### POSSIBILITY OF A CHEMICAL HYDROGEN CARRIER SYSTEM BASED ON NUCLEAR POWER

#### Yukitaka Kato

Research Laboratory for Nuclear Reactors, Tokyo Institute of Technology

#### Abstract

Possibility of a chemical hydrogen carrier system for fuel cell vehicles, which utilised chemical reactions and capable to realise efficient hydrogen transportation with zero carbon dioxide emission and small explosion risk, was discussed in this study. The reactivity of metal oxide to carbon dioxide is used for the carbon dioxide fixation and also for heat source of fuel reforming. The performance of the combination of the chemical hydrogen carrier system and a high temperature gas reactor was estimated using experimental results. It was expected that the system realised low-pressure and safe storage of hydrogen carrier media consisting with the same energy efficiency of conventional water electrolysis system.

#### Introduction

Fuel cell (FC) offers the possibility of expanding the electricity utilization market. Vehicles are seen as particularly good candidates for FC application, because FC is more compact, quieter and emit cleaner exhaust gas than conventional internal combustion engines. One of the key technologies that will make the widespread use of FC possible is a hydrogen  $(H_2)$  supply system. The uses of liquefied or compressed  $H_2$  are candidates for this technology. However, the storage and transportation of either of these forms of H<sub>2</sub> require large amounts of energy as well as stringent safety precautions. These drawbacks make steam reforming of common fuels, such as methane, propane, methanol and kerosene, more practical solution for storing and supplying H<sub>2</sub>. Steam reforming can occur at the site of the FC. The use of these chemical reactants as a H<sub>2</sub> storage medium presents the possibility of a safe H<sub>2</sub> carrier and supply system. On the other hand, the reforming requires additional apparatuses for H<sub>2</sub> production, including at least three: a steam reforming reactor, a burner for reforming heat supply and a carbon monoxide converter. It is especially important for a reformer of FC vehicle to be compact and lightweight. A concept of a thermally regenerative steam fuel reformer for a vehicle had been proposed [1]. The reformer can realize compact reforming and achieve zero carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  emission by using chemical fixation of CO<sub>2</sub>. Finally, zero CO<sub>2</sub> emission H<sub>2</sub> carrier system using the reformer can be established. The system needs energy input for fuel reforming and fuel regeneration processes. Nuclear power plant is good candidate as energy source because it emits zero  $CO_2$  in operation. This paper discussed the possibility of the chemical  $H_2$  carrier system based on the above concept. The energy balance of the carrier system combined with a high temperature gas reactor (HTGR) was estimated using experimental results. The possibility of the carrier system was compared with other hydrogen system.

#### Concept of Chemical H<sub>2</sub> Carrier System

#### Separation Process for Fuel Reforming

Separation process would be key technique for high-efficient fuel reforming. Figure 1 shows concept of the separation processes to enhance  $H_2$  yield. Conventional steam refroming in Figure 1 (a) for hydrocrbons attains to equilibrium and produces  $H_2$ , carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) and carbon monoxide (CO). The yiled of  $H_2$  is restricted by equilibrium. Removing  $H_2$  from reforming reaction system induces the system into non-equilibrium state by Le Chaterier's principle, and  $H_2$  production is going on to establish the next equilibrium state in Figure 1(b). The yield of  $H_2$  will be enhanced in the result. Removing CO<sub>2</sub> in Figure 1(c) induces also non-equilibrium state and enhances CO<sub>2</sub> production, then  $H_2$  productivity and purity are also enahanced. Theose separation processes would realize not only high-yield of  $H_2$ , but also decrease of temperature of the endotermic reforming. This study aims the demonstartion of the CO<sub>2</sub> separation process for high-yield production of high-purity  $H_2$  under lower temperature.





#### **Regenerative Reformer**

In this study, methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) was chosen at first as a candidate reactant for steam reforming, because it is the most popular natural fuel resource and has a simple hydrocarbon fuel structure. The following regenerative reformer methodology is applicable also to kerosene and propane, both of which have reforming temperatures in the range of 700-900°C, similar to that of methane. The CH<sub>4</sub> steam reforming process consists of the following two gas phase reactions with various catalysts.

Methane steam reforming:  $CH_4(g)+H_2O(g) \leftrightarrow 3H_2(g) + CO(g), \quad \Delta H^\circ_1 = +205.6 \text{ kJ/mol}$ (1)

Carbon monoxide (CO) shift reaction:  $CO(g)+H_2O(g) \leftrightarrow H_2(g) + CO_2(g), \quad \Delta H^\circ_2 = -41.1 \text{ kJ/mol}$ (2)

The study attempts to use calcium oxide (CaO) carbonation to remove carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) from the reformed gas and fix it.

Carbonation of calcium oxide: CaO (s) + CO<sub>2</sub> (g)  $\leftrightarrow$  CaCO<sub>3</sub> (s),  $\Delta H^{\circ}_{3}$ = -178.3 kJ/mol (3)

This study aims to cause Eqs. (1), (2) and (3) reactions in the same reactor at once. These reactions, taken as a whole, are defined as regenerative reforming.

Regenerative reforming: CaO (s) + CH<sub>4</sub> (g) + 2H<sub>2</sub>O (g)  $\leftrightarrow$  4H<sub>2</sub> (g) + CaCO<sub>3</sub> (s),  $\Delta H^{\circ}_{4}$ =-13.3 kJ/mol (4)

Conventional steam reforming is depicted in Figure 2 (a). CH<sub>4</sub> and water (H<sub>2</sub>O) react by Eq. (1) in a catalytic reformer, and the generated CO is shifted by Eq. (2) into CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> in a catalytic converter. The endothermic reforming process needs a heat supply of  $\Delta H^{\circ}_{1}$ . The proposed process is shown in Figure 2 (b). This process consists of a reforming process (Figure 2 (b-1)) while the vehicle

is driving and a regenerating process (Figure 2 (b-2)) for calcium oxide regeneration and CO<sub>2</sub> recovery while the vehicle is turned off. CaO and a reforming catalyst mixture are packed in a regenerative reformer. Reactants are reformed by Eq. (1), and generated CO<sub>2</sub> is removed from the gas phase by the CaO carbonation of Eq. (3). The CO shift reaction of Eq. (2) is enhanced under the non-equilibrium condition realized by the CO<sub>2</sub> removal. Purified H<sub>2</sub> is generated from the reactor finally. The whole reaction of Eq. (4) is exothermic, hence the reaction needs no heat supply and can proceed spontaneously. A zero CO<sub>2</sub> emission drive is possible due to CO<sub>2</sub> fixation resulting from the carbonation. In the regenerating process, CaCO<sub>3</sub> is decomposed endothermically into CaO in the reactor using high-temperature heat, which is assumed to be supplied as heat from high temperature gas reactor, or as joule heat generated from off-peak electric output of other type nuclear power plants. The reformer is regenerated, and used again for the reforming. The proposed regenerative reformer is intended to be contained in a removable package for use in a FC vehicle. The package is loaded into and recovered from a vehicle at a regeneration station that supplies new packages and regenerates used ones. Regenerated CO<sub>2</sub> is managed according to a CO<sub>2</sub> recovery process.





Zero CO<sub>2</sub> Emission chemical H<sub>2</sub> Carrier System

The concept of a zero  $CO_2$  emission chemical  $H_2$  carrier system using the regenerative reforming process depicted in Figure 2(b) is proposed in Figure 3. The zero  $CO_2$  emission system consists of FC vehicles using packages of the regenerative reformer, a decentralised package regeneration station, and power systems for energy supply to the system. The regeneration station plays central role in the system. The packages are loaded in FC vehicles. The vehicles are driven by  $H_2$  fuel produced from the packages. The packages after reforming are collected to the regeneration station. The packages are regenerated, that is, decarbonated thermally using thermal output or joule heat produced from renewable energy or nuclear power plant. Regenerated packages are reused repetitively in the vehicles. Generated  $CO_2$  is recovered in a storage vessel, and is regenerated in hydrocarbons at a hydrocarbon regenerator by hydrogenation process using  $H_2$ , which is generated from water electrolysis consuming the power plant output. Regenerated hydrocarbons are reused cyclically in the vehicles. A comprehensive zero  $CO_2$  emission system is formed using the hydrocarbon regeneration process. The system was expected to contribute on load leveling of renewable energy or nuclear power plant operations by utilizing off-peak electricity or thermal output of the plants as heat source for the CaO and hydrocarbons thermal regeneration processes. Especially a high temperature gas reactor is appropriate for the power plant because thermal output from the reactor can be used in cascade from CaO regeneration process and  $CH_4$  regeneration process.

# Figure 3. Zero $CO_2$ emission $H_2$ carrier system using the thermally regenerative reformer driven by off-peak electricity and heat from renewable energy and nuclear power plants.



The proposed H2 carrier system for FC vehicles has several merits. Firstly, because the FC system using the proposed process emits no  $CO_2$  during operation, a zero  $CO_2$  emission vehicle system could be established, so long as the treatment of  $CO_2$  is managed well after it is removed from the package, and the system can transport  $H_2$  safely under low-pressure and as low-explosive chemicals. The reforming process is simpler than conventional reforming systems. Because the regenerative reforming is exothermic, the reforming proceeds automatically by self-heating, then, heat conduction control step arisen by heating for conventional reforming can be removed. The power-generation efficiency of a FC after with the reformer will be enhanced by the supply of the reformed high-purified  $H_2$ . In a conventional system,  $H_2$  effluent gas from the FC is burned for the next reforming, because the  $CO_2$  concentration is higher enough than one of a conventional system, enabling the  $H_2$  to be consumed highly in the cell. Therefore, the proposed system also enhances the  $H_2$  economy of the FC. Because the reformation equilibrium temperature is shifted to a lower temperature than in a conventional system, the exothermic CO shift reaction is enhanced naturally. Furthermore, the CO reduction induced by the enhancement is advantageous for FC durability.

The simultaneous reaction concept for  $H_2$  production from methane steam reforming had been patented by Williams [2]. A fluidised bed concept using a reforming catalyst and carbon dioxide acceptor for  $H_2$  production was patented by Gorin and Retallik [3]. Shift reaction and carbon dioxide removal in a single-reactor packed with a calcium oxide mixture were examined by Chun Han [4]. Calcium oxide as a CO<sub>2</sub> absorber was also applied to regenerative  $H_2$  production by Balasubramanian [5]. Those proposals were based on the use of the regenerative reforming process in fixed plants for  $H_2$ production, such as a fluidised bed or a combined system of a packed-bed reactor and gas turbine. Continuous batch-wise  $H_2$  production system using two regenerative reformers is proposed for a vehicle use by Specht [6]. This study proposed a new concept of zero CO<sub>2</sub> emission  $H_2$  carrier system utilizing the reaction concept.

Figure 4. Combination of the zero CO<sub>2</sub> emission H<sub>2</sub> carrier system using the thermally regenerative reformer driven with a high-temperature gas reactor.



Combination with nuclear reactors

The  $H_2$  carrier system has compatibility with HTGR. Figure 4 shows combination with the  $H_2$  carrier system with an HTGR. The HTGR thermal output is utilised in cascade at the CaO regenerator and gas-turbine. CaO package and hydrocarbon fuels are regenerated in the system. CaO regenerator is placed in the primary coolant loop of the HTGR. Regeneration of CaO from CaCO<sub>3</sub> of the package is proceeded in the reactor. CaO regeneration process is relatively safe process, and then the reactor can be placed in the primary loop directly. The coolant is used secondary at gas turbine for electricity production. Electricity output is used in a water electrolyser for hydrogen production. CO<sub>2</sub> generated from the regenerator and H<sub>2</sub> from the electrolyser are supplied into a methaneator, and then, CH<sub>4</sub> is regenerated. Finally this process regenerates CaO and the reforming fuel.

The HTGR is zero  $CO_2$  emission energy source to establish zero  $CO_2$  emission  $H_2$  carrier system. Although the carrier system is applicable to use renewable energy, nuclear reactor has good combination with the  $H_2$  carrier system on the stand point of stable and large-enough energy supply.

#### **Performance Evaluation**

#### Evaluation of the chemical H2 carrier system

To evaluate the advantage of the chemical  $H_2$  carrier system depicted in Figure 4, the system was compared with conventional  $H_2$  production process using water electrolysis. Enthalpy balances of those systems per 1 mole of  $H_2$  production were shown in Figure 5. Conventional water electrolysis in Figure 5(a) consumes electricity for water electrolysis process of 282 kJ-electric/ $H_2$ -mol, and  $H_2$  compression of 29 kJ-e/ $H_2$ -mol. The compression is assumed isentropic and 5 stages compression up to 700 bar. Total enthalpy of 311 kJ/ $H_2$ -mol is required. The  $H_2$  carrier system in Figure 4(b) needs

thermal input of 44.6 kJ-thermal/H<sub>2</sub>-mol for CaO regeneration, electricity input of 282 kJ-e/H<sub>2</sub>-mol for water electrolysis, and 4.9 kJ-e/H<sub>4</sub>-mol equivalent for CH<sub>4</sub> compression to 175 bar. The compression is assumed also isentropic and 5 stages compression. Enthalpy of 332 kJ/H<sub>2</sub>-mol is needed totally. The H<sub>2</sub> carrier system needs enthalpy input slightly larger than the electrolysis. On the other hand, a methanation process of generated CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> produces exothermically thermal output of 41.1 kJ-t/H<sub>2</sub>-mol at around 300-700 °C. When thermal output from the methanation is utilized in some heating process, total enthalpy consumption would be reduced. Enthalpy input for water electrolysis process is dominant in total input at both the conventional and the carrier systems. On-board reforming in Figure 5(c) needs smaller enthalpy input than one of other systems which have water electrolysis process. However, CO<sub>2</sub> is emitted from the former system.

Figure 5. Enthalpy balance of H<sub>2</sub> systems, (a) conventional H<sub>2</sub> system using water electrolysis,
(b) the zero CO<sub>2</sub> emission H<sub>2</sub> carrier system, (c) conventional methane steam reforming.

\* Isenthalpic & 5 stages compression up to 700 bar. \*\* Isenthalpic & 5 stages compression up to 175 bar.



	Proposed H <sub>2</sub> carrier sys	stem Conventional water electrolysis system	
HTGR thermal power <sup>1</sup>	600 MWt		
Outlet coolant temperature from HTGR	850°C		
HTGR operation duration for hydrogen processes	8 h/day		
Inlet/outlet coolant temperature for CaO regenerator	850/835°C	-	
Inlet/outlet coolant temperature for gas-turbine	835/587°C	850/587°C	
Power input for CaO regeneration	34.8 MWt	-	
Plant power input for gas-turbine power generation	565.2 MWt	600.0 MWt	
Gas-turbine power efficiency <sup>2</sup>	44.3 %	45.0 %	
Water electrolysis efficiency $(\Delta H \text{ base})^3$	90 %		
Compression pressure	175 bar-CH <sub>4</sub>	700 bar- $H_2$	
Power for H <sub>2</sub> electrolysis	245.8 MWe	247.1 MWe	
Power for compression	3.8 MWe-CH	4 22.9 MWe-H <sub>2</sub>	
H <sub>2</sub> production/equivalent	2.26E+07 H <sub>2</sub> -mol ec	quiv. 2.27E+07 H <sub>2</sub> -mol	
Number of FC vehicles for 100 km mileage each <sup>4</sup>	4.52E+04 -	4.54E+04 -	

#### Table 1. Estimated contribution of an HTGR power plant on hydrogen systems

1. Based on GTHTR300 [7], 2. Power efficiency for the carrier system is estimated by Carnot's efficiency ratio of turbines between the carrier system and the conventional electrolysis system. 3. Ref. [8], 4. It is assumed that  $H_2$  of 500 mol is required for 100 km mileage.

#### Estimation of the system combined with HTGR

Thermal feasibility of the  $H_2$  carrier system and conventional water electrolysis systems depicted in Figure 5 was evaluated. Optimized energy balances of both hydrogen systems based on HTGR is shown in Table 1. It was assumed that the gas turbine high temperature reactor named as GTHTR 300 designed by JAERI [7] circulating helium coolant was used as the HTGR in the estimation. Off-peak output of 600 MWt for 8 h/day from the HTGR was used for the regeneration of the reformer and hydrogen production.

In conventional water electrolysis system, thermal output from the HTGR at 850°C is used at a gas turbine for electricity power generation with efficiency of 45.0% [7]. Hydrogen is produced by water electrolysis consuming the electric power with efficiency of 90% [8]. Produced hydrogen is compressed for on-board use up to 700 bar by a compressor consuming a part of the electric power. In the chemical  $H_2$  carrier system, thermal output from HTGR between 835°C and 850°C is used for CaO regeneration firstly, and rest of heat is consumed for power production at the gas turbine. Power efficiency for the carrier system is estimated by Carnot's efficiency ratio between the carrier system and the electrolysis system, which is calculated from both inlet coolant temperatures for the turbine.

Hydrogen is produced by the same process of the water electrolysis system [8]. Methane is produced exothermically from recovered  $CO_2$  and produced  $H_2$ . Produced methane is compressed for on-board use up to 175 bar by a compressor consuming a part of the power. Finally the carrier system is capable to supply hydrogen of  $2.26 \times 10^7$  mol to FC vehicles of  $4.52 \times 10^4$ , assuming that  $H_2$  of 500 mol is required for 100 km mileage.

The vehicle number is almost same with the value of the conventional electrolysis system of  $4.54 \times 10^4$ . Required amounts of electric and thermal energy are shown also in the table. Because thermal energy consumption for CaO is only 5.8% of the whole HTGR output, and the compression work is fairly smaller than one of the electrolysis system, then, energy for both hydrogen production processes are closed, and finally both vehicles number are quite similar. It is reduced from the evaluation that the carrier system can deliver hydrogen to FC vehicles on-board under the same efficiency with conventional water electrolysis system. The carrier system stores fuel source, CH<sub>4</sub>, under relatively lower pressure at 1/4 of the storage pressure for the conventional H<sub>2</sub> system in the point of the reduction of fuel storage pressure and the compressor work cost. The regenerative reforming is applicable for higher-hydrocarbon fuels, such as propane and kerosene. Especially the carrier system based on liquid fuel would be candidates of attractive hydrogen carrier media because the compression work would be reduced more.

### Conclusion

The proposed zero  $CO_2$  emission  $H_2$  carrier system for FC vehicles using a regenerative fuel reformer based on nuclear power has unique performance comparing with conventional  $H_2$  production systems.

The carrier system is capable to reduce hydrogen media storage pressure, and realise more safety  $H_2$  transportation to FC vehicle.

The carrier system has good compatibility with a high temperature gas reactor, because the regeneration process, which is relatively safe, can be applicable at the primary loop of the reactor. Offpeak power of 600 MWt for 8 h from a reactor can supply  $H_2$  for FC vehicles of 45 thousands, which is the similar number for conventional electrolysis system under the same thermal input from the reactor. The carrier system shows a new possibility of chemical energy carrier system.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Kato, Y., K. Ando, Y. Yoshizawa, J. Chem. Eng. Japan, 36(7), 860-866 (2003).
- [2] Williams, R.; "Hydrogen production," U. S. Patent 1,938,202 (1933).
- [3] Gorin, E., W.B. Retallik, U. S. Patent 3,108,857 (1963).
- [4] Chun Han, D.P. Harrison, Chem. Eng. Sci., 49, 5875-5883 (1994).
- [5] Balasubramanian, B., B.A. Lopez, S. Kaytakoglu, D.P. Harrion, Chem. Eng. Sci., 54, 3543-3552 (1999).
- [6] Specht, M., A. Bandi, F. Baumgart, T. Weimer, PCT patent WO01/23302 (2001).
- [7] Kunitomi, K., S. Katanishi, S. Takada, T. Takizuka, T. Nakata, X. Yan, M. Takei, S. Kosugiyama, S. Shiozawa; "Design Study on Gas Turbine High Temperature Reactor (GTHTR300)", Journal of Atomic Energy Society of Japan (in Japanese), 1(4), 352-360 (2002).
- [8] Takenaka, H., "R&D on solid polymer electrolyte water electrolysis in Japan", Proceedings of International Hydrogen and Clean Energy Symposium '95, p165.

# **SESSION V**

## BASIC AND APPLIED SCIENCE IN SUPPORT OF NUCLEAR HYDROGEN PRODUCTION

Chairs: Y. Kato, P. Anzieu, Y. Sun

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

FOREWORD	3
OPENING SESSION	9
<i>Thierry Dujardin</i> Welcome address	11
Osamu Oyamada Opening Remark	13
SESSION I The prospects for Hydrogen in Future Energy Structures and Nuclear Power's Role	15
Chair: M.C. Petri	
<i>M. Hori, M. Numata, T. Amaya, Y. Fujimura</i> Synergy of Fossil Fuels and Nuclear Energy for the Energy Future	17
G. Rothwell, E. Bertel, K. Williams Can Nuclear Power Complete in the Hydrogen Economy?	27
S. Shiozawa, M. Ogawa, R. Hino Future Plan on Environmentally Friendly Hydrogen Production by Nuclear Energy	43
SESSION II The Status of Nuclear Hydrogen Research and Development Efforts around the Globe	53
Chair: M. Methnani, W.A. Summers	
<i>M. Hori, S. Shiozawa</i> Research and Development For Nuclear Production of Hydrogen in Japan	55
A.D. Henderson, A. Taylor The U.S. Department of Energy Research and Development Programme on Hydrogen Production Using Nuclear Energy	73
<i>F. Le Naour</i> An Overview of the CEA Roadmap for Hydrogen Production	79
Y. Sun, J. Xu, Z. Zhang R&D Effort on Nuclear Hydrogen Production Technology in China	85

	A.I. Miller An Update on Canadian Activities on Hydrogen	93
	Y-J. Shin, J-H. Kim, J. Chang, W-S. Park, J. Park Nuclear Hydrogen Production Project in Korea	101
	K. Verfondern, W. von Lensa Michelangelo Network Recommendations on Nuclear Hydrogen production	107
SESS Integr	ION III rated Nuclear Hydrogen Production Systems	119
	Chairs: A. Miller, K. Verfondern	
	X. Yan, K. Kunitomi, R. Hino and S. Shiozawa GTHTR300 Design Variants for Production of Electricity, Hydrogen or Both	121
	M. Richards, A. Shenoy, K. Schultz, L. Brown, E. Harvego, M. Mc Kellar, J.P. Coupey, S.M. Moshin Reza, F. Okamoto, N. Handa H2-MHR Conceptual Designs Based on the SI Process and HTE	141
	P. Anzieu, P. Aujollet, D. Barbier, A. Bassi, F. Bertrand, A. Le Duigou, J. Leybros, G.Rodriguez Coupling a Hydrogen Production Process to a Nuclear Reactor	155
	<i>T. Iyoku, N. Sakaba, S. Nakagawa, Y. Tachibana, S. Kasahara, K. Kawasaki</i> HTTR Test Programme Towards Coupling with the IS Process	167
	H. Ohashi, Y. Inaba, T. Nishihara, T. Takeda, K. Hayashi Y. Inagaki Current Status of Research and Development on System Integration Technology for Connection Between HTGR and Hydrogen Production System at JAEA	177
SESS Nucle	ION IV ear Hydrogen Technologies and Design Concepts	187
	Chairs: K. Kunitomi, J.S. Herring, Y.S. Shin, T. Takeda	
	K. Onuki, S. Kubo, A. Terada, N. Sakaba, R. Hino Study on Thermochemical Iodine-Sulfur Process at JAEA	189
	S. Kubo, S. Shimizu, H. Nakajima, K. Onuki Studies on Continuous and Closed Cycle Hydrogen Production by a Thermochemical Water-Splitting Iodine-Sulfur Process	197
	A. Terada, Y. Imai, H. Noguchi, H. Ota, A. Kanagawa, S. Ishikura, S. Kubo, J.Iwatsuki, K. Onuki, R. Hino Experimental and Analytical Results on $H_2SO_4$ and $SO_3$ Decomposers for IS Process Pilot Plant	205

<i>M.A. Lewis, M.C. Petri, J.G. Masin</i> A Scoping Flowsheet Methodology for Evaluating Alternative Thermochemical Cycles219
S. Suppiah, J. Li, R. Sadhankar, K.J. Kutchcoskie, M. Lewis Study of the Hybrid Cu-Cl Cycle for Nuclear Hydrogen Production
<i>M. Arif Khan, Y. Chen,</i> Preliminary Process Analysis and Simulation of the Copper-Chlorine Thermochemical Cycle for Hydrogen Generation
W.A. Summers, J.L. Steimke Development of the Hybrid Sulfur Thermochemical Cycle
P. Anzieu, P. Carles, A. Le Duigou, X. Vitart, F. Lemort The Sulfur-Iodine and Others Thermochemical Processes Studies at CEA
<i>K-K. Bae, K-S. Kang, S-D. Hong, C-S. Park, C-H. Kim, S-H. Lee, G-J. Hwang</i> A Study on Hydrogen Production by Thermochemical Water-splitting IS (Iodine-Sulfur) Process
<i>P. Zhang, B. Yu, L. Zhang, J. Chen, J. Xu</i> Present Research Status and Development Plan of Nuclear Hydrogen Production Programme in INET
<i>T. Nakagiri, T. Kase, S. Kato, K. Aoto</i> Development of the Thermochemical and Electrolytic Hybrid Hydrogen Production Process for Sodium Cooled FBR
J.S. Herring, J.E. O'Brien, C.M. Stoots, G.L. Hawkes, P. Lessing, W. Windes, D. Wendt, M. Mc Kellar, M. Sohal, J.J. Hartvigsen Progress in High-temperature Electrolysis for Hydrogen Production
Y. Kato Possibility of a Chemical Hydrogen Carrier System Based on Nuclear Power
SESSION V Basic and Applied Science in Support of Nuclear Hydrogen Production
Chairs: Y. Kato, P. Anzieu, Y. Sun
<i>C-H. Kim, B-K. Kim, K-S. Kang, C-S. Park, S-H. Lee, S-D. Hong, G-J. Hwang, K-K. Bae</i> A Study on the HI Concentration by Polymer Electrolyte Membrane Electrodialysis
H-S. Choi, G-J. Hwang, C-S. Park, H-J. Kim, K-K. Bae The Preparation Characteristics of Hydrogen Permselective Membrane for Higher Performance in IS Process of Nuclear Hydrogen Production
H. Karasawa, A. Sasahira, K. Hoshino Thermal Decomposition of SO <sub>3</sub> 337

S. Fukada, S. Suemori, K. Onoda	
Direct Energy Conersion by Proton-conducting Ceramic Fuel Cell Supplied with	
$CH_4$ and $H_2O$ at 600-800°C	
M.Ozawa, R. Fujita, T. Suzuki, Y. Fujii	
Separation and Utilisation of Rare Metal Fission Products in Nuclear Fuel Cycle as	
for Hydrogen Production Catalysts?	
H. Kawamura, M. Mori, S-Z. Chu, M. Uotani	
Electrical Conductive Perovskite Anodes in Sulfur-based Hybrid Cycle	
Y. Izumizaki, K-C. Park, Y. Tachibana, H. Tomiyasu, Y. Fujii	
Generation of H <sub>2</sub> by Decomposition of Pulp in Supercritical Water with Ruthenium	
(IV) Oxide Catalyst	
SESSION SUMMARIES	
RECOMMENDATIONS	
A List of Destining at	202
Annex A: List of Participants	
Anner B: Meeting Organisation	411
Annex D. Meeting Organisation	
Annex C: Additional Presentations to the Second HTTR Workshop	



# From: Nuclear Production of Hydrogen Third Information Exchange Meeting, Oarai, Japan, 5-7 October 2005

Access the complete publication at: https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264026308-en

### Please cite this chapter as:

Kato, Yukitaka (2006), "Possibility of a Chemical Hydrogen Carrier System Based on Nuclear Power", in OECD/Nuclear Energy Agency, *Nuclear Production of Hydrogen: Third Information Exchange Meeting, Oarai, Japan, 5-7 October 2005*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264026308-31-en

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document and any map included herein are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area.

You can copy, download or print OECD content for your own use, and you can include excerpts from OECD publications, databases and multimedia products in your own documents, presentations, blogs, websites and teaching materials, provided that suitable acknowledgment of OECD as source and copyright owner is given. All requests for public or commercial use and translation rights should be submitted to rights@oecd.org. Requests for permission to photocopy portions of this material for public or commercial use shall be addressed directly to the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) at info@copyright.com or the Centre français d'exploitation du droit de copie (CFC) at contact@cfcopies.com.

