

Annex B. Organisations consulted during the peer review

1. Aarhus University
2. CARE Denmark
3. CONCITO
4. Confederation of Danish Industries
5. DanChurchAid
6. Danish Council for Development Policy
7. Danish Delegation to the OECD
8. Danish Embassies to Burkina Faso, Ethiopia, Kenya, Mali, Somalia, United Republic of Tanzania and Uganda
9. Danish Energy Agency
10. Danish Family Planning Association
11. Danish Institute for Human Rights
12. Danish Institute for International Studies
13. Danish Ministry of Foreign Affairs in Copenhagen
14. Danish Permanent Representations to United Nations Agencies in Rome and New York
15. Danish Refugee Council
16. Danmission
17. Department of Economics, University of Copenhagen
18. Department of Politics and Society, Aalborg University
19. DIGNITY
20. Disabled People's Organisations Denmark (DPOD)
21. Elman Center
22. Embassy of Germany (Nairobi)
23. Embassy of Netherlands (Nairobi)
24. Embassy of Norway (Nairobi)
25. Embassy of Sweden (Nairobi)
26. Embassy of Switzerland (Nairobi)
27. European Commission Delegation (Nairobi)
28. Front Line Defenders
29. Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria
30. Global Health Section, University of Copenhagen
31. Globalt Fokus
32. IFU (Danish Development Finance Institute)

33. IIDA Women's Development Organisation, Somalia
34. International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC)
35. International Finance Corporation (IFC)
36. International Media Support
37. Marginalized Communities Advocate
38. Migration Management Advice
39. Ministry of Climate, Energy and Utilities of Denmark
40. Ministry of Defence of Denmark
41. Ministry of Finance of Denmark
42. Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR)
43. OXFAM IBIS
44. Sahan
45. Save the Children Denmark
46. Save the Children in Somalia
47. Shuraako
48. Somali Women and Children Cluster
49. Somali Women Development Centre (SWDC)
50. Somalia Stability Fund
51. Somalia, Ministry of Planning, Investment & Economic Development
52. Somaliland Ministry of Planning
53. Sustainable Trade Initiative (IDH)
54. Tadamun Social Society (TASS), Somalia
55. The Danish Agriculture & Food Council
56. The Danish Youth Council
57. The Foreign Affairs Committee of the Danish Parliament
58. The National Police of Denmark
59. The Poul Due Jensen Foundation (Grundfos)
60. UNDP Joint Programme on Local Government, Somalia
61. United Nations Assistance Mission in Somalia (UNSOM)
62. United Nations Central Emergency Response Fund (CERF)
63. United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF)
64. United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)
65. United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs (OCHA)
66. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC)
67. United States Agency for International Development (USAID) (Nairobi)
68. Women's Action Advocacy Progress Organisation (WAAPO), Somalia
69. World Bank Group
70. World Bank Multi Partner Trust Fund for Somalia
71. World Food Programme (WFP)

OECD Development Co-operation Peer Reviews

DENMARK

The OECD's Development Assistance Committee (DAC) conducts peer reviews of individual members once every five to six years. Reviews seek to improve the quality and effectiveness of members' development co-operation, highlighting good practices and recommending improvements.

Denmark's development co-operation is integrated into its foreign policy. Broad political and public support enables Denmark to provide 0.7% of its national income as official development assistance. Denmark champions gender equality, human rights and democracy, supports transparent communication and empowers its partners. Climate change and irregular migration shape Denmark's approach to development co-operation. Mainstreaming climate objectives would complement Denmark's significant investments in climate diplomacy. A global leader in fragile contexts, Denmark could better implement the peace component of the humanitarian-development-peace nexus. The Doing Development Differently approach enables flexible budgets and trusting partnerships. Denmark could better integrate poverty reduction across its programme.





From:
**OECD Development Co-operation Peer Reviews:
Denmark 2021**

Access the complete publication at:

<https://doi.org/10.1787/6e9b77e5-en>

Please cite this chapter as:

OECD (2021), "Organisations consulted during the peer review", in *OECD Development Co-operation Peer Reviews: Denmark 2021*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1787/d4d04e9d-en>

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document, as well as any data and map included herein, are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area. Extracts from publications may be subject to additional disclaimers, which are set out in the complete version of the publication, available at the link provided.

The use of this work, whether digital or print, is governed by the Terms and Conditions to be found at <http://www.oecd.org/termsandconditions>.