A corrigendum has been issued for this page. See: http://www.oecd.org/dataoecd/43/33/46131885.pdf

INDICATOR A8

WHAT ARE THE INCENTIVES TO INVEST IN EDUCATION?

This indicator examines incentives to invest in education by estimating the value of education in 20 OECD countries. The financial returns to education are calculated for investments undertaken as a part of initial education and account for the main costs and benefits associated with this investment decision. The discounted values of private and public investments in education are given for upper secondary or post-secondary non-tertiary and tertiary education.

Key results

Chart A8.1. Private and public economic returns for a male obtaining an upper secondary or post-secondary non-tertiary education, ISCED 3/4, and obtaining a tertiary education, ISCED 5/6, as part of initial education (2006)

This chart depicts the present value of an investment's future cash flows net of the initial investment, discounted at a 3% real interest rate.

Investments in education generate substantial financial rewards in all OECD countries. Additional education beyond compulsory schooling produces large returns from both an individual's and a public perspective. The total return (private and public) for a male completing upper secondary education and successfully completing a tertiary degree exceeds USD 500 000 in Italy, Portugal and the United Kingdom. On average across OECD countries, the total return exceeds USD 335 000. The rewards to individuals for tertiary education are on average substantially higher (USD 145 000) than for upper secondary education (USD 68 000). This reflects the fact that an upper secondary education has become the norm in OECD countries. In some countries, individuals need to obtain tertiary education to reap the full financial rewards of education beyond compulsory schooling.



Note: Korea refers to 2003, Spain to 2004, Australia, Belgium and Turkey to 2005. All other countries refer to 2006.

Cash flows (components) are discounted at a 3% interest rate.

Countries are ranked in ascending order of the total (private + public) net present value for males immediately acquiring a level of education (upper secondary + tertiary).

Source: OECD. Tables A8.1, A8.2, A8.3 and A8.4. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2010). StatLink ang http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/888932310225

Other highlights of this indicator

- Even if tertiary education brings more economic benefits, the gross earnings premium exceeds USD 200 000 over the working life of a male with an upper secondary education or post-secondary non-tertiary education in Austria, Norway, Portugal and the United Kingdom. Unemployment effects play an important role in the Czech Republic and Germany where better employment prospects are valued at USD 78 000 or more.
- At the tertiary level, the value of the gross earnings premium for males and females is substantial. On average, it is close to USD 300 000 for males and exceeds USD 200 000 for females across OECD countries. Males in Hungary, Italy, Portugal and the United Kingdom can expect to earn an additional USD 400 000 over their working lives compared to those with upper secondary and post-secondary non-tertiary education.
- On average across OECD countries, a female investing in tertiary education can expect a net gain of close to USD 100 000. In Korea, Portugal and the United Kingdom, the investment generates a net value over USD 150 000 and thus creates a strong incentive to complete this level of education.
- In Austria, Canada, Germany, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom, an individual invests over USD 60 000 to acquire a tertiary qualification, when direct and indirect costs are taken into account. The decision to continue education at the tertiary level thus presents a challenge. In many countries ready access to student loans appears to be important to ensure that liquidity constraints do not hinder these investments. In the Netherlands investment costs are high due to the long duration of studies (shorter ISCED 5B programmes do not exist).
- On average across countries, the net public return to an investment in tertiary education is USD 86 000 for males, when accounting for the main costs and benefits of this level of education. This is almost three times the amount of public investment in tertiary education, and as such, provides a strong incentive for governments to expand higher education.

INDICATOR A8

Policy context

Economic returns to education are a key driver of individuals' decisions to invest time and money in education beyond compulsory schooling. The monetary benefits of completing higher levels of education motivate individuals to postpone consumption today for future rewards. From a policy perspective, awareness of economic incentives is crucial to understand the flow of individuals through the education system.

A problem for policy makers is the fact that changes in education policies generally take some time to have an impact on the labour market. Large shifts in the demand for education can drive up earnings and returns considerably before supply catches up. This provides a strong signal both to individuals and to the education system about the need for additional investment. However, the labour market may not efficiently signal demand because of rigid labour laws and structures that tend to compress wages across different educational groups.

Nevertheless, apart from the earnings differentials, major components of the return to education are directly linked to policy: access to education, taxes and the costs of education for the individual. Very high private returns suggest that education may need to be expanded by increasing access and by making loans more readily available to individuals, rather than by lowering the costs of education. Low returns indicate that individuals do not have enough incentives to invest in education, either because education is not rewarded in the labour market, or because costs, in terms of tuition fees, foregone earnings and taxation, are relatively high.

Economic benefits of education flow not only to the individual but also to society through lower social transfers and through the additional taxes individuals pay once they enter the labour market. The public returns to education, which take into account the costs and benefits of education for governments, provide additional information on the overall returns to education. In shaping policies, it is important to consider the balance between private and public returns. This indicator takes a closer look at individual and public incentives to invest in education, as well as incentives for males and females at different educational levels.

Evidence and explanations

Financial returns to investment in education

The relationship between education and earnings can be evaluated in an investment analysis framework. The overall benefits of education can be assessed by estimating the economic value of the investment, which essentially measures the degree to which the costs of attaining higher levels of education translates into higher levels of earnings.

The indicator accounts for substantially more factors that influence returns than past research on this topic. To understand how costs and benefits are shared between the private and public side, the calculation of benefits includes taxes, social contributions and social transfers as well as differences in the probability of finding work by educational level. The cost components of the investment include public and private direct costs, foregone earnings while in school adjusted for the probability of finding work, as well as taxes, social contributions and social transfers to arrive at a net investment cost for the private and public side.

In practice, raising levels of education will give rise to a complex set of fiscal effects beyond those currently taken into account. As earnings generally increase with educational attainment, those

with higher levels of education consume more goods and services, and thus pay additional taxes on their consumption. In current calculations the public returns are underestimated. Those with higher earnings typically also pay more into their pension schemes, and, after leaving the labour force, they will have a further income advantage which is not currently taken into account in the calculations. Similarly, many governments have schemes that provide grants and loans to students at interest rates below those used in this exercise. These subsidies can often make a substantial difference in the returns to education for the individual. The developing nature of this indicator should thus be taken into account when assessing the returns to education in different countries.

In calculating the returns to education the approach taken here is the net present value (NPV) of the investment. In this framework, lifetime costs and benefits are transferred back to the start of the investment. This is done by discounting all cash flows back to the beginning of the investment with a set rate of interest (discount rate). The choice of interest rate is difficult, as it should reflect not only the overall time horizon of the investment, but also the cost of borrowing or the perceived risk of the investment. To keep things simple, and to make the interpretation of results easier, the same discount rate is applied across all OECD countries.

The discount rate used here is 3% which largely reflects the fact that the calculations are made in constant prices (see the section on definitions and methodology for a discussion of the discount rate). Discounting the costs and benefits to the present value with this interest rate makes the financial returns on the overall investment and values of the different components comparable across time and countries. The same unit of analysis also has the advantage of making it possible to add or subtract components across different educational levels or between the private and public side to understand how different factors interact.

Net present value (NPV) calculations are based on the same method as internal rate of return (IRR) calculations. The main difference between the two methods lies in how the interest rate is set. For calculations developed within the IRR framework, the interest rate is raised to the level at which the economic benefits equal the cost of the investment and it pinpoints the discount rate at which the investment breaks even.

In the NPV approach, the discount rate is fixed at the beginning of the analysis and the economic benefits and costs are then valued in line with the chosen interest rate. The net present value has some advantages over IRR in that it is better suited to long-term investments. IRR typically favours short-term investments with large cash flows that are close in time with the investment. The net present value is thus better suited for educational investments which typically span several decades. A further advantage of the NPV method is its flexibility and the possibility to analyse the different components that make up the overall returns.

It is important to note that the NPV ranks investments differently from the IRR because of differences in the magnitude of cash flows and how these are distributed over the lifetime of the investment. Internal rates of return are given in the tables to provide some guidance on the interest rate at which the investment breaks even in different countries. However, the analysis focuses on how the value of education differs between countries. The economic benefits of tertiary education are compared to upper secondary education and for upper secondary education, below upper secondary education are used as a point of reference. In the calculations, females are benchmarked against females and males against males.

Incentives for the individual to invest in education

Upper secondary education or post-secondary non-tertiary education

The different costs and benefits make up the components of the value of education, and as such, describe the key drivers of the returns to education in different countries. In order to visualise the main factors influencing the returns, each cost and benefit is discounted back in time at a discount rate of 3%. Table A8.1 shows the value of each component and the net present value of the overall investment for a female and male attaining upper secondary education or postsecondary non-tertiary education.

Chart A8.2. Components of the private net present value for a male obtaining an upper secondary or post-secondary non-tertiary education, ISCED 3/4 (2006)



Note: Korea refers to 2003, Spain to 2004, Australia, Belgium and Turkey to 2005. All other countries refer to 2006. Cash flows (components) are discounted at a 3% interest rate. Countries are ranked in descending order of the net present value.

Source: OECD. Table A8.1. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2010). StatLink and http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/888932310225

Chart A8.2 shows these components for a male investing in an upper secondary education or post-secondary non-tertiary education. At this level of education the direct costs of education are typically negligible and the main investment cost is foregone earnings. Depending on the length of education, salary levels and the possibility of finding a job, foregone earnings vary substantially among countries. In Spain and Turkey foregone earnings are less than USD 13 000, while in Austria, Denmark and the Netherlands they exceed USD 40 000. Good labour market prospects for young individuals without an upper secondary education thus increase the opportunity costs of further investment in education. Note that recent policies to extent compulsory schooling to upper secondary education in the Netherlands make a comparison to other OECD countries less viable. Because compulsory education reaches until the age of 18, few 15-18 year-olds will be out of education and working.

Gross earnings and unemployment effects over an individual's working life make up the benefit side. In Austria, Norway, Portugal and the United Kingdom the discounted gross earnings effect exceeds USD 200 000 over the working life of a male with upper secondary education or post-secondary non-tertiary education. Unemployment effects play an important role in the Czech Republic and Germany where the better employment prospects are valued at USD 78 000 or more.

Income taxes, social contributions, and transfer effects bring down the benefit side, and on average across countries, a male investing in upper secondary education or post-secondary non-tertiary education can expect a gain of approximately USD 68 000 over his working life. However, the amount varies significantly among countries; in Austria and the United Kingdom this level of education generates over USD 130 000 but in Belgium, Denmark, Finland, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Poland and Turkey the net benefits are less than USD 40 000 (Table A8.1).

Males generally have better financial returns to their upper secondary education or post-secondary non-tertiary education than females, except in Belgium, Hungary, Italy, the Netherlands, Poland and Spain. The impact of the different components of the investment is typically stronger, except for transfer effects, as some countries' social safety nets may work against females investing in further education. Low female wages at below upper secondary education interact with social benefit schemes in some countries and take away some of the income advantage of completing an upper secondary education.

Tertiary education

The rewards for investing in tertiary education are typically higher for males except in Australia, Korea, Spain and Turkey where the returns are higher for females (Table A8.2). On average across OECD countries, a female investing in tertiary education can expect a net gain of close to USD 100 000 and a male of almost USD 150 000.

The present value of the gross earnings premium for males and females is substantial, on average USD 300 000 for males and USD 200 000 for females across OECD countries. Males in Hungary, Italy, Portugal and the United Kingdom can expect to earn an additional USD 400 000 over their working life compared to an individual with upper secondary and post-secondary non-tertiary education.

Chart A8.3 shows the components of the returns to tertiary education for females in different countries. Relative to upper secondary and post-secondary non-tertiary education, the impact of unemployment benefits is less pronounced than the earnings differential, and taxes and the direct costs of education play a substantially larger role.

As for upper secondary and post-secondary non-tertiary education, the returns to tertiary education are largely driven by the earnings premium; other components are less important in explaining differences among OECD countries. This suggests that it is important for policy makers to understand the supply of and demand for education. The components illustrated in Chart A8.3 show, however, the importance of specific factors in different countries and thus indicate areas in which policy can help to improve incentives.

Tertiary education brings for females substantial rewards in Korea, Portugal and the United Kingdom, where an investment generates over USD 150 000 and thus gives a strong incentive to complete this level of education. In some countries females need to continue their education to tertiary level to fully reap the economic benefits of education beyond compulsory schooling.



Chart A8.3. Components of the private net present value for a female obtaining tertiary education, ISCED 5/6 (2006)

Note: Korea refers to 2003, Spain to 2004, Australia, Belgium and Turkey to 2005. All other countries refer to 2006. Cash flows (components) are discounted at a 3% interest rate. *Countries are ranked in descending order of the net present value. Source:* OECD. Table A8.2. See Annex 3 for notes (*www.oecd.org/edu/eag2010*).

StatLink and http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/888932310225

140 Education at a Glance © OECD 2010

In Korea and Portugal tertiary education generates for females more than USD 100 000 in additional rewards compared with upper secondary education.

The returns to tertiary education are below USD 40 000 in Denmark, New Zealand and Sweden. However, many countries have favourable and substantial student loans and grants which lower investment costs and make incentives to invest more attractive. Loans and grants are a particularly important tool for recruiting students from less affluent backgrounds.

Box A8.1. Estimating returns to education

There are essentially two main approaches to estimating the financial returns to education, founded either on investment theory, from the finance literature, or on an econometric specification, from the labour economics literature.

The basis for an investment approach is the discount rate (the time-value of money), which makes it possible to compare costs or payments (cash flows) over time. The discount rate can be estimated either by raising it to the level at which financial benefits equal costs, which is then the internal rate of return, or by setting the discount rate at a required rate that takes into consideration the risk involved in the investment, which is then a net present value calculation with the gains expressed in monetary units.

The econometric approach taken in labour economics originates from Mincer (1974) in which returns to education are estimated in a regression relating earnings to years of education, labour market experience and tenure. This basic model has been extended in subsequent work to include educational levels, employment effects and additional control variables such as gender and work characteristics. The drawback of a regression approach is typically the scarcity of information beyond gross earnings which makes it difficult to assess the actual incentives to invest in education that individuals face.

Apart from availability of data, the main difference between the two approaches is that the investment approach is forward-looking (although historical data are typically used) whereas an econometric approach tries to establish the actual contribution of education to gross earnings by controlling for other factors that can influence earnings and returns. This distinction has implications for the assumptions and for the interpretation of returns to education. As the investment approach focuses on the incentives at the time of the investment decision, it is prudent not to remove the effect of (controlling for) other factors as these are part of the returns that an individual can expect to receive when deciding to invest in education.

Depending on the impact of the control variables, how steep the earnings curves are, and how cash flows are distributed over time, the results of the two approaches can diverge quite substantially. Depending on other underlying assumptions, returns may differ between and within a class of models as well. For instance, cash flows can be calculated differently and, depending on the method chosen, returns will vary to some degree. As noted in the introduction the results between net present value and internal rates of return can also diverge quite substantially depending on the size of cash flows and how these are distributed over the life span. It is therefore generally not advisable to compare rates of return from different approaches or studies. In Denmark, grants amount to USD 7 500 per year for a student not living with his/her parents. Accounting for these grants would reduce the investment cost by more than half and add approximately USD 28 000 to the overall value of a tertiary education. There is, of course, a danger in focusing only on the supply side of the investment. As younger generations become more mobile, a reward structure for more highly educated individuals that is too low will eventually drain some of the high-skilled resources to countries with higher earnings potentials.

There are some trade-offs between taxes and the direct costs of education (tuition fees) which are linked to government support for higher education. In countries with low or no tuition fees individuals typically pay back public subsidies later in life through progressive tax schemes. In countries in which a larger portion of the investment falls on the individual (in the form of tuition fees) earnings differentials are larger and a larger portion of the earnings differential also accrues to the individual. In general there is a positive link, albeit a weak one, between the private direct costs of education and the overall value of the education (net present value of the investment).

Public rate of return to investments in education

Public returns are one way of examining the effect on public-sector accounts of individuals' decisions to invest in education and the effect of policies that affect these investments. Similarly, to warrant intervention by governments to improve private rates of return to education, it is important to consider public returns in order to have a complete picture of overall returns.

Tables A8.3 and A8.4 show the public returns for individuals who obtain upper secondary or post-secondary non-tertiary education and tertiary education as part of initial education. Chart A8.4 shows the public and private costs for males investing in tertiary education. On average across OECD countries, the value invested in a male obtaining a tertiary education is almost USD 80 000, taking into account public and private spending, as well as indirect costs in the form of public and private foregone earnings and taxes. In Austria, Canada, Denmark, Germany, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom the present value of the investment costs exceeds USD 100 000 (Chart A8.4).

Direct costs for education are generally borne by the public side except in Canada and Korea, where tuition fees constitute a significant share of overall private investment costs for tertiary education. Together with foregone public earnings in the form of taxes and social contributions, direct and indirect public investment costs exceed USD 50 000 in Austria, Denmark, the Netherlands and Sweden for a male with tertiary education. In Korea and Turkey the total public investment cost does not exceed USD 15 000. On average among OECD countries, the total present value of public investment for a male obtaining a tertiary qualification is USD 33 000.

Although public investments in tertiary education are large in many countries, private investment costs exceed them in most countries. In Austria, Canada, Germany, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom an individual invests over USD 60 000 to acquire a tertiary qualification when direct and indirect costs are taken into account. In Canada direct costs, such as tuition fees, represent more than 50% of the investment.

The decision to continue education at a tertiary level is thus a challenge, as much is at stake, particularly for young individuals from less affluent backgrounds. With the substantial private and public gains from tertiary investments, it is very important to provide ready access to student loans to ensure that liquidity constraints do not hinder such investment.

Chart A8.4. Public versus private investment for a male obtaining tertiary education (2006)

Private foregone earnings Public/Private direct cost Public foregone revenues



Note: Korea refers to 2003, Spain to 2004, Australia, Belgium and Turkey to 2005. All other countries refer to 2006. Cash flows (components) are discounted at a 3% interest rate.

Countries are ranked in descending order of the total cost private + public.

Source: OECD. Tables A8.2 and A8.4. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2010). StatLink and http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/888932310225

For an individual, foregone earnings make up a substantial part of overall investment costs. In countries with lengthy tertiary education such as Austria, Germany and particularly in the Netherlands where shorter ISCED 5B programmes do not exist, foregone earnings are large (see Indicator B1). In these countries the relative high private investments are thus matched by an approximately equal longer stay in tertiary education. Earnings foregone also depend on expected wage levels and the probability of finding a job. As the labour market for young adults is worsening (see Indicator C3), investment costs will fall and thereby increase the returns to tertiary education. Incentives to invest in education from both the private and the public perspective will thus be greater in most OECD countries.

Investments in education also generate public returns as a consequence of higher income levels, in the form of income taxes, increased social insurance payments and lower social transfers. Chart A8.5 compares the costs and economic benefits from the public point of view for a male investing in upper secondary or post-secondary non-tertiary education and in tertiary education.

With few exceptions the public returns to investments in upper secondary or post-secondary non-tertiary education are positive. On average across OECD countries, upper secondary or post-secondary non-tertiary education generates a net return of USD 36 000 and in Austria, Denmark, Germany, Portugal and the United Kingdom the figure is above USD 50 000. The public returns for a female investing in upper secondary or post-secondary non-tertiary education are marginally lower, at USD 7 000 less than for a male on average across OECD countries (Table A8.3).



Chart A8.5. Public cost and benefits for a male obtaining upper secondary or post-secondary non-tertiary education and tertiary education (2006)

Equivalent USD

Equivalent USD

Note: Korea refers to 2003, Ireland and Spain to 2004, Australia, Belgium and Turkey to 2005. All other countries refer to 2006.

Cash flows (components) are discounted at a 3% interest rate.

Countries are ranked in descending order of the public net present value obtaining tertiary education. Source: OECD. Tables A8.3 and A8.4. See Annex 3 for notes (*www.oecd.org/edu/eag2010*).

StatLink and http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/888932310225

The public returns to tertiary education are substantially higher than to upper secondary or post-secondary non-tertiary education, in part because a larger share of the investment costs are borne by the individuals themselves. The main factors are, however, the higher taxes and social contributions that flow from the higher income levels of those with tertiary qualifications. In Belgium, Germany and Hungary these benefits exceeds USD 160 000 over an individual's working life (Chart A8.5).

On average across countries, the net public return from an investment in tertiary education is USD 86 000 for a male, when accounting for the main costs and benefits at this level of education. This is almost three times the amount of public investment in tertiary education across OECD countries, and as such, provides a strong incentive for governments to expand higher education.

In conclusion, there seems to be room for additional expansion of higher education either by public or private financing. As this indicator shows, at a real discount rate of 3%, investments in education yield substantial private and public returns in most countries. Public investments in education, particularly at the tertiary level, are rational even in the face of running a deficit in public finances. Issuing government bonds to finance these investments will yield significant returns and improve public finances in the longer term. Public as well as private returns to tertiary education will eventually drop in countries with high returns as supply meets demand, but from the viewpoint of equity this may be a desirable outcome.

Definitions and methodologies

As noted in the introduction the choice of discount rate is a difficult issue. To acknowledge that the calculations are made in constant prices and the fact that, at least on the public side, these investments are essentially risk-free.

To arrive at a reasonable discount rate, long-term government bonds have been used as a benchmark. The average long-term interest rate across OECD countries was approximately 4.5% in 2006. Assuming that central banks in countries have succeeded in anchoring inflation expectations at or below 2% per year, a long-term nominal interest rate of 4.5% implies a real interest rate of 2.5% to 3%. The 3% real discount rate used in this indicator thus corresponds to the nominal interest of approximately 5% used in *Education at a Glance 2009*. The change in the discount rate has a substantial impact on the net present value of education which needs to be taken into account if the results for these two editions are compared.

In the calculation of the private net present value (NPV), private investment costs include aftertax foregone earnings adjusted for the probability of finding a job (unemployment rate) and direct private expenditures on education. Both of these investment streams take into account the duration of studies. On the benefit side, age-earnings profiles are used to calculate the earnings differential between different educational groups (below upper secondary education; upper secondary or post-secondary non-tertiary education; and tertiary education).

These gross earnings differentials are adjusted for differences in income taxes and social contributions as well as social transfers (including housing benefits and social assistance related to earnings level) to arrive at net earnings differentials. The cash flows are further adjusted for probability of finding a job (unemployment rates). The calculations are done separately for males and females to account for differences in earnings differentials and unemployment rates.

A8

In the calculation of public NPV, public costs include lost tax receipts during the years of schooling (income tax and social contributions) and public expenditures (taking into account the duration of studies). Lost tax receipts are low in some countries because young individuals have low earnings levels. Public expenditures on education include direct expenditures (such as payment of teachers' salaries or spending for the construction of school buildings, purchase of textbooks, etc.) and public-private transfers (such as public subsidies to households for scholarships and other grants and to other private entities for provision of training at the workplace, etc.).

The benefits for the public sector are additional tax and social contribution receipts associated with higher earnings and savings on transfers, *i.e.* housing benefits and social assistance that the public sector does not have to pay because of higher levels of earnings.

It is important to consider some of the broad conceptual limitations on the estimates of financial returns performed here:

- The data reported are accounting-based values only. The results no doubt differ from econometric estimates that would use the same data on the micro level rather than a lifetime stream of earnings derived from average earnings.
- The approach used here estimates future earnings for individuals with different levels of educational attainment, based on knowledge of how average present gross earnings vary by level of attainment and age. However, the relationship between different levels of educational attainment and earnings may differ in the future from what it is today. Technological, economic and social changes may all alter how wage levels relate to levels of educational attainment.
- Differences in returns across countries partly reflect different institutional and non-market conditions that bear on earnings, such as institutional conditions that limit flexibility in relative earnings.
- In estimating benefits, the effect of education on increasing the likelihood of employment when wanting to work is taken into account. However, this also makes the estimate sensitive to the stage in the economic cycle at which the data are collected. As more highly educated individuals typically have a stronger attachment to the labour market, the value of education generally increases in times of poor economic growth.

The calculations also involve a number of restrictive assumptions needed for international comparability. For calculations of the investments in education, foregone earnings have been standardised at the level of the legal minimum wage or the equivalent in countries in which the earnings data include part-time work (when no national minimum wage was available, the wage was selected from wages set in collective agreements). This assumption seeks to counterbalance the very low recorded earnings for 15-24 year-olds that led to excessively high estimates in earlier editions of *Education at a Glance*. In the Czech Republic, Hungary, the Netherlands, Poland, Portugal and the United Kingdom actual earnings are used in the calculations of foregone earnings as part-time work is excluded in these earnings data collections.

For the methods employed for the calculation of the rates of return, please see Annex 3 at *www.oecd.org/edu/eag2010*.

Further references

Mincer, J. (1974), "Schooling, Experience, and Earnings", National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER), New York.

			Direc	ct cost	Fore	gone nings	Total	costs	Gross e ben	arnings efits	Inc tax e	effect
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
		Year ¹	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
ies	Australia	- 1	-2 891	-2 891	-22 661	-23 380	-25 553	-26 271	114 598	94 207	-45 267	-29 950
ntr	Austria		-2 360	-2 360	-40 556	-39 016	-42 916	-41 376	257 094	178 802	-66 653	-26 662
con	Belgium	- 1	-1511	-1 511	-36 691	-31 509	-38 202	-33 020	105 141	148 948	-50 243	-52 366
9	Canada		-2 478	-2 478	-26 369	-27 034	-28 847	-29 513	163 243	143 258	-48 388	-31 623
OE	Czech Republic		-1 787	-1 787	-20 260	-16 230	-22 048	-18 018	71 667	78 630	-22 673	-19 376
	Denmark		-614	-614	-40 502	-40 540	-41 116	-41 154	160 072	122 514	-63 354	-34 926
	Finland		-186	-186	-27 797	-27 110	-27 983	-27 296	65 403	41 334	-26 788	-15 507
	France		w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w
	Germany		-3 380	-3 380	-32 250	-32 528	-35 629	-35 908	87 966	86 107	-37 839	-28 130
	Hungary		-747	-747	-15 371	-15 592	-16 118	-16 339	69 4 3 1	67 379	-26 973	-23 838
	Italy		-884	-884	-37 895	-33 025	-38 780	-33 909	173 901	137 400	-63 557	-44 841
	Korea	-3	-4 358	-4 358	-18 057	-18 182	-22 416	-22 540	101 951	4 509	-3 697	520
	Netherlands		-3 666	-3 666	-44 221	-42 220	-47 887	-45 886	115 846	121 122	-38 453	-17 599
	New Zealand		-2 598	-2 598	-31 184	-29 980	-33 782	-32 578	89 623	60 909	-30 434	-14 768
	Norway		-2 558	-2 558	-39 671	-39 689	-42 229	-42 246	206 700	128 213	-63 479	-34 640
	Poland		-177	-177	-16 120	-13 249	-16 297	-13 425	46 353	62 4 3 2	-6 124	-7 066
	Portugal		-12	-12	-23 219	-20 192	-23 230	-20 203	212 846	150 215	-53 100	-30 589
	Spain	-2	-966	-966	-10 675	-9 157	-11 642	-10 123	85 624	75 375	-20 229	-15 627
	Sweden		-21	-21	-23 725	-23 781	-23 746	-23 802	142 848	105 423	-44 888	-33 014
	Turkey	- 1	-336	-336	-11 256	-12 205	-11 592	-12 541	63 320	75 879	-10 527	-8 168
	United Kingdom		-4 773	-4 773	-34 122	-35 464	-38 894	-40 237	236 620	211 147	-59 240	-50 897
	United States		w	W	w	w	w	w	w	W	w	W
	OECD average		-1 815	-1 815	-27 630	-26 504	-29 445	-28 319	128 512	104 690	-39 095	-25 953

Table A8.1.
Private net present value for an individual obtaining upper secondary
post-secondary non-tertiary education as part of initial education, ISCED 3/4 (2006)
In equivalent USD, converted using PPPs for GDP

or

			So contri eff	cial bution fect	Tran eff	sfers	Unemp eff	loyment ect	Tota ben	l net efits	Net p va	resent lue	Intern of re	al rate turn
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
		Year ¹	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	(21)	(22)
ies	Australia	-1	0	0	-1 364	-17 689	42 065	23 289	110 032	69 857	84 480	43 586	14.4	11.9
Intr	Austria		-54 652	-37 211	-8 397	-19 751	46 596	23 1 56	173 988	118 335	131 073	76 959	12.6	9.2
COL	Belgium	-1	-25 741	-41 993	0	0	45 885	46 051	75 042	100 641	36 840	67 621	6.6	10.8
9	Canada		-12 100	-14 727	0	-1 672	28 695	24 625	131 449	119 861	102 602	90 348	13.1	12.7
OE	Czech Republic		-19 679	-18 390	0	0	86 273	68 363	115 588	109 226	93 540	91 209	17.6	20.2
	Denmark		-15 480	-11 851	-36 387	-45 893	23 393	15 785	68 243	45 629	27 128	4 475	5.8	3.4
	Finland		-6 326	-5 074	-6 032	-14 195	26 998	32 448	53 255	39 007	25 272	11 711	7.4	5.4
	France		w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w
	Germany		-36 486	-29 288	-13 532	-12 609	78 846	48 169	78 955	64 249	43 325	28 342	7.8	6.5
	Hungary		-14 101	-13 654	0	0	29 095	28 113	57 452	58 000	41 334	41 661	13.4	11.9
	Italy		-17 786	-15 224	0	0	17 938	28 616	110 496	105 951	71 716	72 042	7.2	8.5
	Korea	-3	-7 426	-499	0	-3 912	5 696	2 653	96 524	3 271	74 108	-19 269	11.1	0.9
	Netherlands		-18 703	-46 965	-5 949	-12 382	13 179	24 165	65 919	68 340	18 032	22 454	4.4	4.8
	New Zealand		-1 224	-858	-1 655	-12 984	12 015	10 325	68 325	42 624	34 543	10 046	6.6	4.8
	Norway		-18 695	-11 294	-4 876	-14 435	33 255	16 917	152 905	84 762	110676	42 515	12.8	7.1
	Poland		-19 927	-22 813	0	0	30 906	26 653	51 208	59 205	34 911	45 780	10.6	11.9
	Portugal		-23 029	-17 666	0	0	-3 353	10 4 16	133 364	112 376	110 134	92 173	11.6	12.0
	Spain	-2	-6 095	-5 860	0	0	10 225	17 378	69 525	71 265	57 883	61 142	11.7	14.6
	Sweden		-12 329	-9 636	-19 654	-24 984	33 571	33 456	99 548	71 244	75 802	47 442	14.3	10.2
	Turkey	- 1	-10 055	-9 177	0	0	3 617	-14 154	46 354	44 381	34 762	31 839	9.4	8.9
	United Kingdom		-29 889	-25 686	-3 697	-46 808	44 978	31 680	188 773	119 436	149 878	79 200	13.4	10.5
	United States		w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w
	OECD average		-17 486	-16 893	-5 077	-11 366	30 4 94	24 905	97 347	75 383	67 902	47 064	10.6	9.3

Note: Cash flows (components) are discounted at a 3% interest rate. Assuming that foregone earnings for all individual refer to the minimum wage, except those countries reporting full time earnings, *i.e.* the Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland and Portugal. 1. Latest available year compared to 2006. -1 refers to year 2005, -2 refers to 2004 and -3 refers to 2003. Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (*www.oecd.org/edu/eag2010*). StatLink and http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/888932310225

A8

	In equivalent USD, converted using PPPs for GDP												
			Direc	et cost	Fore earr	gone ings	Total costs		Gross earnings benefits		Income tax effect		
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
		Year ¹	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	
ies	Australia	- 1	-14 426	-14 426	-36 420	-36 370	-50 846	-50 796	255 043	219 590	-104 749	-72 697	
Intr	Austria		-7 879	-7 879	-56 009	-56 053	-63 888	-63 932	380 956	264 161	-125 695	-73 537	
con	Belgium	- 1	-2 133	-2 133	-30 842	-29 666	-32 975	-31 799	330 068	255 955	-146 283	-103 529	
8	Canada		-30 820	-30 820	-30 327	-31 009	-61 147	-61 829	295 609	208 4 39	-94 636	-53 516	
OE	Czech Republic		-2 317	-2 317	-19 785	-17 356	-22 102	-19 673	349 444	200 077	-65 309	-44 720	
	Denmark		-1 887	-1 887	-50 254	-50 987	-52 141	-52 874	212 423	133 560	-111 634	-48 690	
	Finland		-1 603	-1 603	-51 547	-51 568	-53 150	-53 171	304 543	178 561	-125 734	-64 291	
	France		W	w	W	w	w	w	w	w	w	W	
	Germany		-5 852	-5 852	-59 004	-60 677	-64 856	-66 529	366 445	220 156	-150 124	-69 334	
	Hungary		-4 034	-4034	-25 719	-22 910	-29 753	-26 943	410 323	227 320	-134 380	-99 975	
	Italy		-6 977	-6 977	-48 756	-45 725	-55 733	-52 701	485 212	181 641	-92 371	-62 065	
	Korea	-3	-15 329	-15 329	-21 144	-21 731	-36 472	-37 060	176 206	233 259	-18 025	-6 734	
	Netherlands		-12 351	-12 351	-81 366	-75 816	-93 717	-88 167	360 262	249 090	-157 021	-91 090	
	New Zealand		-8 509	-8 509	-33 486	-33 351	-41 994	-41 860	143 270	102 836	-46 971	-22 364	
	Norway		-1 043	-1 043	-49 699	-49 192	-50 742	-50 235	235 888	188 187	-86 646	-54 292	
	Poland		-4 547	-4 547	-19 838	-15 268	-24 385	-19 816	308 019	182 336	-35 830	-20 299	
	Portugal		-5 903	-5 903	-24 213	-20 594	-30 116	-26 497	484 638	355 877	-82 694	-95 240	
	Spain	-2	-7086	-7 086	-24 323	-22 996	-31 409	-30 082	157 114	157 091	-41 161	-38 585	
	Sweden		-4 149	-4 149	-45 679	-45 346	-49 829	-49 495	193 165	115 319	-88 264	-33 816	
	Turkey	-1	-1 061	-1 061	-9 441	-8 217	-10 502	-9 278	106 984	116 531	-18 705	-21 327	
	United Kingdom		-13 536	-13 536	-68 162	-69 881	-81 698	-83 418	410 275	331 462	-114 054	-76 150	
	United States		W	W	W	W	w	w	W	W	W	W	
	OECD average		-7 572	-7 572	-39 301	-38 236	-46 873	-45 808	298 294	206 072	-92 014	-57 613	

Table A8.2.

Private net present value for an individual obtaining tertiary education as part of initial education, ISCED 5/6 (2006)

			Soo contri eff	cial bution ect	Transfe	rs effect	Unemp efl	loyment řect	Tota ben	l net efits	Net p va	resent lue	Intern of re	al rate turn
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
		Year ¹	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)	(21)	(22)
ies	Australia	- 1	0	0	0	0	1 067	14 976	151 361	161 869	100 515	111 073	9.1	11.3
intr	Austria		-47 120	-48 803	0	0	13 821	6 681	221 962	148 502	158 074	84 570	9.1	7.4
con	Belgium	- 1	-50 159	-56 931	0	0	14 294	36 372	147 919	131 867	114 944	100 068	11.7	14.1
9	Canada		-6 736	-16 998	0	0	16 283	11 184	210 520	149 109	149 373	87 280	9.6	8.8
OE	Czech Republic		-34 291	-28 291	0	0	16 375	25 841	266 219	152 908	244 117	133 235	22.5	19.6
	Denmark		-16 201	-10 647	-4 702	-9 014	-6 880	-399	73 008	64 810	20 867	11 936	4.4	4.0
	Finland		-22 938	-13 804	0	-4 733	27 492	21 693	183 363	117 426	130 213	64 255	10.0	7.5
	France		w	w	w	W	w	W	w	w	w	w	w	w
	Germany		-76 237	-53 954	0	0	61 335	29 508	201 418	126 375	136 563	59 846	9.0	6.5
	Hungary		-49 280	-35 578	0	0	20 9 34	21 197	247 598	112 964	217 845	86 021	17.7	12.8
	Italy		-24 098	-16 963	0	0	-4 712	1 722	364 031	104 335	308 299	51 634	11.5	6.6
	Korea	-3	-12 536	-16 175	0	0	4 778	672	150 423	211 022	113 951	173 963	9.4	12.9
	Netherlands		-13 833	-26 675	0	0	8 808	14 120	198 216	145 445	104 499	57 278	6.6	5.6
	New Zealand		-1 696	-1 217	-194	-3 416	-1 872	-1 073	92 538	74 767	50 544	32 907	7.2	6.5
	Norway		-18 361	-15 448	0	0	-559	9 661	130 322	128 108	79 580	77 873	6.6	8.3
	Poland		-79 920	-58 532	0	0	45 499	44 285	237 767	147 790	213 382	127 974	20.4	19.2
	Portugal		-30 377	-37 339	0	0	25 278	9 848	396 844	233 148	366 728	206 650	18.4	18.4
	Spain	-2	-10 315	-11 404	0	0	9 1 5 6	22 195	114 794	129 298	83 385	99 216	9.3	11.6
	Sweden		-6 857	-8 645	0	-64	4 196	7 995	102 239	80 788	52 411	31 293	6.1	5.3
	Turkey	-1	-16 446	-19 686	0	0	2 906	14 471	74 740	89 988	64 238	80 710	19.1	19.1
	United Kingdom		-24 472	-37 753	0	-339	17 604	19 056	289 353	236 276	207 655	152 858	11.2	8.5
	United States		W	W	W	W	W	W	w	w	w	w	w	w
	OECD average		-27 094	-25 742	-245	-878	13 790	15 500	192 732	137 340	145 859	91 532	11.5	10.7

Note: Cash flows (components) are discounted at a 3% interest rate. Assuming that foregone earnings for all individual refer to the minimum wage, except those countries reporting full time earnings, *i.e.* the Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland and Portugal. 1. Latest available year compared to 2006. -1 refers to year 2005, -2 refers to 2004 and -3 refers to 2003. Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (*www.oecd.org/edu/eag2010*).

StatLink ms http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/888932310225

			Direc	ct cost	Forego on ea	ne taxes rnings	Total	costs	Inco tax e	ome effect	Soo contribut	ion effect
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
		Year ¹	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
les	Australia	-1	-14 757	-14 757	-4 357	-4 495	-19 114	-19 252	36 052	25 858	0	0
Intr	Austria		-39 292	-39 292	-9 068	-8 724	-48 361	-48 016	60 880	26 199	46 290	33 072
อี	Belgium	- 1	-27 225	-27 225	-9 674	-8 308	-36 900	-35 533	39 931	44 765	19 578	38 458
3	Canada		-19 511	-19 511	-3 400	-3 486	-22 911	-22 997	44 500	29 612	10 174	13 092
5	Czech Republic		-17 604	-17 604	-5 099	-3 856	-22 703	-21 459	13 281	13 158	8 953	9 893
	Denmark		-28 804	-28 804	-241	-242	-29 045	-29 045	56 577	30 726	12 787	9 833
	Finland		-18 440	-18 440	-3 983	-3 885	-22 423	-22 325	21 167	10 058	4 4 8 7	2 877
	France		W	w	W	w	w	w	w	w	w	w
	Germany		-22 539	-22 539	-7 629	-7 694	-30 167	-30 233	24 978	23 568	19 538	18 979
	Hungary		-13 352	-13 352	-3 611	-3 964	-16 963	-17 316	23 052	20 758	9 955	9 651
	Italy		-30 614	-30 614	-8 568	-7 466	-39 181	-38 080	59 924	40 842	16 143	12 613
_	Korea	-3	-16 693	-16 693	-1 663	-1 674	-18 356	-18 368	3 653	-520	7 0 3 6	318
	Netherlands		-24 389	-24 389	-2 674	-1 689	-27 063	-26 078	37 712	16 547	15 315	40 732
_	New Zealand		-16 743	-16 743	-2 992	-2 876	-19 735	-19619	27 907	12 854	1 081	735
	Norway		-32 967	-32 967	-10 663	-10 668	-43 631	-43 635	56 995	32 170	16 117	9 989
	Poland		-12 824	-12 824	-7 215	-5 684	-20 039	-18 508	4 246	5 661	11 991	15 984
	Portugal		-19 937	-19 937	-4 081	-3 283	-24 018	-23 220	53 611	29 640	23 397	16 527
	Spain	-2	-11 856	-11 856	-1 044	-896	-12 900	-12 751	19 104	14 978	5 450	4 768
	Sweden		-24 332	-24 332	-8 400	-8 419	-32 732	-32 752	37 846	26 593	9 999	7 323
	Turkey	- 1	-4 776	-4 776	-4 566	-4 951	-9 343	-9 728	9 997	10 025	9 5 1 4	11 264
-	United Kingdom		-15 838	-15 838	-3 721	1 841	-19 559	-13 997	52 284	46 523	26 142	23 261
	United States		W	W	W	w	w	w	W	W	W	W
	OECD average		-20 625	-20 625	-5 132	-4 521	-25 757	-25 146	34 185	23 001	13 697	13 968

Table A8.3.
Public net present value for an individual obtaining upper secondary
or post-secondary non-tertiary education as part of initial education (2006)
In equivalent USD, converted using PPPs for GDP

			Transfe	rs effect	Unemployment effect		Total benefits		Net present value		Internal rate of return	
			Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
		Year ¹	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)
les	Australia	- 1	1 364	17 689	9 215	4 092	46 632	47 639	27 518	28 387	8.6	17.2
intr	Austria		8 397	19 751	14 135	4 602	129 702	83 623	81 341	35 607	8.7	6.3
Q j	Belgium	- 1	0	0	16 474	11 136	75 983	94 358	39 084	58 825	6.7	8.0
3.	Canada		0	1 672	5 814	3 646	60 488	48 023	37 577	25 026	7.8	6.9
5	Czech Republic		0	0	20119	14 715	42 353	37 766	19 650	16 307	6.9	6.4
	Denmark		36 387	45 893	9 470	6 2 1 9	115 222	92 670	86 177	63 625	18.2	16.3
	Finland		6 0 3 2	14 195	7 459	7 646	39 145	34 775	16 722	12 450	7.3	7.4
	France		w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w
	Germany		13 532	12 609	29 809	14 872	87 857	70 026	57 690	39 793	13.4	8.8
	Hungary		0	0	8 067	7 083	41 074	37 492	24 111	20 176	8.2	6.7
	Italy		0	0	5 277	6 6 1 0	81 343	60 065	42 162	21 984	5.7	4.8
	Korea	-3	0	3 912	434	181	11 123	3 891	-7 233	-14 477	1.1	-1.3
	Netherlands		5 949	12 382	4 1 3 0	7 286	63 106	76 947	36 043	50 869	8.1	11.6
	New Zealand		1 655	12 984	2 670	2 037	33 313	28 609	13 579	8 990	5.5	5.5
	Norway		4 876	14 435	9 061	3 775	87 050	60 368	43 419	16 733	7.6	5.4
	Poland		0	0	9813	8 235	26 050	29 879	6 0 1 1	11 371	4.4	5.3
	Portugal		0	0	-878	2 087	76 1 30	48 254	52 112	25 034	7.7	5.9
	Spain	-2	0	0	1 771	1 741	26 324	21 488	13 424	8 736	5.8	5.0
	Sweden		19 654	24 984	9 372	8 735	76 871	67 635	44 139	34 883	13.0	11.5
	Turkey	- 1	0	0	1 072	-3 945	20 583	17 345	11 240	7 6 1 7	6.3	5.6
	United Kingdom		3 697	46 808	10 702	6 799	92 825	123 391	73 267	109 394	13.6	22.2
	United States		w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w
	OECD average		5 077	11 366	8 699	5 878	61 659	54 212	35 902	29 067	8.2	8.8

Note: Cash flows (components) are discounted at a 3% interest rate.
Assuming that foregone earnings for all individual refer to the minimum wage, except those countries reporting full time earnings, *i.e.* the Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland and Portugal.
1. Latest available year compared to 2006. -1 refers to year 2005, -2 refers to 2004 and -3 refers to 2003.
Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.oecd.org/edu/eag2010).
StatLink mgP http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/888932310225

A8

A8

Public net present value for an individual obtaining tertiary education as part of initial education (2006) In equivalent USD, converted using PPPs for GDP													
		Direc	et cost	Forego on ea	ne taxes rnings	Total	costs	Income	tax effect	Social con eff	ntribution ect		
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
	Year ¹	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)		
Australia	- 1	-13 209	-13 209	-7 002	-6 993	-20 211	-20 201	104 353	69 331	0	0		
Austria		-43 046	-43 046	-12 524	-12 533	-55 569	-55 579	122 593	72 558	45 045	47 603		
Belgium	- 1	-20 552	-20 552	-8 1 3 2	-7 822	-28 684	-28 374	141 880	94 839	48 161	51 975		
<u>Canada</u>		-35 321	-35 321	-3 910	-3 998	-39 231	-39 319	91 361	51 905	5 829	16 197		
Czech Republic		-10 644	-10 644	-5 720	-4 671	-16 363	-15 315	62 961	41 188	32 502	25 068		
Denmark		-51 220	-51 220	-300	-304	-51 519	-51 524	113 669	48 776	16 996	10 711		
Finland		-33 779	-33 779	-7 386	-7 389	-41 165	-41 168	117 875	59 360	21 053	12 323		
France		w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w	w		
Germany		-33 206	-33 206	-13 957	-14 353	-47 163	-47 559	135 266	64 369	63 929	47 615		
Hungary		-14 177	-14 177	-8 135	-6 807	-22 312	-20 984	129 176	94 359	46 695	32 550		
Italy		-18 847	-18 847	-11 023	-10 338	-29 870	-29 185	93 319	61 193	24 717	16 803		
Korea	-3	-4 619	-4 619	-1 947	-2 001	-6 566	-6 621	17 850	6 749	12 207	16 129		
Netherlands		-34 104	-34 104	-33 289	-28 523	-67 393	-62 627	155 040	89 205	12 385	23 504		
New Zealand		-14 504	-14 504	-3 212	-3 199	-17 716	-17 703	47 405	22 571	1 718	1 230		
Norway		-34 075	-34 075	-13 359	-13 223	-47 434	-47 298	86 804	52 493	18 405	14 699		
Poland		-10 791	-10 791	-9 092	-6 870	-19 883	-17 662	32 030	17 158	69 015	47 139		
Portugal		-11 848	-11 848	-4 639	-3 578	-16 487	-15 425	79 034	92 671	28 884	36 367		
Spain	-2	-22 289	-22 289	-2 379	-2 249	-24 668	-24 538	39 570	35 882	9 745	10 001		
Sweden		-33 959	-33 959	-16 172	-16 054	-50 131	-50 013	87 077	32 033	6 6 1 2	8 089		
Turkey	- 1	-9 567	-9 567	-3 830	-3 333	-13 397	-12 900	18 209	19 194	16 010	17 528		
United Kingdom		-24 919	-24 919	-18 289	-7 691	-43 208	-32 610	110 580	72 890	23 065	36 046		
United States		w	w	w	w	w	w	W	w	w	W		
OECD average -23 734 -23 734 -9 215 -8 097 -32 949 -31 830 89 303 54 936 25 149 23 579											23 579		

Table A8.4.

Turkey	- 1	-9 567	-9 567	-3 830	-3 333	-13 397	-12 900	18 209	19 194	16 010	17 528
United Kingdom		-24 919	-24 919	-18 289	-7 691	-43 208	-32 610	110 580	72 890	23 065	36 046
United States		W	w	W	W	w	w	W	W	w	w
OECD average		-23 734	-23 734	-9215	-8 097	-32 949	-31 830	89 303	54 936	25 149	23 579
		Transfe	rs effect	Unemp	loyment ect	To ben	otal efits	Net pres	ent value	Intern of re	al rate turn
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
	Year ¹	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(20)
Australia	- 1	0	0	396	3 366	104 749	72 697	84 538	52 495	12.4	12.5
Austria		0	0	5 176	2 180	172 815	122 341	117 246	66 762	8.7	7.1
Belgium	- 1	0	0	6 402	13 646	196 443	160 460	167 759	132 086	15.2	17.9
Canada		0	0	4 182	2 412	101 372	70 514	62 141	31 195	7.8	6.5
Czech Republic		0	0	4 1 3 6	6 755	99 599	73 011	83 236	57 696	16.2	13.6
Denmark		4 702	9 0 1 4	-2 830	-149	132 536	68 351	81 017	16 827	7.3	4.5
Finland		0	4 733	9 744	6 4 1 2	148 672	82 828	107 507	41 659	10.1	7.1
France		W	w	W	w	w	w	w	w	w	w
Germany		0	0	27 168	11 305	226 362	123 289	179 199	75 730	11.8	8.4
Hungary		0	0	7 788	8 644	183 660	135 553	161 347	114 569	21.8	18.4
Italy		0	0	-1 567	1 033	116 469	79 028	86 599	49 844	10.8	8.3
Korea	-3	0	0	504	31	30 560	22 909	23 994	16 288	9.5	9.2
Netherlands		0	0	3 4 2 8	5.056	170 854	117 765	103 461	55 138	75	63

Female

OECD countries

Belgium	-1	0	0	6 402	13 646	196 443	160 460	167 759	132 086	15.2	17.9
Canada		0	0	4 182	2 4 1 2	101 372	70 514	62 141	31 195	7.8	6.5
Czech Republic		0	0	4 1 3 6	6 755	99 599	73 011	83 236	57 696	16.2	13.6
Denmark		4 702	9014	-2 830	-149	132 536	68 351	81 017	16 827	7.3	4.5
Finland		0	4 733	9 744	6 4 1 2	148 672	82 828	107 507	41 659	10.1	7.1
France		w	w	W	w	w	w	w	w	w	w
Germany		0	0	27 168	11 305	226 362	123 289	179 199	75 730	11.8	8.4
Hungary		0	0	7 788	8 644	183 660	135 553	161 347	114 569	21.8	18.4
Italy		0	0	-1 567	1 033	116 469	79 028	86 599	49 844	10.8	8.3
Korea	-3	0	0	504	31	30 560	22 909	23 994	16 288	9.5	9.2
Netherlands		0	0	3 4 2 8	5 056	170 854	117 765	103 461	55 138	7.5	6.3
New Zealand		194	3 4 1 6	-457	-220	48 860	26 996	31 144	9 293	8.3	5.9
Norway		0	0	-201	2 548	105 007	69 740	57 573	22 442	6.2	4.9
Poland		0	0	14 706	14 5 34	115 750	78 831	95 867	61 169	15.6	13.4
Portugal		0	0	5 1 5 4	3 541	113 072	132 578	96 585	117 153	18.3	17.8
Spain	-2	0	0	2 160	4 106	51 476	49 989	26 808	25 451	6.2	6.6
Sweden		0	64	1 4 3 2	2 339	95 121	42 526	44 990	-7 488	5.7	2.3
Turkey	- 1	0	0	931	4 291	35 150	41 014	21 753	28 113	9.2	9.1
United Kingdom		0	996	4 881	4 966	138 526	114 899	95 318	82 289	10.4	10.1
United States		w	w	W	W	w	w	w	w	w	w
OECD average		245	911	4 657	4 840	119 353	84 266	86 404	52 436	11.0	9.5

Note: Cash flows (components) are discounted at a 3% interest rate.

Assuming that foregone earnings for all individual refer to the minimum wage, except those countries reporting full time earnings, i.e. the Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland and Portugal. 1. Latest available year compared to 2006. -1 refers to year 2005, -2 refers to 2004 and -3 refers to 2003. Source: OECD. See Annex 3 for notes (www.eecd.org/edu/eag2010). StatLink and http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/888932310225



From: Education at a Glance 2010 OECD Indicators

Access the complete publication at: https://doi.org/10.1787/eag-2010-en

Please cite this chapter as:

OECD (2010), "What are the incentives to invest in education?", in *Education at a Glance 2010: OECD Indicators*, OECD Publishing, Paris.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1787/eag-2010-12-en

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document and any map included herein are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area.

You can copy, download or print OECD content for your own use, and you can include excerpts from OECD publications, databases and multimedia products in your own documents, presentations, blogs, websites and teaching materials, provided that suitable acknowledgment of OECD as source and copyright owner is given. All requests for public or commercial use and translation rights should be submitted to rights@oecd.org. Requests for permission to photocopy portions of this material for public or commercial use shall be addressed directly to the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC) at info@copyright.com or the Centre français d'exploitation du droit de copie (CFC) at contact@cfcopies.com.

