11	9.4	73.5	16.5	0.08	0.02	100.0	..
Iceland	68	0.28	14.7	20.7		0.06		100.0	100.0
Ireland	838	0.32	0.9	41.2	17.0	0.13	0.05	100.0	100.0
Italy	5 858	0.30	12.2	49.2	19.1	0.15	0.06	98.8	90.9
Japan	11 463	0.23	6.2	29.5		0.07		85.4	82.5
Korea	2 201	0.14	7.7	26.6		0.04		93.2	50.2
Luxembourg	424	1.00	2.3	28.3	19.5	0.28	0.19	100.0	98.8
Netherlands	4 958	0.60	-0.7	28.7	16.9	0.17	0.10	100.0	94.9
New Zealand	450	0.23	1.3	17.6		0.04		100.0	74.6
Norway	4 125	0.99	5.0	24.2		0.24		100.0	100.0
Poland	679	0.13	13.8	67.3	9.5	0.09	0.01	99.6	60.3
Portugal	381	0.18	-8.9	69.9	21.7	0.13	0.04	97.2	68.6
Slovak Republic	119	0.13	10.0	70.3	8.5	0.09	0.01	100.0	62.2
Slovenia	76	0.16	8.1	67.1	11.9	0.11	0.02	100.0	99.6
Spain	2 560	0.19	5.5	73.3	27.8	0.14	0.05	99.1	83.5
Sweden	5 563	1.02	2.8	31.2	23.9	0.32	0.24	100.0	89.7
Switzerland	3 138	0.46	4.0	25.7		0.12		100.0	96.5
United Kingdom	18 103	0.70	7.8	37.4	27.8	0.26	0.19	95.5	100.0
United States	34 732	0.18	0.8	13.6		0.02		100.0	63.5
<b>Total DAC</b>	<b>147 160</b>	<b>0.31</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>28.3</b>		<b>0.09</b>		<b>93.6</b>	<b>82.0</b>

## Notes:

- a. Excluding debt reorganisation.
- b. Including EU institutions.
- c. Excluding EU institutions.
- d. Excluding administrative costs and in-donor refugee costs.
- .. Data not available.

StatLink  <https://doi.org/10.1787/888934084741>

Table B.7. Comparative performance of aid to LDCs

	Net disbursements						Commitments		
	Bilateral ODA to LDCs			Total ODA to LDCs (Bilateral and through multilateral agencies)			Grant element of bilateral ODA commitments <sup>a</sup> to LDCs (two alternative norms)		
	2017		2017	2017			Annually for all LDCs Norm: 90%		3-year average for each LDC Norm: 86%
	USD million	% bilateral ODA	% of GNI	USD million	% total ODA	% of GNI	2016	2017	2015-2017
Australia	665	27.6	0.05	852	28.1	0.07	100.0	100.0	c
Austria	63	10.5	0.02	293	23.4	0.07	100.0	100.0	c
Belgium	402	31.0	0.08	649	29.6	0.13	99.3	99.8	c
Canada	957	30.6	0.06	1 486	34.5	0.09	100.0	100.0	c
Czech Republic	13	15.8	0.01	64	21.1	0.03	100.0	100.0	c
Denmark	472	27.4	0.14	729	29.8	0.22	100.0	100.0	c
Finland	166	27.7	0.06	325	30.0	0.13	100.0	100.0	c
France	1 129	17.0	0.04	2 753	24.3	0.10	80.8	75.1	n
Germany	2 423	12.2	0.06	4 089	16.4	0.11	95.9	99.8	n
Greece	0	0.2	0.00	56	18.0	0.03	100.0	100.0	c
Hungary	4	11.0	0.00	29	19.2	0.02	100.0	100.0	c
Iceland	14	25.4	0.06	20	28.6	0.08	100.0	100.0	c
Ireland	248	50.4	0.09	359	42.9	0.14	100.0	100.0	c
Italy	326	11.0	0.02	1 161	19.8	0.06	98.8	97.5	c
Japan	3 358	41.6	0.07	5 001	43.6	0.10	91.5	87.8	n
Korea	588	36.4	0.04	780	35.4	0.05	93.0	94.6	c
Luxembourg	141	46.4	0.33	182	42.9	0.43	100.0	100.0	c
Netherlands	546	15.5	0.07	1 045	21.1	0.13	100.0	100.0	c
New Zealand	102	27.6	0.05	127	28.3	0.07	100.0	100.0	c
Norway	733	23.4	0.18	1 165	28.2	0.28	100.0	100.0	c
Poland	14	6.4	0.00	113	16.6	0.02	80.4	85.0	n
Portugal	43	37.7	0.02	123	32.4	0.06	92.2	94.4	n
Slovak Republic	4	9.9	0.00	22	18.7	0.02	100.0	100.0	c
Slovenia	0	1.8	0.00	12	16.3	0.03	100.0	100.0	c
Spain	97	14.2	0.01	584	22.8	0.04	100.0	100.0	c
Sweden	1 023	26.7	0.19	1 708	30.7	0.31	100.0	100.0	c
Switzerland	574	24.6	0.08	922	29.4	0.13	100.0	100.0	c
United Kingdom	3 319	29.3	0.13	6 081	33.6	0.23	100.0	100.0	c
United States	10 008	33.4	0.05	12 091	34.8	0.06	100.0	100.0	c
<b>Total DAC</b>	<b>27 433</b>	<b>26.0</b>	<b>0.06</b>	<b>42 823</b>	<b>29.1</b>	<b>0.09</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>..</b>

Notes:



a. Excluding debt reorganisation. Equities are treated as having 100% grant element, but are not treated as loans.

b. c = compliance, n = non compliance.

.. Data not available.

StatLink  <https://doi.org/10.1787/888934084760>



Figure B.1. Net ODA from DAC countries in 2017



StatLink  <https://doi.org/10.1787/888934084570>



Figure B.2. Grant equivalent ODA from DAC countries in 2018 (preliminary data)



StatLink  <https://doi.org/10.1787/888934084589>



**From:**  
**OECD Development Co-operation Peer Reviews:  
Austria 2020**

**Access the complete publication at:**  
<https://doi.org/10.1787/03b626d5-en>

**Please cite this chapter as:**

OECD (2020), "OECD/DAC standard suite of tables", in *OECD Development Co-operation Peer Reviews: Austria 2020*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1787/070d6868-en>

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document, as well as any data and map included herein, are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area. Extracts from publications may be subject to additional disclaimers, which are set out in the complete version of the publication, available at the link provided.

The use of this work, whether digital or print, is governed by the Terms and Conditions to be found at <http://www.oecd.org/termsandconditions>.